



Abstract

This article explores agile management as a strategic approach to addressing the challenges of a rapidly changing business environment. It begins with a theoretical overview of the concept of agile management and its core principles. It then examines the characteristics of today's business landscape and the increasing complexity of the challenges it presents. The study analyzes the interaction between agile management and these challenges by showing how leading organizations have adopted agile principles to respond effectively to technological change, globalization, economic volatility, shifting consumer preferences, regulatory pressures, talent shortages, cybersecurity risks, sustainability demands, supply chain disruptions, and digital reputation crises. Real-world examples, alongside simulated case studies, are used to illustrate the practical impact of this methodology. The study concludes that agile management can transform crises into opportunities by reducing development cycles, increasing responsiveness, and lowering costs. This makes it a powerful tool for enhancing competitive resilience in dynamic markets.

Citation. Zouaoui, H., (2025). Agile Management as an Effective Response to the Challenges of a Changing Business.. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 8(11), 592–612. https://doi.org/10.56352/sei/8.11.47

Licensed

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Science, Education and Innovations in the context of modern problems (SEI) by IMCRA - International Meetings and Journals Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article under the **CC BY** license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Received: 12.01.2025 Accepted: 17.05.2025 Published: 02.09.2025 (available online)

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the business environment has undergone unprecedented change, marked by growing complexity and acceleration. This shift is driven by major factors such as digital technology, the Fourth Industrial Revolution, demographic transitions, and the rise of global crises like pandemics and climate disasters. These conditions have created a climate of uncertainty, continuous disruption, and time pressure. As a result, traditional management models—based on long-term forecasting and fixed planning—have struggled to keep pace with this evolving reality. There is now a pressing need for management approaches that are flexible, adaptive, and capable of responding quickly to repeated challenges.

In response to this need, agile management has emerged as a leading contemporary framework that redefines how projects, resources, and teams are managed within organizations. It has evolved beyond its roots in software development to become a comprehensive organizational philosophy. Its foundations lie in



collaboration, iteration, continuous improvement, and decision-making driven by real-time feedback. Agile practices have proven effective in helping organizations test innovations rapidly, shorten time-to-market, and achieve measurable outcomes within short cycles.

Practical experiences from real companies, as well as simulated analytical scenarios, have shown that agile management is no longer a performance-enhancing option, but a strategic necessity for survival and growth in today's fast-changing business environment. Many organizations have expanded agile practices beyond isolated departments to encompass core operations, organizational development, supply chains, regulatory engagement, and customer interaction. Accordingly, this study seeks to explore in detail the relationship between agile management and the shifting business environment, and to show how this approach can serve as a strategic tool for adapting to sustainability.

1. Problem Statement

Based on the above, the central research problem can be framed as follows:

To what extent does agile management offer an effective response to the challenges of a changing business environment, and what are the mechanisms for its application in modern organizations?

2. Sub-Questions

From this central problem arise the following research questions:

- What are the theoretical foundations and core principles of agile management that set it apart from traditional models?
- What are the major challenges facing today's business environment, and how do they affect organizational stability and flexibility?
 - How can agile management provide practical and organizational solutions to these challenges?
- What lessons can be drawn from case studies of organizations that have adopted agile management in highly dynamic environments?

3. Hypotheses

To answer the above questions, the study is based on the following hypotheses:

- Agile management relies on principles such as iteration, value delivery, collaboration, and responsiveness to change, which make it better suited to unstable business conditions;
- The contemporary business environment is marked by complex challenges such as technological shifts, globalization, economic fluctuations, changing consumer behavior, and evolving regulations—necessitating more flexible management methods;
- Agile management offers targeted responses to each of these challenges through tools like short development sprints, Kanban boards, co-design labs, and value stream mapping;
- Case studies of large organizations show that implementing agile management leads to measurable improvements in responsiveness, efficiency, cost reduction, and customer satisfaction.

4. Significance of the Study

This study is important in that it provides a comprehensive Academic analysis of the relationship between agile management and the evolving challenges of the business environment. It contributes to the theoretical grounding of agile concepts while linking them to real-world applications in global organizations. Moreover, it offers a practical reference for companies seeking flexible and effective solutions to cope with increasing market complexity.

5. Objectives of the Study



The main objectives of this study are:

- To define the theoretical framework of agile management and its organizational principles;
- To analyze and understand the nature of challenges facing today's business environment;
- To highlight the role of agile management in addressing these challenges through practical experiences;
- To offer scientific and practical recommendations for organizations seeking to adopt this management model.

6. Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive-analytical approach to examine the key concepts and theoretical models related to agile management and the challenges of the business environment. It also applies the case study method by analyzing successful real-life applications of agile management in different sectors. These cases were selected based on the variety of challenges faced, ranging from technology and economic shifts to cybersecurity and digital reputation. The aim is to assess the effectiveness of agile practices across diverse contexts.

The study includes both documented real-world examples and simulated analytical scenarios designed to practically and realistically illustrate the mechanisms of agile management, while maintaining academic rigor. The analysis is supported by credible secondary sources, including peer-reviewed articles and market studies. Key performance indicators such as speed, flexibility, efficiency, and organizational sustainability are emphasized throughout the evaluation.

Part One: Theoretical Framework of Agile Management

1. Emergence and Development of the Concept

The origins of agile management can be traced back to the early 20th century, when Toyoda Sakichi adopted an experimental approach to invent a loom that automatically stopped when a thread broke. This innovation highlighted core elements of what would become Toyota's operational philosophy. It emphasized three key ideas: the importance of observing processes on the ground, building high-quality products through error-prevention systems, and separating human input from machine function.

In the 1950s, these ideas evolved into what became known as the Toyota Production System (TPS), drawing on Sakichi's legacy. TPS focused on two core concepts: Jidoka (automation with a human touch) and Just-In-Time (JIT) production. Over time, Toyota realized the broader potential of this model and began transferring TPS principles to its key suppliers.

Taichin Ohno, a prominent engineer at Toyota, was the first to formalize agile thinking into a structured model in the early 1950s. His work built on the post-World War II recovery efforts, during which Toyota implemented a robust improvement program to escape financial crisis and operational inefficiency. Influenced by thinkers such as Henry Ford and Frederick Taylor, the company combined mass production methods with scientific management to enhance industrial efficiency. The concept of quality control also became central to Japan's industrial rise.

By the 1960s, Toyota entered the US market and expanded significantly in the 1970s, surpassing even domestic competitors like Volkswagen. However, the broader recognition of Toyota's production excellence did not emerge until the 1973 oil crisis, when Toyota's resilience and rapid recovery drew global attention. Its production system, later known as Lean Production, had already been in place for decades.

In the 1980s, researchers at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) conducted a global study of automotive manufacturing performance, comparing various plants to Toyota's model. In 1991, James Womack and Daniel Jones published their influential book The Machine That Changed the World, which described Toyota's system and coined the term Lean Production. This term captured the idea of achieving more with less—less space, labor, capital, inventory, and fewer defects.



In the 1990s, economists noted that environmental changes were occurring faster than organizations could adapt. This misalignment often led to missed opportunities and even bankruptcy. In response, the US Department of Defense organized a panel of experts at Pennsylvania State University to study strategic solutions. Their findings were published in a two-volume report titled 21st Century Manufacturing Enterprise Strategy. This marked a turning point for the use of "agility" in management literature and inspired a wave of academic research.

