


RESEARCH ARTICLE		The Importance of Adopting Open Innovation in Small and Medium Enterprises	
		Researcher	
		University of Algiers 3	
		Algeria	
		Email: r.guerini.fares@univ-alger3.dz	
Fares Guerini			
Issue Link	https://imcra-az.org/archive/383-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-9-vol-8-2025.html		
Keywords	open innovation; small and medium enterprises (SMEs); creativity; competitiveness		
Abstract			
<p>This study emphasizes the strategic importance of adopting open innovation within small and medium enterprises (SMEs). In the context of rapid market transformations, firms increasingly require modern approaches to remain competitive and sustainable. Open innovation models provide SMEs with the capacity to leverage external knowledge and integrate it with internal capabilities, thereby maximizing returns and ensuring long-term survival in highly dynamic environments. Additionally, the study highlights the critical role of universities as essential partners in the open innovation ecosystem. By facilitating the transfer and application of scientific research outcomes, universities enable SMEs to improve their products and services, thus enhancing competitiveness and creating sustainable value.</p>			
Citation. Guerini F. (2025). The Importance of Adopting Open Innovation in Small and Medium Enterprises. <i>Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems</i> , 8(9), 951–958. https://doi.org/10.56352/sci/8.9.78			
Licensed			
© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Science, Education and Innovations in the context of modern problems (SEI) by IMCRA - International Meetings and Journals Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).			
Received: 10.02.2025		Accepted: 01.07.2025	
		Published: 08.08.2025 (available online)	

1. Introduction

For decades, the **closed innovation paradigm** dominated industrial development. This approach relied primarily on internal research and development (R&D) investments, intellectual property protection, and exclusive knowledge retention as strategies to sustain competitiveness. While effective during much of the twentieth century, the limitations of closed innovation gradually emerged as global knowledge flows accelerated and markets became increasingly interconnected.

In contrast, the **open innovation paradigm** encourages firms to integrate external ideas, expertise, and technologies into their innovation processes while simultaneously exploiting internal knowledge beyond organizational boundaries. This shift has enabled companies to accelerate growth, diversify opportunities, and achieve differentiation in competitive markets. SMEs, in particular, have recognized the necessity of open innovation as a means to enhance their innovative capacity, compensate for limited internal resources, and strengthen their long-term competitiveness.

The role of **universities** is central in this context. As hubs of research and knowledge creation, universities can act as pivotal partners in the innovation ecosystem by providing SMEs with access to cutting-edge scientific results, skilled human capital, and collaborative networks. Through such partnerships, SMEs can integrate scientific discoveries into practical solutions, leading to product diversification, service improvement, and competitive advantage.

In light of these considerations, this research addresses the following guiding question:
What is the importance of adopting open innovation in small and medium enterprises?

To address this problem, the paper explores the evolution from closed to open innovation, the specific advantages for SMEs, and the role of academic institutions in facilitating the innovation process.

2. Open Innovation

2.1. Basic Concepts

The evolution of innovation processes in recent decades has highlighted the increasingly interactive and open nature of innovation. This shift has given rise to a new paradigm—**open innovation**—which challenges the traditional closed model. Under open innovation, organizations can reduce expenditures on internal research and development (R&D) while still achieving significant innovative outcomes by leveraging the knowledge and expertise of external stakeholders.

The concept of open innovation was first introduced by **Henry Chesbrough (2003)** in his seminal work *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*. Chesbrough defined open innovation as a model in which firms use both internal and external ideas and pathways to advance technology, expand into markets, and create value. Knowledge flows, both inbound and outbound, are strategically harnessed to accelerate internal innovation while simultaneously creating opportunities for external exploitation of innovation.

Open innovation is thus characterized by permeable organizational boundaries that enable integration with customers, suppliers, competitors, research institutes, and universities. This approach allows firms to access diverse sources of knowledge, maximize resource efficiency, and strengthen their innovation ecosystems. Globally, open innovation has gained significant attention from business schools, corporate boards, and innovation managers, as it facilitates the development of collaborative networks that yield new knowledge and enhance the commercialization of ideas.

