

# THE EFFECT OF BEHAVIORAL BIASES ON THE INVESTMENT DECISIONS OF YOUNG ADULTS IN DELHI, INDIA

**Sufiya Khan<sup>1</sup>; Mitra Saeedi<sup>2\*</sup>; Hafinaz Hasniyanti Bt Hassan<sup>3</sup>; Muhammad Umar Islam<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1,2\*,3,4</sup> Asia Pacific University of Technology and Innovation, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

\*Corresponding author

sufiyakhann@rediffmail.com

mitrasaeedii@rediffmail.com

hafinazhasniyantii@rediffmail.com

[muhammadumariskam@rediffmail.com](mailto:muhammadumariskam@rediffmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

These particular papers should check out the particular aspects associated with behavioral biases influencing typically the expense choices associated with youngsters within Delhi, Indian. On the internet set of questions happen to be dispersed via a number of social networking systems one of the youngsters inside Delhi, Indian. The particular set of questions offered numerous queries around the biases thought in order to impact the investment decision selections regarding adults in line with the current books. This specific research particularly investigates the result involving Overconfidence Prejudice, Self-attribution Prejudice plus Herding Tendency around the purchase judgments. Making use of relationship ensure that you several regression evaluation, this particular examine discovers a substantial partnership among Overconfidence Tendency as well as the expenditure choices associated with youngsters. Even though, each Herding Opinion in addition to Self-attribution Prejudice are located to become not really substantial. The outcomes from the research suggested as a factor that will because of the presence regarding behavioral biases on the market, traders should additional examine how to manage these types of biases to be able to achieve optimum earnings upon opportunities.

Keywords: Expense selections, Overconfidence prejudice, Self-attribution prejudice, Herding Error, Youngsters, India.

## INTRODUCTION

Recognized college students possess submit substantial ideas plus presumptions so that they can clarify the particular behavior associated with financial versions. Because associated with connected earnings plus dangers, people, businesses in addition to businesses respect financing along with allowance and even purchase of economic sources. The particular difficulties regarding trading are increased from the behaviors from the marketplace. A lot of gamers that show varied feelings together with behavioral designs on the market whilst producing expense choices would be the top reason behind difficulties within the selections associated with opportunities (Zahera plus Bansal, 2018). Choice manufacturers could be classified in to 3 sorts depending on their own rationality. The very first kind is founded on real rationality that allows typically the traders to achieve ideal judgments plus obtain greatest effectiveness by using limitless sources, some understanding. The 2nd sort is founded on progressive rationality by which choice producers strive for objectives that are seeing achievable plus create choices simply by evaluating among a number of choices. Finally arrives kind according to bordered rationality that allows creators to attain targets eventually put through marketplace restrictions (Mushinada in addition to Veluri, 2019).

Many investigations have got already been carried out in order to show the presence of behavioral biases inside the investment decision choices involving buyers along with discover the connection among numerous behavioral biases and even purchase choices as well as the degree of the impact on another. Using this research, numerous elements happen to be described as well as the understanding for that subject matter continues to be furthered. D'Acunto (2016) analyzed the result associated with anti-market ideologies on the number of traders and located that will various market organizations experienced diverse comes from the particular contact with a good anti-market ideology.

This individual also available those traders that have been regarded as much less advanced had been powered by simply behavioral biases. Underneath the factors associated with behavioral biases plus expenditure selections, Mushinada together with Veluri (2018a) got played around with around the shareholders regarding Bombay Stock market (BSE) plus discovered that will behavioral biases dominate one of the financial commitment judgments from the traders in the Bombay Stock market (BSE). Obtaining right down to age market, Herlean (2020) says inside a Forbes post of which 77% associated with well-off youngsters possess spent their particular cash directly into purchases that provide environment or even interpersonal advantages. Because of insufficient study around the market involving younger grownup buyers inside an under developed nation such as Indian, this particular papers targeted to look at typically the behavioral biases that will impact the expense choices associated with adults within Delhi, Indian, since it is a good untrained analysis subject. The quantitative technique was utilized to collect main information plus info with the aim to look for the result of behavioral biases within the investment decision choices associated with youngsters.

The overall goal targeted to become attained by the particular experts was to recognize the result regarding behavioral biases for the purchase choices involving adults within Delhi - India. The greater particular goals from the research would be to determine the result associated with overconfidence prejudice, self-attribution prejudice and also herding prejudice in the expenditure choices regarding youngsters within Delhi, Asia. This particular directed typically the path with the examiner.

The information on the research is restricted towards the adults, age group which range from 18-35 many years, involving Delhi particularly. It had been aimed at research youngsters that experienced individually committed to the particular monetary industry or even acquired long term programs to do this. This could promote knowledge of the explanation of the trader whenever they carry out inside assets. Because there are numerous elements to become handled on to completely are familiar with procedure, this particular document possessed directed to provide a tip in to the starting facets from the subject. This particular research was performed based on earlier studies however in the building nation just like The Indian subcontinent, which usually experienced hardly ever already been talked about throughout earlier scientific studies, within the wish this task may later on act as the factor with regard to forthcoming researchers starting this particular facet of analyze in the direction of establishing nations.

The particular reliant adjustable utilized in this specific review has been Expense Choices, as the impartial parameters had been Overconfidence Prejudice, Self-attribution Tendency plus Herding Opinion. These types of factors assisted figure out how behavioral biases impacted the particular financial commitment selections.

#### Investment Decisions

Expense choices make reference to the particular selections created by people, traders or even best administration concerning the quantity of money they would like to spend towards the expense possibilities they may be confronted with (Kishori plus Kumar, 2016).

#### Overconfidence Bias

Overconfidence prejudice is really a behavioral prejudice that will says that the man or woman self-confidence in the conclusions or even choices will be more than precision of these choices. This is also true once the man or woman self-confidence will be higher (Bondt plus Thaler, 1995).

#### Self-attribution Bias

Self-attribution prejudice is really a behavioral prejudice that will says that the person individual locations the particular credit score from the achievements associated with their choices or even conclusions upon themselves while typically the credit score with regard to his / her deficits is provided to unmanageable conditions like misfortune or even lower marketplace problems (Mushinada plus Veluri, 2019).