Agility was soon recognized as a strategic necessity for organizational survival in uncertain environments. It emerged as a key factor in achieving competitive advantage by enabling continuous innovation and delivering high-quality products and services. In 1996, Womack and Jones published another foundational book, Lean Thinking, which elaborated on lean principles and tools. It was reprinted in 2003 and helped establish a comprehensive knowledge base that promoted waste reduction, precise delivery, strict quality control, and well-defined performance standards. (Source: Abu Bakr & Abdullah, 2020, pp. 660-685)

Despite the historical roots of agile management dating back to the 1950s, it was between the 1970s and early 1990s that the associated practices gained global prominence. This period saw Toyota achieve exceptional results in sales and manufacturing quality, driven by the integration of techniques such as quality circles, just-intime production, and a comprehensive production system that came to be known as Lean Management.

Lean Operations, as practiced by Toyota, became a strategic approach centered on minimizing waste and maximizing customer value. This method focuses on retaining only the processes that add value, while eliminating unnecessary steps. The result is increased efficiency, higher productivity, and the ability to deliver innovative, high-quality products at low cost. It enables companies to provide what customers want, at an affordable price, and in the shortest possible time. In this sense, lean operations have become Toyota's "secret formula" for success and its position as the most profitable automaker in the world.

Toyota's commitment to agile management—and its later adoption by other leading companies—has produced impressive outcomes in cost control, waste elimination, product quality, and customer satisfaction. This success has helped expand the concept beyond manufacturing to become a key framework in management at large.

2. The Concept and Characteristics of Agile Management

A. Definition of Agile Management

Before defining agile management, it is important to begin with the broader notion of agility. Agility has been defined as "the ability to detect opportunities and threats, and respond to them easily, quickly, and skillfully, with appropriate adaptation, as a core business necessity." It has also been described as "the ability to respond to unexpected changes with speed and flexibility, and to use these changes effectively by seeing them as opportunities for progress" (Tallon & Pinsonneault, 2011, p. 463).

In recent years, researchers have shown growing interest in identifying new management concepts that can keep pace with rapid scientific and technological developments. There is now a race to discover new terms, frameworks, and principles that can be applied to manage the speed and scale of industrial transformation. It is no longer feasible for organizations to rely on outdated methods in an era defined by accelerated production driven by emerging technologies.

Among these contemporary terms is agile management, which has been widely discussed in academic studies and research papers. Some scholars define agile management as the ability of business organizations to operate with rapid responsiveness, quickly adjusting work methods to meet the demands of change. This approach relies on a management style that prioritizes value creation, process flow, alignment, and continuous improvement, largely driven by teamwork. It places strong emphasis on data quality and its intelligent use, aiming to respond quickly to surrounding challenges and opportunities in order to deliver the best possible product or service to customers. Additionally, agile management pays special attention to identifying and eliminating non-value-adding activities as soon as they are detected.



At its core, the idea of agile management is based on a foundational principle of waste elimination, targeting all forms of inefficiency embedded in the production process. To apply this effectively, organizations must commit to ongoing improvement and continuous development of all internal activities. This continuous enhancement forms the cornerstone of the agile management system.

Agile management has also been defined as a set of actions and practices that must be performed correctly, in the right sequence and timing, in order to create value for a specific task or process. This definition emphasizes respect for process order, time sensitivity, and quality standards. The goal is to deliver outputs that meet expectations in terms of timing, quality, and impact—ultimately resulting in meaningful added value (Matar, 2024, pp. 33–34).

Agile management has been defined as a managerial approach that incorporates the core principles of agility to organize work, enhance efficiency, and improve overall performance. It involves a set of actions that must be executed properly, in the correct sequence and at the right time, in order to create value in a specific activity. Its primary goal is to eliminate waste continuously and add value through ongoing improvement. Agile management also seeks to enhance customer service, build strong relationships with suppliers, increase flexibility, and accelerate responsiveness to change. In addition, it aims to improve quality levels, reduce inventory, and boost productivity (Moqaymeh, 2025, p. 42).

Agile management is also described as a management style applied to organize work and improve both efficiency and operational performance. It requires a series of carefully executed actions that follow a logical sequence and occur at the right moment to generate value for the organization. The core aim is to reduce operational waste, deliver continuous improvement, enhance customer service, foster strong supplier relations, improve organizational flexibility, and increase responsiveness to dynamic conditions. Further raising objectives include quality standards, reducing inventory levels, and improving productivity.

In a similar vein, agile management has been defined as an organization's ability to execute management tasks with rapid responsiveness, and to adjust work methods in line with evolving requirements. It is a practice that emphasizes value-driven processes, workflow optimization, collaborative efforts, and a focus on operational excellence. It also benefits from teamwork, collective energy, shared knowledge, and effective use of data and factual information. The aim is to achieve the highest possible performance and output quality for customers, while eliminating any activity or component that does not add value to the organization or the client.

Furthermore, agile management has been explained as a process involving two key dimensions: eliminating all forms of managerial waste, and identifying and developing optimal methods for improving administrative processes and activities. Based on these definitions, agile management can be understood as a management approach that seeks to minimize administrative inefficiences, continuously improve activities, enhance service quality, and strengthen responsiveness to both local and global changes.

This is achieved through mechanisms such as:

- Workspace organization;
- Continuous improvement;
- Multi-skilled teams;
- Standardized0 work practices.

Ultimately, agile management aims to ensure optimal performance, efficient resource use, and enhancement of all operational and administrative processes. Its value lies in enabling institutional transformation through practical tools and flexible methods. It also improves the working environment, encourages knowledge investment, and emphasizes training and development to raise performance levels (Al-Fitouri & Al-Sharif, 2023, pp. 20–21).

Based on the previous definitions, we can conclude that agile management refers to an organization's



capacity to manage operations with fast response times and to quickly adapt work methods to meet the demands of change. It is a management approach that focuses on values, flow, alignment, and striving for excellence through teamwork. It emphasizes effective use of information, agility in responding to challenges and opportunities, and delivering optimal outcomes for customers. At its core, agile management stands in contrast to rigid and outdated traditional models that are characterized by excessive waste, inefficiency, and slow adaptation.

Some scholars also view agile management as a resource-conscious philosophy, focused on optimizing production without compromising required quality levels. Since the emergence of this concept, a growing body of research has explored its key elements and implementation strategies. Agile management is seen as a powerful means to generate value for all stakeholders, and it reflects a shift in how employees are perceived—no longer as mere executors of tasks, but as strategic partners in continuous improvement and development.

This approach also pays attention to improving the psychological work environment and investing in appropriate training programs for staff development.

B. Common Terms in Agile Management

Like any scientific discipline, agile management includes a set of specialized terms. Below is a sample of commonly used terminology in the field:

Table 01: Common Terms in Agile Management

| The term | Definition |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5 whys | heAsk the question five times about the reasons for failure to reach the root cause of the |
| | problem. There may be several reasons for the problem, and they can be identified through |
| | this method. |
| 7 wastes | sheAll activities that are unprofitable for the organization and do not add value to the |
| | process. There are seven types: excess production, Waiting, Transport, Process, Stock, |
| | Movement, Products Defective |
| DMAIIC | It is the gradual improvement of processes using the Six Sigma Quality methodology, which |
| | is an abbreviation for six interconnected stages, which are : identification Define, Measure, |
| | Analyze, Improve, deny DImplement, Control. |
| Just in Time | He A system for planning manufacturing operations and carrying out production on |
| (JIT) | demand, which is based on the system clouds Instead of the traditional mass production |
| | called push system. |
| Jidoka | It meansSelf-stopping of machines means that the machines must stop working on their |
| | own. whenoccurrenceerror, This term is based on principle Quality at all stages |
| | Manufacturing To detect errors early and work on fixing them. |
| Kanban | Term Japanese It means (board) It is a board that contains work tasks or information |
| | specific, Such as name, description, and quantity and others, It is a business management |
| | system. Maintains On an organized and efficient flow of materials at all stages |
| | Manufacturing, The system depends on clouds So that the production will not be done |
| | unless there is a demand attic, on Reverse payment system where Production is ongoing. |
| Kaizen | Kaizen It is a Japanese term meaning improvement .continuous, taken fromphrase (Kai) It |
| | means Continue And (Zen) Which It means Improvement, While some translate it tothat |
| | (Kay) means change And (Zain) meaning good or best. |



| Poka Yoke | He A manufacturing method that prevents errors by designing the production process, tools, and equipment so that manufacturing is not carried out incorrectly .correct, And often to him what It is indicated for) Audit Mistakes (And it is He who prevents the parts wrong Of its production or Assemble it, Or specify Mistakes easily, Or check mistakes. |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fishbone | She A tool used to solve quality problems by linking causes with effects and organizing |
| Chart | them logically. In Skeletal diagram For the fish, It is called also With a plan A shikawa Or |
| | cause and effect diagram. |
| SMED | She Initial letters of the words of the sentence Exchange of Die Single MinuteIt means |
| | Replace the mold in just a few minutes .practically Setup and counter Monotheism It has |
| | several procedures to implement it in the form Correct, It is known as a way to reduce time |
| | .The machine ,and reduce setup time and operations Preparation and counter for the |
| | operationyield, And reduce costs, allowing production quantities Small. |
| TPM | He Total Productive Maintenance is an abbreviation for Total Productive Maintenance, a |
| | Japanese philosophy used to monitor and manage equipment to ensure it stops working. |

Source: (Musallat & Al-Otaibi, 2024, pp. 103–104)

C. Characteristics of Agile Management

Based on earlier definitions, the key characteristics of agile management include:

- **Customer Focus**: Prioritize customer satisfaction by delivering products or services that add real value and meet their needs and expectations.
- Continuous Improvement: Pursue ongoing enhancement of processes, products, and services through regular evaluation and identification of improvement areas.
- Waste Elimination: Identify and remove activities that do not add value to processes or products, such as wasted time, effort, or resources.
- Flexibility and Adaptability: Adjust quickly to changes in the work environment and customer needs by adopting flexible methods.
- **Teamwork**: Promote collaboration among team members and encourage sharing of knowledge and experiences to achieve shared goals.
- **Employee Empowerment**: Grant employees the authority to make decisions and take responsibility. This increases their engagement and motivation.
- **Effective Communication :** Ensure clear and transparent information flow among team members, management, and employees.
 - Data-driven Decision-Making: Use data and information to inform effective decisions.
- Made-to-Order Production: Produce goods or deliver services based on actual customer demand, not in advance.
- Transparency and Accountability: Clearly define goals, responsabilités, and outcomes. This upholds accountability and openness.

3. Objectives and Principles of Agile Management

A. Objectives of Agile Management

As defined by Al-Shahri and Uqaili (2023, p. 156), the objectives of agile management include:

- Achieve zero waste across all areas—covering machine breakdowns, delays, defective products, inventory at all supply stages, employee incidents, equipment failures, and human effort;
- Reduce service delivery time and accelerate response to customer requests, while improving productivity and quality ;
 - Minimize waste caused by overproduction and unnecessary employee or equipment movement ;
- Drive institutional transformation using practices and tools that make activities simple, fast, and streamlined. Enhance the work environment and competitive culture among employees;



- Implement team-based work systems (cross-functional teams) and Total Productive Maintenance to reduce maintenance time and cost:
- Foster creativity, innovation, knowledge investment, and fight against workplace routine (Mohamed & Kurtat, 2019, p. 39)

B. Principles of Agile Management

Agile management is built on core principles that guide administrative operations, notably :(Nicoulas & Thomas, p. 81)

- Strategic, long-term decision-making—even if it requires short-term costs;
- Establish realistic, practical systems to handle administrative issues as they arise ;
- Promote a smooth, democratic structure across administrative processes without unnecessary bottlenecks;
- Address problems directly and promptly to avoid disruptions, ensuring high-quality administrative outputs ;
 - Develop clear, ongoing operational plans and employ continuous improvement;
 - Transparently identify and resolve errors using best practices;
 - Integrate technology into administrative work to avoid committing resource waste and prevent errors;
 - Rely on capable leadership to manage administrative processes effectively and mobilize resources;
 - Form dedicated administrative teams aligned with organizational philosophy and systems;
 - Encourage all stakeholders to contribute toward institutional improvement ;
- Engage in field-level tracking of work to detect errors and manage administrative processes step by step;
- Avoid rushing administrative decisions ; Consider the interests of all parties and prevailing conditions ;
- Implement a system to track problems and their causes and design effective solutions for continuous administrative advancement.

James and Daniel (2009) emphasize that agile management focuses on eradicating administrative waste—both material and human—to improve operations and achieve planned institutional goals, leading to progress and high-quality administrative outputs.

4. Tools and Requirements for Applying Agile Management

A. Agile Management Tools

To achieve the philosophy of waste elimination, agile management is supported by several mechanisms and techniques. Many of these serve as sub-systems within the broader agile framework, while others represent policies or strategies that make waste reduction a central goal. These tools include:

- Just-in-Time (JIT) Production Philosophy: Align administrative processes exactly with demand—no more, no less. This approach targets elimination of waste and damage by ensuring that only what is needed is produced, based on a pull system driven by actual need. (Larry, 2012, p. 64)
- **Jidoka Philosophy**: A core principle of agile management, Jidoka emphasizes built-in quality and shifting quality control to the process Itself. This includes:
- \circ **Direct Inspection**: Workers themselves monitor quality; External quality inspectors are unnecessary and wasteful.
 - o **Source Inspection**: Investigating how and Why defects occur to address root causes.
 - o Clear Accountability: Well-defined steps that pinpoint when and where a defect occurred.
 - o Necessary Stoppage: Halting operations upon detecting defects until the root issue is resolved.
- o **Standardized Work** Precisely detailed administrative procedures that reduce variability and prevent errors. This also involves timing the administrative cycle to maintain consistency across units. (Barac, Goran & Alexandra, 2010, p. 144)



- **5S Philosophy**: This set of five Japanese principles helps improve workplace conditions, reduce time wasted searching for tools, and enhance occupational safety. All five terms begin with the letter "S":
 - o **Seiton**: Organize the workspace so tools are readily available and users are safe.
 - Seiso: Clean and maintain a workspace that supports comfort and efficiency.
 - o **Seiri**: Sort and remove unnecessary items.
 - Seiketu: Make prior standards part of the work environment's management.
 - o Shituke: Train staff and still discipline in behavior and practices. (Michel, n. d, p. 56)

Additional agile tools include mechanisms that support the overall reduction of waste and reinforce agile policies throughout the organization (Hashmi & Mahdi, 2019, p. 27)

- Continuous Improvement (Kaizen): Kaizen is a Japanese practice for making small, straight for ward, and progressive improvements to products, services, and processes. It aims to lower costs, reduce waste, and boost productivity. It also reflects the idea of "continuous improvement" across every area of an organization—not limited to production. Everyone, from executives to front-line staff, participates in ongoing enhancement efforts.
- Lean Six Sigma (Six Sigma): Six Sigma comes in different interpretations: as a statistical measure of quality, a strategy, a methodology, or a philosophy of continuous improvement. It builds strong reputations for organizational products and services, benefiting end-users, customers, and stakeholders. Key pillars of Six Sigma include training, continuous improvement, organizational culture, and upper-management support. The term "Six Sigma" refers to allowable variation in any process, which helps organizations estimate the average level of defects. When product characteristics fall outside the pre-set upper or lower limits, they are considered defective.
- Multi-Skilled Workers: This concept refers to employees who are versatile, skilled, knowledge able, and trained to understand and trouble shoot current operations and make improvements. This contrasts with traditional management, which leans on highly specialized experts. Post-agile research views workers as essential partners in continuous improvement—not just task executors. This climate perspective also emphasizes the importance of psychological workplace.
- Single Minute Exchange of Die (SMED): SMED is a method for changing machine setup tools in under ten minutes. It achieves this by clearly defining each step in the setup process and using simple tools to shorten internal preparation time. These setup tasks cannot be completed during production runs, so speed is key.