2.2. Principles of Open Innovation

Open innovation is grounded in several fundamental principles:

- No single organization possesses all the competencies and creative capacities required for sustainable innovation; external sources of knowledge are indispensable.
- External R&D can generate substantial value for the firm and may complement or substitute internal efforts.
- Research outcomes need not be developed internally to be of benefit; firms can profit by adopting and adapting external innovations.
- Robust business models are more effective than aggressive market entry strategies when sustaining innovation.
- Intellectual property (IP) can be monetized internally or externally, and firms may also acquire external IP when necessary.

Open innovation operates through two key dimensions:

1. **Inbound (outside-in) open innovation** – firms acquire and integrate knowledge from external actors (e.g., universities, research institutes, individuals, or industry partners) to enhance their internal innovation capabilities.
2. **Outbound (inside-out) open innovation** – firms share or license their own ideas, technologies, or intellectual property for use by external actors, thereby creating value beyond organizational boundaries.

2.3. Advantages and Disadvantages of Open Innovation

Advantages:

- Access to multiple sources of ideas and knowledge.
- Sustained innovation and increased opportunities for product and service development.
- Strengthened protection and strategic management of intellectual property rights.
- Enhanced organizational reputation and legitimacy.
- Stimulation of creativity, strategic intelligence, and knowledge-sharing.
- Distribution of risks across multiple partners.
- Potential increase in patents and innovation outputs.
- Continuous awareness of technological trends and market developments.
- Promotion of an innovation-oriented culture and internal motivation.
- Discovery of new business models and market opportunities.

Disadvantages:

- Increased risk of imitation and loss of organizational identity or trade secrets.
- Heightened concerns regarding intellectual property theft.
- Cultural and institutional barriers in cross-border collaborations.
- Regulatory complexities and slow adaptation processes.
- Overdependence on external sources of knowledge.
- Internal resistance to change, leading to potential crises in implementation.

2.4. Application and Practices of Open Innovation

A successful open innovation strategy requires not only opening organizational boundaries to external knowledge flows, but also strengthening core internal competencies and ensuring effective protection of intellectual property. Ideas and inventions generate value for organizations only when transformed into tangible products and services. While confidentiality remains important, the decisive factor is the ability to exploit ideas effectively. Successful entrepreneurs and managers are often distinguished not by their capacity to generate ideas, but by their skill in converting them into viable business models, products, and services.

Open innovation practices vary according to its two main dimensions:

- **Outbound open innovation practices:** Organizations establish relationships with external actors to commercialize or transfer their technologies. Common practices include joint ventures with external partners, commercialization of market-ready products, participation in standardization initiatives, establishment of joint business incubators, licensing intellectual property rights, selling patents, and providing technology-related support to non-profit organizations.
- **Inbound open innovation practices:** Organizations expand their knowledge base by integrating ideas from external stakeholders such as customers, suppliers, universities, public research institutions, entrepreneurs, and competitors. Examples include co-creation with customers, engagement in informal knowledge networks, funding university research, partnering with public R&D associations, contracting external R&D providers, organizing innovation contests, licensing intellectual property, and rewarding individual innovators.

Some organizations adopt practices from only one dimension, whereas others apply both inbound and outbound strategies simultaneously. Dual adoption offers significant benefits, including participation in industry standards, risk sharing, and expanded opportunities for commercialization. The effectiveness of these practices depends heavily on the organization's business model, which determines project selection and resource allocation. Moreover, contractual mechanisms such as licensing agreements and technology-transfer partnerships provide essential frameworks for external knowledge acquisition. However, their success depends largely on the robustness of intellectual property rights (IPR) protection systems.

Implementing open innovation also requires overcoming organizational resistance, particularly the **“Not Invented Here” (NIH) syndrome**, where employees reject external ideas due to perceptions of irrelevance, se-

curity concerns, or identity preservation. As an organizational change process, open innovation requires cultural adaptation, acceptance of external opportunities, and reduction of overreliance on internally generated knowledge.