#### Herding Bias

Herding prejudice is really a behavioral prejudice that will say that the trader or even person tends to the actual most of traders plus duplicate what exactly they are performing. The need location their own opportunities within the exact same location because many the particular traders. This particular prejudice is generally affected simply by intuition plus feelings, instead of by simply personal evaluation (Kengatharan in addition to Kengatharan, 2014).

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.2 2.1 Behavioral Finance

2.3 Without having presuming the entire rationality associated with gamers on the market, Behavioral Financial offers an option, synthetic see from the procedure associated with monetary marketplaces (i. electronic. traders, resource costs plus activities around the market) depending on good philosophical views. The particular border rationality concept regarding Claire (1955) as well as the potential principle involving Kahneman in addition to Tversky (1979) from your world associated with mindset would be the present foundation expense activities within the behavioral financial platform. Mental incongruity is really a basic being human associated with human being decision-making (Ellis, 1976). This really is backed simply by considerable fresh proof through intellectual mindset upon systemic heuristics and even biases caused by the particular values together with wishes of individuals (Tversky plus Kahneman, 1986).

2.4 2 individuals Kahneman in addition to Tversky (1979) added to 1 associated with behavioral finances' the majority of revolutionary substantial and even parent functions, that is installing the building blocks in the Potential customer Concept. Logical Anticipation concept, Anticipated Power concept plus the effective marketplace speculation had been right now changed from the option which can be the chance concept. Ideas to use potential customer idea towards the monetary marketplaces happen to be provided by Thaler (1980). This individual says that people hardly ever act detailed, like a financial theorist this individual furthermore states which they frequently arrears whilst getting choices within opportunities. Because of this, these types of 3, Kahneman plus Tversky (1979) plus Thaler (1980) are usually viewed as typically the dads regarding behavioral financial (Hammond, 2015).

### 2.5 2.2 Prospect theory and Bounded Rationality theory

2.6 The particular papers entitled "Prospect concept: A good evaluation associated with choice below risk", authored by Kahneman plus Tversky (1979), launched the idea of potential customer principle and therefore grew to become a good recognized papers within the behavioral financial industry. Once the feasible results of a great investment choice is recognized, the chance concept shows exactly how traders create their own choices in line with the risk-involving probabilistic alternatives. This particular concept furthermore offers an interdependent see for a lawsuit filer's bordered rationality (Zahera in addition to Bansal, 2018). Tversky and even Kahneman (1992) mentioned that will base on potential customer concept person option procedures are recognized in to 2 elements, specifically, assessment together with framework. A person selects their selection right after correctly evaluating plus learning each one of the potential customers available in order to your pet within the analysis phase. While inside the framework phase, the person composes the interpretation from the functions, possibilities in addition to outcomes of the kind of choice.

2.7 Currently, the size of trader rationality will be separated simply by educational viewpoint in to a couple of components. The entire rationality associated with institutional traders, that are the particular professional traders, is usually highly considered by simply contemporary financing which behavior will be expected to be able to accommodate behavioral dangers emulated on the market from the no expert buyers, which may become the store shareholders. While, behavioral financial feels that will each store and even institutional traders are suggested to get bordered explanation within their decision-making. The particular everlasting facets of your thoughts, specifically typically the behavioral biases together with heuristics, are usually impacting on the particular bordered rationality which in turn proceeds impact the behavior regularly (Coleman, 2014; 2015; Cuthbertson ainsi que ing., 2016).

### 2.8 2.3 Factors Affecting Investment Decisions

2.9 Feldmen in addition to Lepori (2016) utilized agent-based modeling to know in the event resource prices can be influenced by mindsets. Mcdougal provides utilized typically the procedures associated with logical, illogical and also a mix of the 2 traders. Behavioral professionals feel that the presence of a good illogical trader on the market together with a logical buyer contains a substantial effect on the particular rates associated with investments. By using effective marketplace speculation, the assumption is of which inside the lengthier operate simply logical buyers keep on on the market like reasonless traders are inclined to grow to be financially troubled therefore take from your

industry.

2.10 D'Acunto (2016) undertook studies the anti-market ideology and its results on the gang of traders. The particular results through the exam display that will shareholders who had been subjected to this ideology grew to become even more danger adverse within dynamics. Various group categories experienced several come from coming in contact with a anti-market ideology. It usually is said the fact that intelligent; typically the old; as well as the women of all ages within the analyze responded a lot more for the displayed ideology. Both these styles those people, that are regarded as a lesser amount of advanced are powered simply by behavioral biases and people that happen to be regarded as advanced traders happen to be powered simply by anti-market ideologies away from conventional decision-making.

2.11 Several statements generate each buyer in different ways therefore, the documents simply by Hoffmann de surcroît 's. (2015) sees the goal to examine the different comments that the buyer is impacted simply by to produce a great investment in a few casinos. Typically the choices used by a great buyer are a vital indication regarding what exactly a good entrepreneur needs away from a great investment or perhaps safety and the placement to have his or her goal.

2.12 Within research done by simply Papadovasilaki ainsiq ue way. (2015), these people considered the connection among early on cutbacks and even results of the financial commitment together with their particular pursuing influence on expenditure choices. It absolutely was learned that we have a constructive partnership amongst the previously encounters inside expense as well as the successive investment decision choices considered upon casinos plus the variables are closely related.

### **2.13 2.4 Overconfidence Bias and relationship with Investment Decisions**

2.14 Prosad ainsiq ue ing. (2017) utilized NSE Great fifty catalog to check into the particular predisposition impact plus overconfidence prejudice within the framework from the Indian native collateral marketplace and located this to become existing. These people noticed that this overconfidence prejudice is deemed main associated with 2 biases.

2.15 The particular overconfidence speculation was examined in the Bombay Stock market (BSE) simply by Mushinada plus Veluri (2018a) also it was discovered to become maintained scientific proof created through 3 important results. First of all, the response from the overconfident traders differs whenever they often overreact in order to personal information plus underreact to be able to general public types. Second of all, traders overconfidence in addition to investing quantity raises whenever self-attribution will be accompanied along with correct predictions. Finally, extreme investing by simply overconfident traders plays a role in typically the extreme unpredictability from the marketplace. Mushinada and even Veluri (2018b) added towards the subject regarding self-attribution prejudice, overconfidence prejudice together with powerful marketplace movements in BSE throughout numerous marketplaces increased. It had been seen in this particular research these biases can be found in a number of marketplace capitalism shares, that is in contrast using the earlier research declaring these biases tend to be more typical within smaller sized shares.