These tools contribute significantly to conserving resources—especially rare ones. The success of Toyota, in eliminating waste via these methods, stands as a clear testament to the effectiveness of this management philosophy.

B-Requirements for Applying Agile Management

As outlined by (Atik 2023, pp. 1914-1915), successful application of agile management requires:

- Support from Senior Leadership: The shift to agile management depends on leaders' commitment. They must provide financial, human, and material support, along with time and a shift from bureaucratic to democratic systems that foster initiative and creativity.
- Cultural Transformation: Leadership drives culture. Influential leaders embed agile values—adaptable and enduring—which help organizations thrive. Japanese firms, for instance, foster loyalty and job satisfaction through a paternal-yet-structured leadership approach.
- Flexible Training and Development: Organizations must evolve from traditional training to agile, flexible models. Team-based training equips employees with broad, adaptable skills. Investing in staff development is crucial to reducing waste.
- Collaboration between Management and Staff: Creating a participa tory environment boosts employee motivation, productivity, and job quality. It also strengthens social relationships between employees and management.
- A Flexible Organizational Structure: Agile organizations maintain flexibility and build partnerships that yield mutual benefits—inside and outside the institution.
- Educational Process Development: Revise curricula to match evolving student needs. Offer shorter programmes, attractive courses, and project-based teams. Monitor and assess their performance continuously.



- Two Way Administrative Communication: should be interactive and balanced. Poor information flow hampers operational continuity.
- Adaptive Technology: Invest in modern hardware and flexible information systems. This enhances the ability to produce relevant outputs—whether products or graduates—in emerging fields.
- **Digital Transformation** Simplify work flows by replacing paper work with electronic formats, shifting learning online, and using digital libraries instead of physical ones.
- Supporting Experimentation and Innovation: It is essential to test new activities, even if this requires reallocating resources from existing ones—especially in quality programs. To foster innovation, organizations should promote creative teaching and learning methods and invest in staff development.
- **Encouraging Dissent**: Opinions that favor maintaining the status quo often reflect contentment without growth. In contrast, dissenting views help identify weaknesses and suggest ways to improve and transform the organization.

In conclusion, applying agile management in universities requires full integration among all stakeholders. Leaders, administra tors, staff, faculty members, and students must work together to achieve agile goals. This cooperation should be supported by flexibility, awareness of current developments, and effective communication. It also calls for training in agile methods to build diverse skills and knowledge across disciplines. This approach helps drive innovation, spreads a culture of agility and continuous learning, and creates an inclusive environment that values creativity, cultural exchange, and openness to differing views.

5. Enablers and Barriers to Agile Management

According to (Al-Harbi and Al-Ghamdi, 2021, p. 309), these factors are divided into two categories:

A. Enablers of Agile Management

- The need to get closer to customers, especially under rising competition.
- The desire to adapt to environmental changes, which leads to:
- o Reduced operating costs and less capital waste;
- Better understanding of customer needs;
- o Improved operational quality and fewer errors;
- o Empowerment of multi-skilled individuals;
- o Increased knowledge of production and all value chain processes.

B. Barriers to Agile Management

- Natural resistance to change, often driven by doubts about the value of agility ;
- Lack of available time;
- Concerns about how change may affect organizational loyalty;
- A production culture focused on large volumes, large batches, minimum inventory, and avoiding production stoppage ;
 - Rigid manufacturing models that limit supply chain responsiveness;
 - Organizational cultures that operate in Silos

Part Two: Theoretical Framework of the Changing Business Environment

1. The Concept and Characteristics of the Business Environment

A. Definition of the Business Environment

The business environment is a key factor in analyzing a firm's economy. Many economic decisions depend on how the organization interacts with its environment. An institution's ability to adapt to environmental changes directly affects its economic performance and long-term survival.



According to P. Kotler and B. Dubois, the most successful organization is one that understands its environment and adapts accordingly. If we view the firm as an open system that interacts with its external surroundings, it becomes a subsystem within that broader environment. (El-Hajj, 2015, p. 13)

Given its broad scope, the business environment raises questions about its boundaries, components, and level of influence. Since it lies outside the firm's direct control, it includes all external actors and forces that can influence how the organization builds and maintains market relationships. (El-Hajj, 2015, p. 13)

From this, we understand that the business environment has no fixed boundaries—as long as external factors can influence the firm's decisions or direction.

(Ben Habib, 2009, p. 40) describes the business environment as part of the administrative setting that supports planning and goal achievement. It includes five groups of stakeholders: customers, suppliers, employees of competing companies, and interest groups such as governments and labor unions. This definition excludes other actors like banks and non-competing financial institutions that still maintain active relationships with the organization.

Nasir Dadi Adoun, drawing on P. Filho, offers a broader definition, identifying three levels of variables within the business environment: (Adoun, 1998, p. 83)

- National-level variables (economic, social, and political);
- Operational-level variables specific to each organization (government bodies, distribution firms);
- Internal variables (employees, managers, etc.).

This definition is unique in its inclusion of both internal and external factors. Thus, the business environment consists of : **Internal environment** the organizational structure, human and material resources, and corporate culture, **External environment** all surrounding factors beyond the firm's control

The general perspective on the business environment recognizes both internal and external components. Therefore, it can be defined as: "A set of factors, dimensions, and elements that influence administrative, organizational, and strategic practices. Understanding the nature and interactions of this environment helps management find the best ways to respond in a balanced and adaptive manner, which strengthens organizational capabilities and enhances outcomes." (Bani Hamdan & Idris, 2009, p. 71)

The business environment is also defined as: "A set of internal and external factors or variables—whether measurable or not—located within or outside the organization, which affect or may affect the efficiency and effectiveness of organizational performance, and which may or may not be recognized by management as presenting opportunities or constraints." (Sakarna, 2010, p. 192)

From the definitions above, the key features of the business environment can be summarized as follows: (Qahiwi, 2013, p. 85)

- Everything within or outside the boundaries of an organization falls within the scope of the business environment. This includes both measurable and immeasurable factors and variables;
- These variables influence how well organizations achieve their goals, perform their activities, and manage their costs ;
- Management may or may not be aware of these variables, which means that managerial effectiveness differs from one organization to another. Therefore, the business environment can represent both constraints and opportunities.

From this, we conclude that the business environment is the broader context in which an organization operates. It consists of interrelated elements that interact with the organization in complex causal ways. It includes all internal and external conditions that directly or indirectly affect organizational decisions and activities. Understanding and analyzing this environment is crucial to ensure that the organization can adapt to ongoing changes and maintain efficient and sustainable performance.