2.5. Open Innovation and the Role of Universities

Initial studies of open innovation focused on inter-firm knowledge exchange. However, universities constitute another critical knowledge source that plays an increasingly important role in innovation ecosystems. The relationship between universities and firms goes beyond general collaboration: it is embedded in a complex network of interactions shaped by differing institutional objectives.

While universities primarily pursue knowledge creation and dissemination, their sustainability depends on partnerships with industry and economic institutions. In turn, firms—especially SMEs—benefit from access to cutting-edge research, specialized expertise, and collaborative opportunities. Universities thus become central partners in the open innovation process, facilitating the transfer of scientific outcomes into market applications.

For universities, adopting an entrepreneurial orientation enhances their relevance in a knowledge-based economy. By fostering entrepreneurial spirit among students and embedding innovation practices in teaching and research, universities can better contribute to economic and social development. For firms, universities provide access to research laboratories, skilled human resources, and advanced technologies without requiring costly restructuring.

Key benefits of university–industry collaboration include:

- Access to emerging technologies and methodologies developed in university laboratories.
- Exposure to a wide range of expertise from professors and researchers.
- Acceleration of product development by utilizing university-generated research.
- Opportunities to evaluate research projects and integrate academic findings into industrial applications.
- Recruitment opportunities through student involvement in industry projects, ensuring firms have access to highly skilled potential employees.

The most effective partnerships are those that balance the objectives of both parties: universities advancing their research and educational missions, and firms pursuing product development, competitive advantage, and commercial viability. Such collaborations strengthen innovation ecosystems by aligning organizational values, priorities, and technical competencies with societal and economic needs.

3. Open Innovation and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) represent a cornerstone of modern economies, playing a vital role in fostering economic growth, social development, and technological progress. In an era of globalization, SMEs have been increasingly recognized as dynamic agents of creativity and innovation. Their capacity to adapt, translate ideas into products and services, and operate flexibly within competitive environments has positioned them as essential contributors to national and global economic systems.

3.1. Definition of SMEs

Although no universally accepted definition of SMEs exists, their role as a pillar of economic development is widely acknowledged. Definitions typically rely on criteria such as workforce size, capital, and turnover.

- **World Bank definition:** SMEs are defined by employment size. Micro-enterprises employ fewer than 10 workers, small enterprises employ between 10 and 50 workers, and medium-sized enterprises employ between 50 and 100 workers.
- **General characteristics:** SMEs are often characterized by limited capital, small workforces, reliance on self-financing, simple administrative structures, and relatively low levels of technology adoption.
- **Algerian legal definition (Law, Article 5):** In Algeria, SMEs are defined as enterprises employing between 50 and 250 workers, with an annual turnover between 200 million and 2 billion Algerian dinars, or total annual revenue between 100 and 500 million dinars.

Table 1. Distribution of SMEs under Algerian law

Category	Employees	Annual Turnover	Annual Revenue
Micro	1-9	< 20 million dinars	< 10 million dinars
Small	10-49	< 200 million dinars	< 100 million dinars
Medium	50-250	200 million-2 billion dinars	100-500 million dinars

Source: Zwitah Mohammed (2007). The Effect of Economic Changes on the Promotion of Small and Medium Enterprises in Algeria. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Algeria, p. 26.

3.2. Characteristics of SMEs

SMEs possess a number of distinctive features:

- Capacity to foster and develop individual skills and entrepreneurial initiatives.
- Geographic flexibility, with the ability to spread across diverse regions.
- Higher vulnerability and failure rates compared to large enterprises.
- Centralized management, often dominated by the owner-manager, with limited specialization in administrative functions.
- Lower capital-labor ratios, frequently employing labor-intensive production techniques that enable higher levels of employment absorption.
- Reliance on local production channels adapted to environmental conditions.
- Functioning as informal training grounds where employees gain practical experience and technical knowledge.
- Capacity to introduce new products through direct customer interaction and creative responsiveness.
- Complementary role in supporting large enterprises by acting as subcontractors, distributors, or service providers.