2.16 Research carried out simply by Lim (2012) plus Javed ainsiq ue 's. (2017) figured overconfidence tendency really includes a good effect on a good investors' capability to create choices, this particular nevertheless will be sporadic using the outcomes of Kengatharan in addition to Kengatharan (2014) that figured overconfidence opinion really includes an unfavorable effect on the particular investors' capability to create selections. Recently good fresh papers, Pikuluna ou approach. (2017) recommended the a lot more self-confidence a good trader keeps within their personal understanding foundation prior to trading; the greater the particular trader will certainly purchase the marketplace, while deficiencies in assurance consequently results in underinvestment along with a reasonable quantity of self confidence leads to sensible opportunities.

### **2.17 2.5 Self-attribution Bias and its relationship with Investment Decisions**

2.18 Feng Li (2010) carried out research around the partnership among overconfidence plus self-attribution prejudice plus reached the summary that will supervisors may have a self-attribution tendency which could result in all of them getting overconfident regarding on their own. A buyer that is vulnerable to possess a self-attribution prejudice will certainly straight assimilate the particular within a great investment for them becoming possibly expense or even company experienced while they are going to associate the particular problem within an expense in order to both misfortune or even exterior aspects from their own manage (Mishra plus Metilda, 2015).

2.19 Research performed simply by Mushinada plus Veluri (2018a; 2018b) offered of which self-attribution will be common within the Indian native stock exchange plus impacts typically the expense choices from the traders. It had been observed this impact, additional over the street, results in the particular overconfidence opinion within the making decisions too. An additional research carried out simply by Hoffman in addition to Article (2014) provides assistance towards the exam simply by showing that wills self-attribution prejudice is present via merging investing information associated with customers plus study information.

2.20 Within an additional analyze, Jain and even Wadhwa (2013) demonstrated of which self-attribution prejudice is available and may be observed within based on if the trader is really an agent delete word an agent (Mittal, 2019). However it is observed in a new research performed by simply Sheppard ainsi que ing. (2008) that will self-attribution is just not influencing selections. It is said it is instead utilized to impact investors' choices together with protect the particular desired self-view.

**1.6 Herding Bias and its relationship with Investment Decisions**

Balcilar plus Demirer (2015) carried out research around the powerful partnership among küchenherd behavior plus worldwide dangers aspects inside the European marketplace utilizing the Markov regime-switching design. The research discovered that will throughout intense in addition to high-volatility routines, crowd conduct have been considerably existing inside the marketplace. Galariotis ainsi que ing. (2016) discovered of which inside higher fluid shares from the G5 industry, küchenherd behavior was written.

Nevertheless, Lim (2012) noticed instances which were sporadic along with earlier research, saying that the investor's choices are certainly not influenced by herding. According to Messis and even Zapranis (2014) although, herding could be viewed as an extra element associated with danger with regard to traders and for that reason, unpredictability determine will be impacted by herding inside a good way. Sarpong plus Sibanda (2014) discovered via their own research that will exactly like collateral buyers, shared account shareholders adhere to herding like a routine before you make a great investment.

Agarwal ou 's. (2011) analyzed the particular Indonesian marketplace together with noticed that will broker agent companies inside of which marketplace furthermore performed the crowd actions whilst producing expense choices. The research figured although international and also household traders demonstrated indications of herding, it had been a lot more notable in the international traders. Huang ainsi que ing. (2015) examined exactly how idiosyncratic movements impacted good investors' choice habits inside the Taiwanese collateral industry. The writer figured with regards to idiosyncratic unpredictability various sectors in the Taiwanese collateral marketplace, there have been indications of area designs throughout the sectors because of herding like a behavior.

No.		Hypothesis
1.	$H_0$	There is absolutely no substantial partnership among overconfidence prejudice plus expense choices associated with youngsters within Delhi
	$H_1$	There exists a substantial connection among overconfidence tendency plus expense selections associated with youngsters inside Delhi
2.	$H_0$	There is absolutely no substantial partnership among self
	$H_1$	There exists a substantial connection among self
3.	$H_0$	There is absolutely no substantial partnership among herding prejudice plus expense choices associated with youngsters within Delhi
	$H_1$	There exists a substantial connection among herding tendency plus expense selections associated with youngsters inside Delhi

## Research methodology

Since the study has been associated with quantitative character, the set of questions has been designed with mention of the formerly released forms through numerous research following an exact same ideas associated with behavioral financial, in this instance Potential customer concept plus Bordered Rationality principle. This particular examined the various biases becoming analyzed from the experts, the particular response that had been after that utilized to gauge the reliant in addition to impartial variables' partnership. Person degree reaction was handed a lot more significance with this research. Using the issue associated with information relationship difference, this particular research analyzed youngsters individually. Whilst disseminating typically the set of questions, rigid guidelines had been vocalized towards the participants. SPSS has been utilized to translate the information that was after that analyzed via Several Regression technique.

Because of period restrictions, the particular scientist's required 10% perimeter associated with mistake. But additionally test scale typically the youngsters coming from Delhi, Indian was regarding 163. And there is twenty five queries within the customer survey, based on Krejcie (1970), the particular minimal trial dimension needed will be  $25 \times 5 = 125$ . The particular set of questions was dispersed in order to adults involving Delhi by using numerous on the internet social networking systems.

The particular day kind utilized in this specific examine has been main info, that was within talking about the study trouble. This particular information was collected with the submission associated with forms digitally for the participants. Since the analyze had been carried out within Delhi, Indian, typically the customer survey was allocated towards the younger grownup populace from the assist of various social media marketing programs plus was performed by means of Search engines Types because of moment restrictions. With this study, the self-administered set of questions had been utilized to boost the effectiveness from the reaction price and also to permit participants to become completely conscious throughout the files selection stage. The people of the research was the particular youngsters associated with Delhi, India.

## Analysis and Assessment

### 2.21 Sample Size

The particular example dimensions with this study is definitely 163 youngsters throughout Delhi, Asia. Nevertheless, 146 forms had been properly taken care of immediately and even had been next employed for the particular examination with the outcomes. Another 19 forms were ignored because of incompleteness involving types and various age bracket distribution. Your research achieved this reaction charge.