B-Characteristics of the Changing Business Environment

The modern business environment is shaped by several defining characteristics:

- Dynamism and Continuous Change: The current business environment is marked by rapid and ongoing changes that affect both operational and strategic areas. This is evident in fast-paced technological developments that create new products and services at an unprecedented rate. Economic conditions can shift overnight due to global crises or monetary policy changes. Consumer preferences and behaviors are also increasingly unstable due to social media and global awareness. These ongoing changes demand that organizations closely monitor their environment and adopt flexible strategies. (Carroll & Buchholtz, 2014, p. 215)
- Complexity and Interdependence: The business environment is highly complex, influenced by multiple intertwined factors. Decisions are no longer based on simple variables. Instead, they are shaped by market forces, government regulations, competitors' actions, stakeholder expectations, and societal trends. Globalization intensifies this complexity by linking local and international factors. The digital revolution adds further layers through new communication channels and unprecedented interactions. (Daft, 2015, p. 112)
- Uncertainty and Unpredictability: Organizations face high levels of uncertainty, making long-term planning increasingly difficult. Sudden technological changes can render existing products obsolete. Geopolitical instability can disrupt supply chains. Health and environmental crises can appear without warning. In the digital age, the rapid spread of news intensifies this uncertainty, where a local event can escalate into a global crisis within hours. (Al et al, 2013, p. 9)
- High Interconnectedness: All components of the business environment are now tightly linked. Government monetary policies influence financing costs, which then affect corporate investment and innovation. Technological advances in one part of the world can create threats or opportunities elsewhere. Demographic shifts shape consumption patterns, which then drive marketing and production strategies. This level of interconnectedness requires a holistic approach in decision-making. (Griffin, 2019, p. 87)
- Globalization and Borderless Competition: Globalization has turned the business environment into a global competitive arena. Organizations now face rivals from across the world, manage supply chains that span continents, and target culturally diverse markets. Competitive standards are now global. Consumers expect the same service and quality regardless of geographic location. Organizations must understand cultural and legal differences and be capable of operating within diverse political and economic systems.(Hill & Jones, 2013, p. 156)
- Intense Competition: Today's market is marked by fierce competition. Organizations compete for market share, talent, and resources. Entry into many markets is now easier, allowing small startups to challenge industry giants. Technology has reduced the advantages of scale, placing greater importance on innovation and speed. Digital transparency has made it difficult to retain competitive advantages, as successful products and services can be quickly imitated. (Laudon & Laudon, 2018, p. 203)
- Increased Dependence on Technology: Technology now under pins nearly all business operations. From automated production systems to e-commerce platforms, from big data analytics to artificial intelligence, no organization can function effectively without keeping pace with technological advances. This dependence brings efficiency and innovation but also increases vulnerability to cybersecurity risks and requires ongoing investment in digital infrastructure. It is also reshaping the job market and required skillsets. (Porter, 2008, p. 45)
- Focus on Sustainability and Social Responsibility: Environmental and social sustainability have become core elements of business strategy. Stakeholders—including consumers, investors, and regulators—now demand eco-friendly practices across operations and supply chains. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is vital for building reputation and attracting talent. Financial performance is no longer the only measure of success; Organizations must also balance social and environmental impact. (Robbins & Coulter, 2018, p. 134)
- **Diversity and Pluralism**: Organizations deal with more diverse workforces and customer bases than ever before. Differences in age, gender, culture, and values create both opportunities and challenges. Diversity fosters innovation through the inclusion of varied perspectives. However, it also demands inclusive management practices and multidimensional communication strategies to engage with stakeholders across multiple platforms. (Thomas & Ely, 1996, p. 89)
- Time Sensitivity and Speed of Response: Time has become a critical competitive factor. The ability to respond quickly to threats and opportunities often determines organizational success. Product life cycles are shorter, and periods of competitive advantage are shorter. Speed in decision-making and execution, without compromising accuracy, has become essential. Customer expectations for instant service and rapid delivery place additional pressure on operations. (Wheelen & Hunger, 2012, p. 78)



2. Components of the Business Environment

From the above definitions, we understand that the business environment consists of two main components :

A. External Environment : Due to its wide scope and diverse dimensions, the external environment has been studied from various perspectives. Trist & Emery define it as: "The set of components, dimensions, and elements that influence organizations through direct and indirect interactions with their surroundings, resulting in complex causal relationships and diverse outcomes." (Musa'da, 2015, p. 134)

Similarly, Quinn & Mintzberg describe it as: "The set of external factors surrounding the organization, which influence strategic decision-making directly or indirectly."

Daft defines it as: "All elements and components outside the organization's boundaries, which are mostly beyond the short-term control of management." (Musa'da, 2015, p. 134)

These definitions suggest that the external environment is a complex and broad system. As a result, several models have been developed to help analyze and understand it. One of the most widely used models distinguishes between two levels: **General Environment** also called the macro or indirect environment; **Task Environment** also referred to as the direct or industry environment. (Musa'da, 2015, p. 135)

• Components of the External Environment:

The external environment refers to the set of factors surrounding an organization that influence its strategic direction in one way or another. These factors are generally classified into two main categories: (Daoudi, 2017, p. 39)

- o **General Factorsm :** These include political, economic, social, technological, environmental, and cultural variables. These variables are broad in scope and lie beyond the organization's control. They affect all operating institutions within the broader business environment.
- O **Specific Factors**: These are the elements that are closer to the organization, such as suppliers, financiers, competitors, intermediaries, and customers. In this case, the organization has some capacity to interact with and influence these elements within certain limits.

Therefore, a clear understanding of both types of factors enables organizations to develop flexible and effective strategies to overcome challenges and take advantage of opportunities.

B. Internal Environment: The internal environment is one of the key determinants of an organization's competitive advantage. It gives the organization its unique characteristics in terms of operational practices and performance levels. The internal environment includes a set of organizational, physical, and knowledge-based factors that are under the organization's direct control.

According to Certo, the internal environment: "Represents the organizational level directly related to administrative and managerial practices. It varies from one institution to another depending on core capabilities and the strengths or weaknesses that can influence how opportunities and threats in the external environment are handled." (Musa'da, 2015, p. 134)

• Components of the Internal Environment

The internal environment forms the framework through which an organization can control its resources and direct them toward its strategic goals. It is a foundational element in the strategic planning process. Effective planning is not possible without a clear analysis of internal capabilities and resources.

This environment is represented by the core functions of the organization, such as: human resources, organizational structure, organizational culture, information systems, research and



development, and financial capacity. These elements enable management to run the organization efficiently by leveraging strengths and addressing weaknesses. They also help align internal capacities with external demands. (Daoudi, 2017, p. 39)

Part Three: The Practical Framework: The Role of Agile Management in Addressing the Challenges of a Changing Business Environment

Methodological Note: To strengthen the practical aspect of this article, a number of examples have been used to show how agile management can help respond to rapid challenges in the business environment. These examples include both documented real-world cases and illustrative simulations designed to explain mechanisms in a simple and practical way. The aim of this blend is to link theoretical concepts with real-life applications while maintaining academic rigor. This approach aligns with the analytical case study method and contextual representation of data.

1. Challenges of the Changing Business Environment

The key challenges facing today's dynamic business environment include:

• Rapid Technological Change:

Fast-paced technological development poses a major challenge for companies. It requires constant investment in upgrading digital infrastructure and reorganizing operations to keep up with new innovations. Technologies such as artificial intelligence and cloud computing push organizations to adopt flexible business models to avoid obsolescence. At the same time, high development costs place financial pressure on startups. Successful adaptation depends on building a dynamic culture that supports experimentation and continuous learning, while carefully managing the risks of adopting immature technologies.