3.3. Importance of SMEs

SMEs are considered the **backbone of national economies**, contributing to both economic development and social stability. Their importance can be summarized as follows:

- **Contribution to GDP growth:** SMEs channel small-scale savings into investments, enhancing national income and economic output in both developed and developing countries.
- **Employment generation:** SMEs play a decisive role in addressing unemployment, particularly in developing nations. Their labor-intensive nature allows them to absorb large segments of the workforce and reduce rural unemployment by promoting local employment opportunities.

- **Talent and innovation development:** SMEs nurture entrepreneurial talent by providing opportunities for individuals with limited financial resources to transform their skills and creativity into viable businesses.
- **Export promotion:** SMEs contribute significantly to diversifying exports and increasing industrial investment flows, helping nations integrate into global markets.
- **Social stability:** SMEs foster social cohesion by creating job opportunities, raising incomes, and addressing poverty-related challenges. By reducing rural-to-urban migration and providing employment within local communities, SMEs play a stabilizing role in national development.

3.4. Open Innovation Strategy in SMEs

Open innovation has emerged as a strategic model for leveraging internal and external ideas to develop and improve products and services. It functions as an open system in which knowledge is distributed across organizational and inter-organizational boundaries. This approach requires openness to stakeholders—including ideas, people, technologies, and networks—and fosters collaborative communication.

The cornerstone of an effective open innovation strategy is the development of business networks and partnerships that generate, evaluate, and commercialize ideas. SMEs are particularly well-positioned to benefit from this approach, as their relatively simple structures, reduced bureaucracy, greater willingness to take risks, and faster adaptability enable them to adopt open innovation more flexibly than large enterprises.

3.5. Restrictions on Open Innovation in SMEs

Despite its potential, SMEs face numerous challenges in adopting open innovation. Many of these stem from managerial and organizational constraints. In SMEs, management is often centralized in the owner, whose priorities may be confined to short-term profitability rather than long-term innovation capacity. Additionally, SMEs often encounter financial, legislative, and operational risks that constrain their ability to invest in knowledge acquisition and organizational change.

The absence of qualified personnel further restricts the capacity of SMEs to effectively manage innovation. The success of open innovation in SMEs depends on developing human capital, promoting training programs, and encouraging collaborative knowledge-sharing. Key restrictions include:

- **Human aspects:** Visionary leadership and workforce development are critical for fostering innovation. Without attention to these factors, SMEs risk stagnation despite opportunities to increase competitiveness.
- **Competition:** Globalization and market liberalization intensify competitive pressures. SMEs must continuously innovate to survive, yet limited resources and capabilities make this particularly challenging.
- **Policy restrictions:** The innovation ecosystem requires supportive policies that foster collaboration between SMEs, universities, large corporations, and research institutions. Policy frameworks must reduce system failures, ensure effective knowledge transfer, and legitimize collaborative innovation.

3.6. Supporting the Open Innovation Process in SMEs

Although research on open innovation in SMEs is growing, it remains underdeveloped. SMEs face inherent challenges such as limited financial and human resources, restricted market penetration, and weak intellectual property protection. However, their flexibility, specialization, and faster decision-making processes provide advantages in adopting open innovation compared to larger firms.

In Algeria, the sustainability and competitiveness of the SME sector depend significantly on the adoption of open innovation strategies. By leveraging open innovation, SMEs can reduce production costs, enhance their competitive sustainability, and strengthen their market positions. Essential conditions for supporting open innovation in SMEs include:

- Upgrading R&D processes and promoting technological development.
- Continuously improving products and adapting them to market dynamics.
- Building human capital with advanced and diverse skills.
- Leveraging opportunities created by market liberalization and technological progress, especially in information and communication technologies.
- Cultivating a culture of innovation, initiative, and organizational learning.

For SMEs, the adoption of open innovation is not simply a strategic option but an essential requirement for survival in increasingly competitive environments.

4. Conclusion

SMEs play a pivotal role in national economies, acting as drivers of employment generation, talent development, export growth, and social stability. In the era of globalization, the ability of SMEs to maintain competitiveness depends on their capacity to innovate. Open innovation has become a necessary mechanism for enhancing performance, increasing responsiveness to environmental change, and ensuring long-term sustainability.