#### *Response Rate*

	Sample size	Valid Samples	Nullified Samples
Questionnaires	163	146	17
Percentage rate (%)	100	89.57	10.43

### 2.22 4.1 Missing Data

Since the study with this research was carried out via Search engines Types, this provides an attribute which usually needs the particular participants in order to complete the questions. This allows information to become obviously comprehended plus helps prevent associated with lacking info. However, the particular experts place in an attribute which usually permitted participants to be able to continue using the set of questions only when these were within the research populace age bracket, otherwise they might become focused in order to post the shape without having filling up the facts. Consequently, these types of reactions had been ignored whenever determining the outcomes plus had been, therefore, disregarded.

### 2.23 4.2 Reliability Test

*This particular check is utilized in order to gauge the discriminant quality, concourant quality, create dependability plus dependability (Schumacker in addition to Lomax, 2010). The explanation of the check would be to obtain the*

reliant plus verified design which may be applied prior to improving in order to several regression screening and even speculation tests. Based on the rules mentioned simply by Kline (2011) upon interpretation the particular Cronbach's Alpha dog, typically the stability for that factors utilized in this particular research had been examined from the experts. The outcomes are because adhere to.

Reliability Test Table

Variables	Cronbach's Alpha	No. of Items	Explanation
All Variables	0.891	20	Good Acceptable
Investment decisions	0.706	5	Acceptable
Overconfidence Bias	0.829	5	Acceptable
Self-attribution Bias	0.826	5	Good Acceptable
Herding Bias	0.712	5	Good Acceptable

2.24 The entire research offers accomplished near to outstanding rating as well as the person factors becoming utilized in this particular research happen to be rated having a suitable dependability, because observed in Desk four. second . Using the adjustable varying among zero. 70-0. ninety, they may be dependable plus legitimate factors to become analyzed upon additional.

**2.25 4.3 Descriptive Statistics**

Since the information have been gathered from your younger grownup populace associated with Delhi, Indian around the behavioral biases influencing their own expense choices, it had been simpler to have it first hand, and so the information utilized in this particular research has been main info. The things assessed had been general for that youngsters associated with of India. The particular participants were requested in order to position their own solutions pertaining to the significance they provide towards the product from the set of questions. It was carried out utilizing a Likert level for your queries, therefore the participants can price their particular solutions on the level of just one in order to five, which range from Highly Argue in order to Solid Concur correspondingly. Desk four a few displays the particular imply, regular change plus setting determined to the factors utilized in the research. Additionally, it displays typically the portion degree of contract for all your products employed in the particular customer survey; this specific signifies the proportion from the test human population which has provided every solution.

Descriptive Statistics Table

					Level of Agreement (%)				
Variables	Questions	Mean	Std. Deviation	Mode	SD	D	N	A	SA
Investment decisions	I might be determined by fiscal information (income statements-balance sheets-cash move statement) once i produce my own financial commitment.	4.18	0.894	4	1.39	5.48	7.53	43.15	42.47

	I might think about specialist's views when creating the expense choices.	4.28	0.892	5	0	6.85	8.9	33.56	50.68
	I might think about the friends' views when creating any kind of financial commitment.	3.45	1.349	4	16.44	4.11	22.6	32.88	23.97
	I might think about arbitrary info when creating the financial commitment.	3.57	1.174	3	5.48	10.96	30.14	27.4	26.03
	I might make use of web like a primary supply of information when creating the financial commitment.	4.22	0.766	5	0	0.68	18.49	38.36	42.47
Overconfidence Bias	I possess the particular required experience plus abilities to purchase stock exchange.	3.58	1.354	4	10.96	15.07	8.23	34.93	30.82
	I possess the opportunity to evaluate the brand new info on the market.	3.8	1.142	5	3.42	13.01	16.44	32.88	37.67
	My estimation should be your first priority when creating your decision.	3.99	1.229	5	9.59	2.05	15.07	28.08	45.21
	We believe in the information resources.	4.01	1.136	5	0	16.44	14.38	20.55	48.63
	I realize of all things within the stock exchange.	3.5	1.468	5	16.44	11.64	8.9	29.45	33.56

Self-attribution Bias	The previous expense problems were, generally, because of the wrong suggestions or even guidance through buddies, family members or even family members	3.7	1.177	4	2.74	19.18	10.27	36.99	30.82
	The previous expense problems were, generally, because of the wrong guidance through agents experts or even investment decision experts.	3.66	1.402	4	17.12	2.05	10.96	36.3	33.56
	The previous expense problems were, generally, because of misfortune plus associated aspects.	3.94	1.233	5	9.59	2.74	13.01	32.88	41.78
	The lucrative opportunities had been primarily because of the particular expense abilities.	4.15	0.964	5	0	8.22	13.01	32.19	46.58
	Once i buy a successful expense, That stuff seriously the activities plus understanding impacted the end result.	4.1	1.013	5	0.68	6.85	21.92	22.6	47.95
Herding Bias	I might generally think about accumulated whenever trading.	4.29	0.664	4	0	0.68	15.01	46.58	43.15
	I might generally the actual marketplace via monetary information each week.	4.03	1.076	5	1.37	13.01	6.85	36.99	41.78
	I might make use of my very own info plus evaluation whenever investing on the market.	3.95	1.125	5	0.68	28.77	11.64	16.44	42.47
	I might think about the info that will vast majority traders concentrate on whenever investing around the stock exchange.	3.98	1.212	5	0	20.55	10.27	17.12	52.05

	I might buy the exact same opportunities because my loved ones plus buddies.	3.66	1.616	5	21.23	5.48	8.9	14.38	50
--	--	------	-------	---	-------	------	-----	-------	----

2.26 Because shown over, Desk four. a few displays all of us the particular math imply of each solitary query which was requested within the set of questions and in addition it displays us all the conventional change, that is the worth through which the majority of the participants possess deviated from your suggest, as well as the setting, which can be the most typical worth selected from the participants for every issue. The particular indicate determined for every problem offers dropped among a variety of a few forty five plus four twenty nine. This means that that this typical from the solutions in the participants is situated in between natural in order to reasonable. The particular setting mentioned the following is with a typical associated with four to five, meaning that typically the participants mainly concur or even highly go along with the particular queries. Upon an additional notice, the conventional deviations for all your queries vary among zero. 664 plus one 616. This particular exhibits people that will for a few concerns most solutions never have deviated mostly from your imply, while with regard to some other inquiries there is certainly small change through the suggest. General, it could be declared that the information will be dispersed homogenously plus the email address details are normally distribute within the variety.