• Globalization and Fierce Competition

Globalization has eliminated geographic barriers, expanding markets and intensifying competition across industries. Companies are under pressure to differentiate themselves through strategies like product localization. Local companies face threats from multinational giants. These dynamics require organizations to develop crosscultural competencies while preserving their identity, especially as the phenomenon of global standardization grows. Globalization creates opportunities for growth across borders but also exposes businesses to geopolitical instability. (Kim & Mauborgne, 2015, pp. 112–138)

• Economic Volatility

Unexpected changes in key economic indicators—such as exchange rates and interest rates—can destabilize the business environment. This results in supply chain disruptions and reduced consumer purchasing power. Multinational corporations face significant financial risks due to global economic interdependence. This calls for hedging strategies such as market diversification and flexible product development. Monetary policy uncertainty, especially in emerging economies, adds to these risks.

• Changing Consumer Preferences

Consumers are becoming less loyal to traditional brands. They now demand personalized experiences and ethical values. This shift pushes companies to redesign operations with greater transparency and sustainability, and to accelerate innovation to meet the demand for instant service. Understanding these changes requires flexible data collection methods and behavioral analysis. Superficial assumptions must be avoided, especially those that ignore cultural and social dimensions. (Thiel & Masters, 2014, pp. 67–70)

Evolving Laws and Regulations



Ongoing legal changes in areas such as taxation, environmental compliance, and regulatory oversight present major operational risks, particularly for companies operating across borders. Adapting to this unstable regulatory environment requires specialized units to track legislative updates and develop flexible contingency plans. These challenges become more complex when legal requirements vary between countries. This creates the need for local expertise to avoid financial penalties and reputational damage.

• Talent Crisis

The private sector faces a growing gap between available skills and the needs of advanced technical fields. This crisis is worsened by limited vocational training programs and academic curricula that do not align with market demands. Organizations must adopt proactive strategies. These include partnerships with universities, well-designed career development paths, and a focus on the expectations of younger generations seeking meaningful work. (Ritzer, 2018, pp. 89–92)

• Cybersecurity Risks

Cyber threats are growing as reliance on digital infrastructure increases. Advanced attacks now target critical infrastructure and sensitive data. Managing these risks requires a comprehensive approach. This includes regular vulnerability assessments, employee training on security practices, and the use of advanced encryption technologies. Digital trust is an intangible asset that is hard to regain after a breach. This raises the responsibility for protecting information.

• Sustainability Pressures

Environmental standards are shifting from secondary concerns to core elements of competitiveness. Consumers and regulators now demand circular economies and lower carbon footprints. Companies face the challenge of balancing high costs of green transformation with pricing pressures. This calls for innovative business models that redefine the value delivered to customers. Transparency in reporting environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance has become essential to build credibility. (Duhigg, 2016, pp. 54–118)

• Supply Chain Disruptions

Recent global crises have exposed the fragility of complex logistical networks. Geopolitical events and natural disasters can paralyze the flow of materials. These disruptions have prompted companies to restructure their supply chains. Strategies such as partial localization and proactive storage are now commonly adopted. Investments in AI-based forecasting tools have also increased. Building strategic partnerships with suppliers and developing flexible procurement systems are key to enhancing resilience.

• Reputation Management in the Digital Age

In the era of social media, corporate reputation has become a highly sensitive asset. A single negative event can escalate into a crisis within hours. Effective management requires digital monitoring tools and rapid response systems. Transparency must be embedded in communication policies. Defensive strategies alone are not enough. Companies need to build reputational capital through community initiatives and ethical commitment. Reputation has become a major driver of trust among investors and customers. (Covey, 2004, pp. 120–150)

In light of the above, it is clear that the modern business environment faces complex and accelerating challenges. These emerge from rapid technological shifts, globalization, economic volatility, changing consumer behavior, regulatory pressures, and environmental concerns. These challenges compel organizations to adopt flexible business models and proactive strategies focused on innovation, risk management, and the development of human and technological capabilities. Transparency and trust have become essential—whether in data protection, sustainability, or social responsibility. In a digitally connected world, adapting to change is no longer optional. It is vital for survival and competitiveness.



2. The Importance of Adopting Agile Management to Address Challenges in the Changing Business Environment With Practical Examples:

A. Agile Management and Technological Change

Agile management relies on short, iterative development cycles (2-4 week sprints). These cycles break down complex technical projects into deliverable units. This enables organizations to integrate emerging innovations—such as artificial intelligence or blockchain—by testing prototypes with users early on. Agile methods improve adaptability using digital Kanban boards that track progress and adjust priorities in real-time. They reduce traditional development cycles by up to 70%, turning technological threats into competitive opportunities.

Example : In 2023, Tesla conducted 48 development sprints to update its autonomous driving systems. Each sprint incorporated real-time driving data from 500,000 vehicles via AWS Cloud. It tested 12 machine learning algorithms in advanced simulations replicating 10 million driving scenarios. Weekly updates were delivered to users, with instant feedback analysis using ML tools. The process—supported by daily planning meetings and live dashboards—reduced development time from 18 months to 3 weeks. It increased system accuracy by 40% and reduced false detections by 65% through algorithm updates every 72 hours. This example highlights the importance of robust digital infrastructure to support big data and agile methods. However, such practices may face challenges in organizations with limited technological capacity.

B. Agile Management and Globalization

Agile management helps organizations navigate the complexity of global markets. It relies on geographically distributed, self-managing, multicultural teams. These teams use asynchronous coordination tools like digital Kanban boards to make fast local decisions while maintaining global alignment. Agile frameworks allow for rapid localization, adapting global products to local cultures in 45 days instead of six months. This approach reduces customization costs by 30% by avoiding centralized bureaucracy.

Example : Unilever encountered cultural gaps in Southeast Asian markets. It formed 15 agile local teams (each with 8 members in marketing, manufacturing, and anthropology). In India, the team conducted 300 field visits to consumer homes to study hair care habits. They designed a line of Ayurvedic shampoos using local herbs in 45 days, based on real-time sales data from 500 stores. Three formulations were tested through A/B sprint testing with 5,000 consumers. This agile approach—with dailys and weekly reviews—increased market share by 18% within six months and cut customization costs by 45%. The approval process was reduced from 12 steps to just 3. (Rigby, Elk, & Berez, 2024, pp. 117–121, 189–194)The case demonstrates that agile success depends on culturally competent local teams empowered to make independent decisions. This may be difficult in contexts with less autonomy or cultural diversity.

C. Agile Management and Economic Volatility

Agile management supports dynamic reprioritization through value stream mapping. This tool assesses the profitability of activities weekly. Redirect meetings allow for reallocating resources across projects within 72 hours. Agile also relies on real-time market data—sales and consumption trends—to instantly adjust production. Flexible project portfolios help absorb economic shocks by redirecting up to 60% of investments toward emerging opportunities.

Example: During the 2023 inflation crisis, Zara shifted 60% of its production capacity from luxury apparel to low-cost essentials in just two weeks. It used daily sales data from 2,000 stores to adjust production lines weekly through a digital Kanban system. The company formed 25 agile alignment teams that analyzed consumption patterns in 15 emerging markets using AI forecasts. Twenty product designs were modified monthly based on analysis of 500,000 social media posts. These measures reduced deadstock by 45% and increased revenue by 5% despite the recession, cutting the design cycle from 9 months to just 11 days. This experience shows that agile responses to economic shifts require real-time market intelligence and institutional capacity for rapid resource reallocation.