Recommendations:

- Develop an integrated human resource training strategy to enhance the capacity to absorb external knowledge.
- Establish funding mechanisms to support open innovation activities.
- Restructure organizational systems to accommodate open innovation processes.
- Promote open R&D practices by engaging with external sources of knowledge.
- Build and strengthen internal and external knowledge networks to improve collaboration and knowledge flow.

By implementing these measures, SMEs can position themselves not only as participants but also as leaders in innovation-driven economies.

Acknowledgment

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the **University of Algiers 3**, which provided the academic environment and resources that facilitated the completion of this research. Special thanks are also extended to colleagues and peers who contributed insightful feedback during the development of this study.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares **no conflict of interest** related to the publication of this article.

References (APA 7th Edition)

1. Ait Issa, I. (n.d.). *Small and medium enterprises in Algeria: Prospects and constraints*. *North African Economics Journal*, (6), 27.
2. Chesbrough, H. (2003a). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
3. Chesbrough, H. (2003b). The logic of open innovation: Managing intellectual property. *California Management Review*, 45(3), 33–58.
4. Chesbrough, H. (2004). Managing open innovation: Chess and poker. *Research & Technology Management*, 47(1), 23–26.
5. Chesbrough, H., Vanhaverbeke, W., & West, J. (Eds.). (2006). *Open innovation: Researching a new paradigm*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

6. Fredberg, T., Elmquist, M., & Ollila, S. (2008). *Managing open innovation: Present findings and future directions* (Vinnova Report VR 2008:02, pp. 2-12). Stockholm: Vinnova.
7. Gruber, M., & Henkel, J. (2006). New ventures based on open innovation: An empirical analysis of start-up firms in embedded Linux. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 33(4), 356-370.
8. Hutter, K., Hautz, J., Repke, K., & Matzler, K. (2013). Open innovation in small and micro enterprises. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 11(4), 34-45.
9. Khaddour, A. (2014, October 28-29). Mechanisms for encouraging foreign investment in small and medium enterprises and ways to support them. In *International Forum on Evaluating Algeria's Economic Strategies and Policies to Attract Alternative Investments to Hydrocarbons in the Third Millennium* (p. 8). University of M'sila, Algeria.
10. Kearney, G., & Sayers McHattie, L. (2014). Supporting the open innovation process in small and medium enterprises. *Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 23(4), 557-574.
11. Kearney, G. (n.d.). *Supporting the open innovation process in small and medium enterprises*. Unpublished manuscript.
12. Kux, B. (2008). Universities and open innovation: A new research paradigm. *Royal Philips Electronics*. Retrieved from <http://www.essays2030.ethz.ch/onlineversion/113-124.pdf>
13. Law No. 01-18 of December 12, 2001, on the promotion of small and medium-sized enterprises. *Official Gazette of the Algerian Republic*, (15), 6-77.
14. Manceau, D., Moatti, V., & Fabbri, J. (2011). *Open innovation: What's behind the buzzword?* ESCP Europe & Accenture, 4-62.
15. Omar, A. A. (2007). *Small projects management and its role in development*. Alexandria, Egypt: University House.
16. Rahman, H., & Ramos, I. (2013). Challenges in adopting open innovation strategies in SMEs. *Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology*, 10, 433-443.
17. Rasztovits, E., & Vasvári, B. (2012). *Open innovation guide*. Prepared within the OPINET network platform for SMEs, subproject of EURIS: European Collaborative and Open Regional Innovation Strategies (pp. 7-10). Budapest: EURIS.
18. Saïd, S. M. (2013/2014). *The effectiveness of applying the financial accounting system in Algerian small and medium enterprises* (Master's thesis). University of Boumerdes, Algeria.
19. Torkkeli, M. (2010). *Frontiers of open innovation* (Research Report, pp. 2-10). Lappeenranta: Lappeenranta University of Technology.
20. West, J., & Bogers, M. (2013). Leveraging external sources of innovation: A review of research on open innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(4), 814-831.
21. Narin, F., Hamilton, K., & Olivastro, D. (1997). The increasing linkage between U.S. technology and public science. *Research Policy*, 26(3), 317-330.