2.27 Another a part of Desk some. three or more says typically the portion degree of contract from the reactions provided within the customer survey. With this area, the particular experts will show in addition to translate almost all contracts for that reaction around the issues questioned. First of all, for your adjustable “Investment Decisions”, most of typically the participants (85. 62%, we electronic., 43. 15% plus forty two. 47%) suggest that they might rely on the particular monetary information whenever they create any kind of expense choices. Which means that they might depend on the particular earnings claims, stability linens and even cash flow claims of the resource before you make their own expense choices that will be their own supply of info. 84. 25% with the participants might think about experts’ views when creating their particular investment decision selections. This really is very most in this instance, since exactly how thirty-three. 56% made a decision to concur whilst fifty. 58% decided to highly concur. Based on experts’ views provides a feeling associated with protection in order to brand new traders because they possess a facts adhere to and may slow up the danger associated with faltering. And after that, 56. 85% on the participants have got decided which they would certainly take into account their own friend’s thoughts if they help to make their particular financial commitment. Including thirty-two. 88% of this participants that will acknowledge although twenty three. 97% of your participants firmly consent although twenty two. 6% from the participants had been natural towards the situation. Grounds with this might be the participants really feel they could believe in their very own friends’ viewpoints. Furthermore, below this particular adjustable, 53. 43% belonging to the participants include decided to the truth that they will look at arbitrary details when coming up with their particular purchase judgments, from which usually twenty-seven. 4% within the participants consent to what he claims when twenty six. 03% highly accept what he claims. Yet thirty 14% possess a natural remain for this query. Finally, below this particular varying, whenever participants were requested when they would likely make use of web like a primary supply of info for his or her financial commitment, eighty. 83% from the participants consented to this particular declare, along with 37. 36% for the participants saying yes even though forty two. 47% from the participants firmly arranged. The main reason behind this really is how the data in the almost all occasions on the planet are usually learned with the web.

2.28 Second of all, typically the experts analyzed the particular adjustable “Overconfidence Bias” simply by asking typically the participants upon 5 products. It had been discovered that will sixty-five. 74% from the participants consent to getting the required experience plus abilities to purchase the particular stock exchange. From this, thirty four. 93% with the participants currently have decided plus thirty. 82% possess highly opted for this particular. And, seventy. 54% on the participants decided to having the capability to completely evaluate the brand new facts these people obtain in the marketplace, away from which often thirty two. 88% of this participants decided whilst thirty seven. 67% of your participants highly decided to this specific declare. 73. 29% from the participants consented to subsequent their very own viewpoint just before investing choice, from which in turn twenty-eight. 08% belonging to the participants decided to what he claims together with forty five. 21% within the participants firmly opted for this. Likewise, beneath this particular changing, 69. 18% for the participants decided to the truth that these people completely rely on his or her information resources before you make a great investment selection. With this portion,

twenty. 57% from the participants accept the particular declaration whilst forty eight. 63% from the participants highly accept to the particular assertion. Last but not least, below this kind of varied, it had been identified that will 63. 01% in the participants say yes to the point that they may be conscious of everything within the currency markets and may with confidence help make expenditure choices depending on this particular understanding. Because of this, 30. 45% with the participants concur plus thirty-three. 56% on the participants highly agree with this specific declaration.

2.29 Finally, the particular scientists researched typically the adjustable “Self-attribution Bias” simply by wondering the particular participants about several goods that were utilized to examine the presence of this prejudice one of the test populace. These people located that will 67. 81% from the participants own decided to blaming all their previous financial commitment problems around the wrong suggestions or even guidance provided by buddies, family members or perhaps family members, from this quantity, thirty six. 00% of your participants contain arranged whilst 35. 82% have got firmly decided to the particular affirmation. 69. 86% belonging to the participants accepted for the declaration that will the previous investment decision disappointments have been because of inappropriate suggestions through experts, agents, or even expense experts. With this, thirty six. thirty percent from the participants be in agreement the particular assertion although thirty-three. 56% within the participants highly receive this. In addition, underneath this particular adjustable, participants had been questioned when they believe that their own earlier purchase downfalls had been because of the misfortune along with other optimistic aspects, that seventy four. 66% for the participants clarified simply by saying yes. From this, thirty-two. 88% from the participants decided when forty one. 78% in the participants highly consented to typically the declaration. Following this, the particular participants were inquired when they compensated their particular lucrative opportunities for their personal particular investment decision abilities in addition to 79. 77% with the participants responded by simply tallying. From this, thirty two. 19% from the participants decided whilst fourthy-six. 58% from the participants firmly decided to typically the affirmation. Lastly, within this kind of adjustable, it had been observed that will 75. 54% from the participants shown along with recognizing of which their very own activities and even information impacted the outcomes within their acquiring successful purchases. This really is additional separated in to twenty two. 60 per cent on the participants uniting towards the declaration even though forty seven. 95% of this participants highly opted for this.

2.30 Finally, the particular scientists analyzed typically the varying “Herding Bias” simply by asking the particular participants to discover regardless of whether this particular prejudice is present within their expenditure choices. Typically the analysts began by simply wondering if the participants might think of accumulated whenever trading. For this, they will determine that will fifth 89. 73% of your participants will think about the info, because of this fourthy-six. 58% belonging to the participants decided whilst 43. 15% within the participants highly decided to problem. Following this, typically the analysts continued to be able to concern if the participants the actual marketplace from the economic information every week. Remarkably, these people seen of which 79. 77% for the participants stick to the economical information, from which will thirty six. 00% from the from the participants arranged plus forty one. 78% from the participants highly decided to the particular declaration. Furthermore, below this specific adjustable, typically the research workers inquired the particular participants when they employ their particular details together with evaluation whenever investing, to the fifty eight. 91% in the participants consented to typically the declaration. Even though, 40. 47% with the participants highly opted for this kind of declaration, twenty eight. 77% from the participants disagreed into it. After that, the particular research workers continued in order to inquire when the participants would certainly look at the info primarily centered on with the most of typically the buyers whilst buying and selling on the market, they will uncovered that will 69. 17% on the participants could concentrate on exactly the same details. Although fifty two. 05% of this participants highly go along with this particular assertion, something like 20. 57% of your participants argue from it. Lastly, the particular doctors expected the particular participants should they might buy the exact same opportunities because their friends and family, that sixty four. 38% from the participants decided. 50 percent belonging to the participants highly decided to this particular state,, although twenty one. 23% within the participants highly disagreed with this particular declaration.