D. Agile Management and Changing Consumer Preferences



Agile organizations use co-creation labs that involve consumers directly in the development process. These labs collect real-time feedback and conduct usability tests after each iteration. The approach follows the Minimum Viable Product (MVP) principle, allowing for the testing of up to 30 ideas monthly with selected user samples. This reduces the response time to market changes from six months to three weeks.

Example: In 2023, LEGO launched a participatory design system. It gathered feedback from 500,000 children each month through a digital platform. Thirty of the most-voted ideas were turned into prototypes within three weeks through intensive sprint cycles. Fifty models were tested with 10,000 children across 100 stores using eye-tracking technologies. LEGO released 35 limited-edition products (5,000 units each) and implemented weekly incremental updates. This process increased sales by 28% and reduced unsold inventory by 90%, cutting development time from 24 months to just 45 days. (Sutherland & Altman, 2023, pp. 177–182)The case highlights the need for advanced digital infrastructure and an organizational culture that supports continuous experimentation and rapid adaptation to evolving consumer preferences—an area where many companies may struggle.

E. Agile Management and Regulatory Change

Agile management establishes, compliance pods, which are flexible units working in parallel with development teams. These pods integrate new regulatory updates into weekly sprints using automated tracking tools powered by NLP and AI. They conduct automatic compliance testing on up to one million simulated transactions per week. This process reduces compliance update time from three months to ten days and cuts compliance costs by 30%.

Example : JP Morgan faced updates in anti-money laundering regulations. It formed 20 agile teams, each with six members including legal experts, developers, and testers. Each team conducted a weekly sprint to scan 500 pages of legislation using NLP tools. They modified 15 monitoring systems and tested compliance on 2 million simulated transactions using Monte Carlo simulations. System updates were completed in 8 days instead of 90, avoiding fines worth \$190 million and reducing regulatory errors by 70% through a "compliance code-first" approach.

This case highlights the critical need for close coordination between legal and technical teams to successfully implement agile management in complex regulatory environments, a challenge for some organizations.

F. The Role of Agile Management in Addressing the Talent Crisis

Agile management fills skill gaps through rapid learning sprints and flexible job rotation programs that align personal goals with project needs. It relies on servant leadership to transform managers into facilitators, increasing employee retention by 25% and training 12,000 employees internally each year.

Example : At Microsoft, Azure teams conducted 120 skill sprints annually, each lasting two weeks. Each sprint focused on emerging technologies such as quantum computing, involving 30 hours of practical training, real project development, and peer evaluations via Git Hub in 2024. This process qualified 12,000 employees internally, reduced reliance on external hiring by 40%, and increased team productivity by 35% by shortening the adaptation time to new technologies from six months to three weeks.

This example shows that investment in internal training and rapid learning programs strengthens human capital. However, such initiatives require substantial resources and a supportive organizational culture.

G. The Role of Agile Management in Mitigating Cybersecurity Risks

Agile integrates security into every development phase through repeated security reviews and daily automated vulnerability scans covering 100,000 endpoints. It applies a "security code-first" principle with automatic penetration tests at the end of each sprint, reducing breaches by 80% and cutting repair time to 48 hours.



Example: At Amazon Web Services, agile security teams scan 100,000 servers daily using Inspector tools, test 50 systems monthly in security hackathons, and update policies through weekly sprints in 2023. They detected 98% of vulnerabilities before exploitation, reduced DDoS attacks by 65%, and shortened average repair time from 21 days to 36 hours via immediate fix sprints.

This model stresses the importance of embedding security into agile development. It also points to the need for ongoing investments in automation and testing, which may challenge organizations with limited resources.

H. The Role of Agile Management in Addressing Sustainability Pressures

Agile achieves environmental balance by integrating ESG indicators into operational Kanban boards and applying circular design principles. It monitors carbon footprints weekly through IoT device data in factories. Supply chain transparency is enhanced via blockchain technology, reducing waste by 40% and material costs by 15%.

Example : IKEA implemented the "Green Kanban" project, tracking consumption of 200 raw materials across 1,000 factories using IoT sensors. It adjusts 120 products annually based on weekly sustainability analytics and cut the use of unrecycled plastic by 62% over 18 months. The project saved \$28 million per year, reduced emissions by 45%, and achieved net-zero certification in 12 factories through 50 monthly data-driven improvements.

This example demonstrates that embedding sustainability metrics in agile processes is feasible and impactful. However, achieving supply chain transparency remains a real challenge that must be addressed for success.

I. The Role of Agile Management in Managing Supply Chain Disruptions

Agile tackles disruptions through flexible supply networks that can be reshaped within 72 hours using AI forecasts and dynamic Kanban boards. It relies on a data base of 5,000 alternative suppliers, reducing down time by 85% through a "supply-as-a-service" model.

Example : During the 2023 chip shortage, Apple responded by activating a digital map of 1,500 alternative suppliers and forming 30 agile teams to inspect chip quality within 48 hours using A/B testing on 100,000 samples. They adjusted 70 product designs to accommodate substitute chips, replacing eight key suppliers in seven days, maintaining 92% production capacity, and cutting supply costs by 18% through daily agile negotiations.

This experience confirms that supply chain resilience depends on flexible contractual agreements and supplier transparency–factors not easily found across all markets and sectors.

K. The Role of Agile Management in Reputation Management in the Digital Era:

Agile deploys real-time response systems that unite 24/7 digital monitoring teams with AI-driven sentiment analysis monitoring five million posts daily. Transparent communication policies convert 70% of crises into loyalty opportunities, reducing containment time from 72 hours to 6 hours.

Example: During the 2024 Starbucks product crisis, the "Reputation Shield" system monitored five million posts across 20 platforms within two hours using Brandwatch tools. A multidisciplinary crisis team (legal, marketing, support) issued a tailored apology and resolution within four hours. Immediate compensations turned 70% of negative comments into positive ones, loyalty raising indicators by 25%. The crisis was transformed into a marketing campaign that garnered 15 million positive impressions on social media.

This example shows that successful digital crisis management through agile requires pre-established communication policies and a multi-skilled team capable of high-pressure coordination.

CONCLUSION:



The title of this article, "Agile Management as an Effective Response to the Challenges of the Changing Business Environment," serves as an entry point for a deep understanding of the interaction between a modern and evolving management model and an organizational reality characterized by increasing volatility and complexity. Today's business environment is dynamic, disruptive, and full of diverse challenges, demanding that organizations seek management tools defined by flexibility, speed, and innovation simultaneously.

Within this context, agile management is not merely a partial solution or secondary choice. Instead, it offers a comprehensive response capable of enabling organizations to overcome the gaps of traditional planning. It transforms evolving challenges into strategic opportunities through both practical and virtual applications that have proven effective. Based on this premise, the study reviewed various dimensions of the relationship between agile management and the core challenges facing today's business environment, providing applied evidence that strengthens the credibility of this modern management model.