### 2.31 4.4 Inferential Analysis

#### Pearson Correlation Test

Pearson Correlation Table

--

		Investment Decisions	Overconfidence Bias	Self-attribution Bias	Herding Bias
Investment Decisions	Pearson Correlation	1	.598**	.507**	.233**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.005
	N	148	146	146	146
Overconfidence Bias	Pearson Correlation	.598**	1	.902**	.176*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.034
	N	146	146	146	146
Self-attribution Bias	Pearson Correlation	.507**	.902**	1	.066
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.430
	N	146	146	146	146
Herding Bias	Pearson Correlation	.233**	.176*	.066	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.005	.034	.430	
	N	146	146	146	146

Once we can easily see within Desk four. some, the particular Pearson Relationship check implies that there exists a persuasive good partnership among expense choices plus overconfidence prejudice plus self-attribution tendency, whilst herding opinion offers less solid effect on investment decision choices. The particular relationship rapport among overconfidence prejudice, self-attribution error plus herding propensity in order to purchase selections associated with youngsters are usually zero. 598, zero. 507 plus zero 233 correspondingly. These types of outcomes display there is good connection between impartial factors as well as the reliant adjustable since the ideals are in between zero in addition to plus one nonetheless, the particular impartial factors impact the reliant factors in various methods along with overconfidence becoming the particular most powerful optimistic impact having a pourcentage (r) associated with zero. 598, accompanied by the 2nd most powerful getting self-attribution prejudice having an agent (r) regarding zero. 507 and even herding prejudice staying typically the poorest impact having a ratio (r) involving zero. 233.

Depending on these types of outcomes, all of us consent that will overconfidence impacts the particular expenditure choices associated with youngsters probably the most. And after that, self-attribution prejudice impacts the other the majority of within the financial commitment choices regarding adults, whilst herding disposition has got the minimum important impact on typically the expense choices involving youngsters.

**Multiple Linear Regression Analysis**

Several geradlinig regression evaluation is utilized to realize the connection between impartial factors plus reliant adjustable. This particular check has been accustomed to additional determine the importance from the associations in the relationship, and additional symbolize when the partnership is adequately substantial involving the self-employed factors as well as the based mostly adjustable.

**a. Model Summary**

Model Summary Table

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.614 <sup>a</sup>	.377	.364	2.302

a. Predictors: (Constant), Herding Bias, Self-attribution Bias, Overconfidence Bias

Desk four five displays the particular design overview associated with exactly what degree Overconfidence Prejudice, Self-attribution prejudice plus Herding Tendency impact the expense choices regarding youngsters within Delhi, Indian. The particular determine to become appeared to with this desk may be the R-Square physique. This displays typically the difference which is made up from the impartial adjustable. Via Desk some. a few, you observe that will thirty seven seven percent from the variants within expense selections result from the particular impartial factors, which means that Overconfidence Prejudice, Self-attribution Opinion plus Herding Prejudice take into account 40 seven percent from the versions within the investment decision judgments involving youngsters. Quite simply, they have got very an impact around the choices, whilst sixty two. 3% in the different versions happen because of aspects disregarded with this research.

**ANOVA Test**

ANOVA Test

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	455.995	3	151.998	28.673	.000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	752.745	142	5.301		
	Total	1208.740	145			
a. Dependent Variable: Investment Decisions						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Herding Bias, Self-attribution Bias, Overconfidence Bias						

Because observed in desk four. six, the importance degree for that check continues to be determined because p(0.000). That worth is less than zero. 05, the outcomes possess figured the connection between factors will be geradlinig. This particular determines the building blocks with regard to interpretation the particular regression pourcentage.

**b. Coefficient of Determination**

Coefficient of Determination

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	
1	(Constant)	12.504	1.371		9.122	.000
	Overconfidence Bias	.339	.079	.684	4.284	.000
	Self-attribution Bias	-.065	.087	-.119	-.752	.453

	Herding Bias	.104	.060	.120	1.743	.083
a. Dependent Variable: Investment Decisions						

2.32 Once we can easily see through Desk four seven, overconfidence prejudice includes an extremely statistically substantial partnership along with expense choices because worth will be  $<0.05$  plus herding prejudice includes a statistically substantial partnership since worth is  $<0.05$ , yet self-attribution prejudice does not need a substantial connection together with expense choices. In addition, you observe that this overconfidence prejudice in addition to herding prejudice give rise to investment decision choices simply by thirty-three. 9% and even ten. 4% correspondingly. Which means that a rise with a device within overconfidence tendency will certainly result in the thirty-three. 9% embrace investment decision selections along with a device embrace the particular herding prejudice will certainly result in a ten. 4% embrace typically the purchase choices.

### 2.33 4.5 Hypotheses Testing

*The particular ideas developed with this research had been the following. The particular speculation will be created based on the outcomes from the particular regression desk four. seven. Typically the speculation is usually approved when the p-value is no more than zero. 05 plus declined when it is over zero. 05.*

Hypotheses Table

No. of hypotheses	Hypotheses	Sig value ( $p \leq 0.05$ )	Result
H <sub>1</sub>	There exists a partnership among overconfidence prejudice plus expense choices associated with youngsters within Delhi, Indian	$P < 0.05$ (0.00)	Accept H <sub>1</sub>
H <sub>2</sub>	There exists a partnership among self-attribution prejudice plus expense choices associated with youngsters within Delhi, Indian	$P > 0.05$ (0.453)	Reject H <sub>2</sub>
H <sub>3</sub>	There exists a partnership among herding prejudice plus expense choices associated with youngsters within Delhi, Indian	$P > 0.05$ (0.083)	Reject H <sub>3</sub>

## Findings

For that adjustable “Overconfidence Bias”, the particular experts discovered it has a substantial impact on typically the expense choices from the youngsters within Delhi, Indian. It was demonstrated from the percentage associated with regression, because demonstrated within desk four. seven, which usually reached  $<0.05$ . The particular relationship between 2 factors seemed to be observed as the most powerful having a relationship agent ( $r$ ) regarding zero. 598, since observed in Desk some. 5. This particular resulted in the particular approval involving H1, which usually says there is present a substantial partnership among overconfidence prejudice plus expense selections associated with youngsters inside Delhi, Indian. This is often additional maintained typically the subsequent proof.

Because earlier mentioned, research which was carried out simply by Lim (2012) experienced figured overconfidence has been common on the market together an absolute effect on the particular decision-making capability associated with traders. It was furthermore backed simply by Javed ainsi que ing. (2017). It had been discovered that will overconfidence prejudice impacts typically the investment decision judgments regarding traders within the Tehran stock exchange by simply Rostami plus Dehaghani (2015). Scientific proof has been given by Al-Hilu ou 's. (2017) of which offered the presence of overconfidence prejudice inside the buyers within UAE. These people discovered that will overconfidence will impact the investment decision choices in the UAE traders.

For that varying “Self-attribution Bias”, the particular experts found that self-attribution does not have any substantial influence on the particular purchase choices associated with adults throughout Delhi, Of india. Even though, the particular connection involving the factors was very higher in a relationship ratio ( $r$ ) involving zero. 507, it had been recognized that this regression division associated with zero. 453 had been greater than zero. 05, meaning that the particular H1 seemed to be declined, instantly resulting in the particular approval with the null speculation which often mentioned there is simply no substantial connection among self-attribution tendency plus purchase selections.

Inside a research carried out simply by Sheppard (2008), it absolutely was discovered of which self-attribution will not impact the expenditure choices regarding shareholders but rather it had been utilized like a device in order to impact typically the behavior. Within an additional research simply by Kafayat (2014) it had been discovered that will self-attribution does not need an impact around the financial commitment judgments associated with traders within Pakistan.

For your changing “Herding Bias”, the particular scientists identified of which herding prejudice does not need a substantial impact on typically the expenditure choices involving youngsters inside Delhi, India. Since noticed in Desk four. some, herding opinion in addition to expense selections got the cheapest relationship having a relationship percentage ( $r$ ) associated with zero. 233 along with a p-value associated with zero. 083 throughout Desk 5. seven. Since the p-value will be greater than zero. 05, the particular speculation that will herding error includes a substantial influence on expense choices regarding youngsters within Delhi, Asia has been declined. This particular instantly generated the particular approval involving null speculation which in turn declares there is zero considerable partnership in between herding prejudice and even investment decision choices associated with adults within Delhi, The Indian subcontinent.

Demirer together with Kutan (2006) performed a report within the Chinese language share marketplaces and located that will herding propensity will not impact the purchase choices on the buyers within the Shenzhen plus Shanghai in china inventory trades. The particular figured it was because of the many shareholders as well as the numerous various kinds of companies which were on the trades. Within research executed by simply Lim (2012) mentioned that will herding disposition will not impact the expenditure choices of people.

## Conclusion

Evidence of the research adds considerably towards the attempts to reply to problem associated with where behavioral biases impact the expense choices regarding youngsters within Delhi, Indian. This certifies there is a good presence associated with behavioral biases to some degree plus implies that youngsters perform have biases whilst trading.

This particular examine identifies outcomes that are in line with formerly released books upon overconfidence, self-attribution plus herding. Additionally it is the very first analyze within the Indian native framework in order to lead proof around the presence involving behavioral biases inside expense choices associated with person youngsters. The biggest inference from the research is the fact that because of the presence from the biases, the particular opportunities are usually impacted. Therefore, to be able to conquer this particular, traders ought to determine their own biases and find out through previous errors and also learn how to get over their particular biases. An upcoming suggestion will be to thin over the subject in addition to choose further subjects to operate upon. Since the experts possess protected three behavior biases associated with overconfidence, self-attribution plus herding, some other scientists may review the result regarding additional biases' living inside the Native Indian marketplace, like repent repulsion prejudice or even psychological data processing prejudice, and so forth An additional suggestion should be to increase the particular test dimension as well as the populace included. Since the experts have got protected research throughout Delhi, Indian, various other analysts can attempt to research typically the conduct consist of areas of Of india or even Asia in general which may need a big test sizing and could precisely estimation the specific a result of behavioral biases upon investment decision selections. An additional suggestion might be that will an additional tendency might be found out from your American indian industry which may be analyzed on and also attempt to designate the study in to a lot more sex dependent, that studies have protected age group dependent currently.

#### REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, S., Chiu, I.M., Liu, C. and Rhee, S.G. (2011), "The brokerage firm effect in herding: Evidence from Indonesia", *Journal of Financial Research*, Vol. 34 No. 3, pp.461-479.
2. Al-Hilu, K., Sohel Azad, A.S.M., Chazi, A. and Khallaf, A. (2017), "Investors' behaviour in an emerging, tax-free market", *Emerging markets finance and trade*, Vol. 53 No. 7, pp.1573-1588.
3. Balcilar, M. and Demirer, R. (2015), "Effect of global shocks and volatility on herd behaviour in an emerging market: Evidence from Borsa Istanbul", *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, Vol. 51 No. 1, pp.140-159.
4. Bondt, W. and Thaler, R.H. (1995), "Financial decision-making in markets and firms: a behavioral perspective", in *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science*, North Holland, Amsterdam, Vol. 9, pp. 385-410.
5. Coleman, L. (2014), "Why finance theory fails to survive contact with the real world: a fund manager perspective", *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp.226-236.
6. Coleman, L. (2015), "Facing up to fund managers: an exploratory field study of how institutional investors make decisions", *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp.111-135.
7. Cuthbertson, K., Nitzsche, D., and O'Sullivan, N. (2016), "A review of behavioral and management effects in mutual fund performance", *International Review of Financial Analysis*, Vol. 44, pp.162-176.
8. D'Acunto, F. (2016), "Tear down this Wall Street: The effect of anti-market ideology on investment decisions".
9. Demirer, R. and Kutun, A.M. (2006), "Does herding behaviour exist in Chinese stock markets?" *Journal of international Financial markets, institutions and money*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp.123-142.
10. Ellis, A. (1976), "The biological basis of human irrationality", *Journal of Individual Psychology*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp.145-168.
11. Eriksson, P. and Kovalainen, A. (2015), "Qualitative methods in business research: A practical guide to social research", Sage.
12. Feldman, T. and Lepori, G. (2016), "Asset price formation and behavioral biases", *Review of Behavioral Finance*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp.137-155.
13. Galariotis, E.C., Krokida, S.I. and Spyrou, S.I. (2016), "Herd behaviour and equity market liquidity: Evidence from major markets", *International Review of Financial Analysis*, Vol. 48, pp.140-149.
14. Hammond, R.C. (2015), "Behavioral finance: Its history and its future".
15. Herlean, G. (2020), "Council Post: How Millennials Are Changing The Future Of Investment." [online] *Forbes*. Available at: <<https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesfinancecouncil/2019/04/02/how-millennials-are-changing-the-future-of-investment/#41394b6142fe>> [Accessed 9 August 2020].
16. Hoffmann, A.O. and Post, T. (2014), "Self-attribution bias in consumer financial decision-making: How investment returns affect individuals' belief in skill", *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, Vol. 52, pp.23-28.

17. Hoffmann, A.O., Post, T. and Pennings, J.M. (2015), "How investor perceptions drive actual trading and risk-taking behaviour", *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp.94-103.
18. Huang, T.C., Lin, B.H. and Yang, T.H. (2015), "Herd behaviour and idiosyncratic volatility", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 68 No. 4, pp.763-770.
19. Javed, H., Bagh, T. and Razzaq, S. (2017), "Herding Effects, Over Confidence, Availability Bias and Representativeness as Behavioral Determinants of Perceived Investment Performance: An Empirical Evidence from Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX)", *J Glob Econ*, Vol. 6 No. 275, p.2.
20. Kafayat, A. (2014), "Interrelationship of biases: effect investment decisions ultimately." *Theoretical & Applied Economics*, Vol. 21 No. 6.
21. Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979), "Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk", *Econometrica*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp.363-391.
22. Kengatharan, L. and Kengatharan, N. (2014), "The influence of behavioral factors in making investment decisions and performance: study on investors of Colombo stock exchange, Sri Lanka", *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 1.
23. Kishori, B. and Kumar, D. (2016), "A study on factors influencing the investors' decision making in stock market with special reference to Indian stock market", *International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 39-43.
24. Kline, R.B. (2011), "Principles and practice of structural equation modeling (4 th editions)", New York.
25. Krejcie, R.V. and Morgan, D.W. (1970), "Determining sample size for research activities", *Educational and psychological measurement*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp.607-610.
26. Lim, L.C. (2012), "The relationship between psychological biases and the decision making of investor in Malaysian share market", in *Unpublished Paper International Conference on Management, Economics & Finance (ICMEF 2012) Proceeding*.
27. McCusker, K. and Gunaydin, S., 2015. Research using qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods and choice based on the research. *Perfusion*, 30(7), pp.537-542.
28. Messis, P. and Zapranis, A. (2014), "Herding behaviour and volatility in the Athens Stock Exchange", *The Journal of Risk Finance*, Vol. 15 No. 5, pp.572-590.
29. Mishra, K. and Metilda, M. (2015), "A study on the impact of investment experience, gender, and level of education on overconfidence and self-attribution bias", *IIMB Management Review*, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp.228-239.
30. Mittal, S.K. (2019), "Behavior biases and investment decision: theoretical and research framework", *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*.
31. Mushinada, V. and Veluri, V. (2019), "Elucidating investors rationality and behavioral biases in Indian stock market", *Review of Behavioral Finance*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp.201-219.
32. Mushinada, V.N.C. and Veluri, V.S.S. (2018a), "Investors overconfidence behaviour at Bombay Stock Exchange", *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp.613-632.
33. Mushinada, V.N.C. and Veluri, V.S.S. (2018b), "Self-attribution, overconfidence and dynamic market volatility in Indian stock market", *Global Business Review*, p.0972150918779288.
34. Papadovasilaki, D., Guerrero, F., Sundali, J. and Stone, G. (2015), "How important are early investment experiences on subsequent investment decisions? A laboratory experiment on asset allocation", *Managerial Finance*, Vol. 41 No. 6, pp.582-590.
35. Petry, N.M. (2002) "A comparison of young, middle-aged, and older adult treatment-seeking pathological gamblers", *The Gerontologist*, Vol 42 No. 1, pp.92-99.
36. Pikulina, E., Renneboog, L. and Tobler, P.N. (2017), "Overconfidence and investment: An experimental approach", *Journal of Corporate Finance*, Vol. 43, pp.175-192.
37. Prosad, J.M., Kapoor, S., Sengupta, J. and Roychoudhary, S. (2017), "Overconfidence and disposition effect in Indian equity market: an empirical evidence", *Global Business Review*, Vol. 19 No. 5, pp.1303-1321.
38. Rostami, M. and Dehaghani, Z.A. (2015), "Impact of Behavioral Biases (overconfidence, ambiguity-aversion and loss-aversion) on Investment Making Decision in Tehran Stock Exchange", *Journal of scientific Research and development*, Vol. 2 No. 4, pp.60-64.
39. Sarpong, P. and Sibanda, M. (2014), "Against the herd: Contrarian investment strategies on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange", *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, Vol. 6, pp.120-129.

40. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., Thornhill, A. and Wilson, J. (2009), "Business research methods", Financial Times, Prentice Hall: London.
41. Schumacker, R.E. and Lomax, R.G. (2010), "A Beginner's Guide to. Structural Equation Modeling (3rd Edition)", New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
42. Shepperd, J., Malone, W. and Sweeny, K. (2008), "Exploring causes of the self-serving bias", Social and Personality Psychology Compass, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp.895-908.
43. Simon, H.A. (1955), "A behavioral model of rational choice", The quarterly journal of economics, Vol. 69 No. 1, pp.99-118.
44. Thaler, R. (1980), "Toward a positive theory of consumer choice", Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp.39-60.
45. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1986), "Rational Choice and the Framing of Decisions", The Journal of Business, Vol. 59 No. 4, pp.S251-78.
46. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1992), "Advances in prospect theory: Cumulative representation of uncertainty", Journal of Risk and uncertainty, Vol. 5 No. 4, pp.297-323.
47. Woiceshyn, J. and Daellenbach, U. (2018), "Evaluating inductive vs deductive research in management studies: Implications for authors, editors, and reviewers", Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp.183-195.
48. Zahera, S. and Bansal, R. (2018), "Do investors exhibit behavioral biases in investment decision making? A systematic review", Qualitative Research in Financial Markets, pp.1-9