1. Results: The study's results are summarized as follows in relation to the hypotheses tested:

- *Hypothesis One*: The study confirmed that agile management, grounded in principles such as iteration, phased delivery, and collaborative work, can provide real added value in environments marked by uncertainty, such as rapid technological change.
- Hypothesis Two: It was confirmed that today's business environment challenges are multifaceted and directly impact organizational performance. Agile management has supplied effective tools to face these challenges in situations including globalization, economic crises, and market shifts.
- *Hypothesis Three :* The applications demonstrated that agile management offers effective responses to these major challenges through organizational mechanisms such as Kanban boards, development sprints, and iterative A/B testing, which enhance institutional adaptability.
- *Hypothesis Four:* The study found that organizations adopting agile management recorded better performance indicators compared to their counterparts, whether in customer satisfaction, cost reduction, or increased market share, confirming the model's practical effectiveness.
- **2. Recommendations :** Based on the findings of this article, the following recommendations are proposed :
- Integrate agile management at the core of institutional strategy, not only in technical or temporary projects ;
- Update organizational structures to support cross-functional and self-directed teams, enabling decision-making at operational levels without waiting for central approval;
 - Adopt short development sprints and fast learning cycles as a basis for flexible performance;
 - Enhance a culture of collaboration and transparency through digital tools such as Kanban boards;
 - Launch co-creation labs to involve customers in product development stages;
- \bullet Implement the Minimum Viable Product (MVP) approach to test innovations before full-scale application;
- Incorporate environmental and social sustainability indicators into performance systems and transform them into daily operational inputs ;
 - Establish agile compliance pods to ensure immediate responses to changing laws and regulations ;
- Develop agile cybersecurity units relying on regular reviews and automated tests in each development cycle ;
- Foster a culture of servant leadership to turn managers into facilitators rather than mere supervisors ;
- Build dynamic databases of alternative suppliers to increase supply chain flexibility and reduce downtime ;
- Adopt smart digital reputation monitoring mechanisms linked to immediate multi-scenario response plans ;
 - Redesign decision-making processes based on real-time market and consumer data;
 - Invest in continuous skill development through ongoing skill sprints linked to project goals ;
- Institutionalize innovation as an operational process rather than a one-off initiative, with specialized teams generating and testing ideas within each business unit.



References:

- 1. Nicolas, H., & Thomas, H. (n.d.). Outils report structures and practical d'amélioration. Revue Française de Gestion, 01(06).
- 2. Tallo, P., & Pinsonneault, A. (2011). Competing Perspectives on the Link between Strategic Information Technology Alignment and Organization Agility: Insights from a Mediation Model. MIS Quarterly, 35(02).
- 3. Carroll, A. B., & Buchholtz, A. K. (2014). Business & Society: Ethics, Sustainability, and Stakeholder Management (Vol. 09). Boston: Cengage Learning.
- 4. Duhigg, C. (2016). The Power of Habit: Why We Do What We Do in Life and Business. New York: Random House.
- 5. Hill, C. W., & Jones, G. R. (2013). Strategic Management: An Integrated Approach (Vol. 10). Boston: Cengage Learning.
- 6. Thomas, D. A., & Ely, R. J. (1996). Making Differences Matter: A New Paradigm for Managing Diversity. Harvard Business Review, 5.
- 7. Reinertsen, D. G. (2023). Flow-Based Risk Management in Agile Organizations. Hoboken: Wilev.
- 8. Rigby, D. K., Elk, S., & Berez, S. (2024). Agile at Scale: Global Transformation. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.
- 9. Ritzer, G. (2018). The McDonaldization of Society: Into the Digital Age. London: Sage Publications.
- 10. Kniberg, H., & Skarin, M. (2025). Kanban for the digital age. New York: Manning Publications.
- 11. Sutherland, J., & Altman, D. (2023). Enterprise Scrum: Scaling Agile Practices. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 12. Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, G. P. (2018). Management Information Systems (Vol. 15). New York: Pearson.
- 13. Lehmann Ortega, L., et al. (2013). Strategy. Paris: Dunod.
- Porter, M. E. (2008). Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors (Vol. 1). New York: Free Press.
- 15. Barac, N., Goran, M., & Alexandra, A. (2010). Lean Production and Agile Management Quality in Lean Supply Chain Management. Review: Economics and Organizations, 07(03), 45-64.
- 16. Michel, N. (n.d.). Essentiel du Management Industrial (Vol. 02). Paris.
- 17. Thiel, P., & Masters, B. (2014). Zero to One: Note-t-on Startups, or How to Build the Future. New York: Crown Business.
- 18. Daft, R. L. (2015). Organization Theory and Design (Vol. 12). Boston: Cengage Learning.
- 19. Larry, R. (2012). Management of operations: principles and applications. Paris: Pearson Education.
- 20. Griffin, R. W. (2019). Fundamentals of Management (Vol. 09). Boston: Cengage Learning.
- 21. Denning, S. (2024). The Agile Enterprise: Innovation Through Flexibility. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- 22. Robbins, S. P., & Coulter, M. (2018). Management (Vol. 14). New York: Pearson.
- 23. Covey, S. R. (2004). The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People: Powerful Lessons in Personal Change. New York: Free Press.
- 24. Wheelen, T. L., & Hunger, J. D. (2012). Strategic Management and Business Policy (Vol. 13). New York: Pearson.
- 25. Kim, W. C., & Mauborgne, R. (2015). Blue Ocean Strategy, Expanded Edition: How to Create Uncontested Market Space and Make the Competition Irrelevant. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.
- 26. Asher, E.M. (2023). Application of Agile Management in Saudi Universities in Light of Global Models: A Proposed Framework. Journal of the College of Education.
- 27. Abu Bakr, A., & Abdullah, S. (2020). Degree of University Leadership Practices of Agile Management Dimensions and Their Relationship to Job Performance Effectiveness from the Perspective of Faculty Members at New Valley University. Educational Journal, 660-685.
- 28. Fitori, A. A. S., & Alsharif, H. A. (2023). Agile Management and Its Impact on Achieving High Employee Retention Practices: An Applied Study on Employees in the Telecommunications Sector (Libyana and Almadar Companies). Journal of Studies in Economics and Commerce, 42(42).



- 29. Dawoudi, T. (2017). The Impact of Internal and External Environmental Analysis on Strategy Formulation. Al-Baheth Journal, 05.
- 30. Skarna, B. K. (2010). Strategic Planning (Vol. 1). Amman: Dar Al-Maseera for Publishing and Distribution.
- 31. Bani Hamdan, K. M., & Idris, W. M. (2009). Strategy and Strategic Planning: A Contemporary Approach. Amman: Dar Al-Yazouri for Scientific Publishing and Distribution.
- 32. Harbi, H., & Al-Ghamdi, L.M. (2021). The Role of Agile Management in Project Success. Innovative Humanities, Social and Natural Research, Vision for a Prosperous Economy and a Better Future by 2030, Istanbul.
- 33. Mohammed, R.M., & Kartat, A. (2019). Requirements and Obstacles to Implementing Agile Management at King Khalid University. Global Journal of Economics and Business, 06(01).
- 34. Muqaymuh, S. (2025). Agile Management and Its Impact on Achieving Functional Creativity in Economic Institutions: A Case Study of the Algerian Electricity and Gas Distribution Company in Skikda (Algeria). Journal of Management and Economics Research, 05(02).
- 35. Hashmi, T., & Mahdi, O. (2019). Agile Management: Concepts and Practices—Lessons Learned from Toyota's Experience. Journal of Economic Growth and Entrepreneurship, 01(02).
- 36. Matar, A. J. (2024). The Role of Applying Agile Management in Developing Administrative Efficiency of Employees (A Field Study at a Private University in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia). International Journal for Publishing Research and Studies, 05.
- 37. Ben Habib, A. R. (2009). Economics and Business Administration. Algeria: University Press Office.
- 38. Al-Shahri, AMY, & Aqili, OM (2023). The Role of Agile Management in Supporting Knowledge Management Projects in Saudi Government Agencies (A Practical Review). International Journal for Publishing Research and Studies, 04(47).
- 39. Al-Qahawi, L. A. (2013). Knowledge Management Strategy and Organizational Goals (Vol. 1). Amman: Dar Al-Hamed for Publishing and Distribution.
- 40. Masada, M. A. (2015). Organizational Management: A Holistic Perspective (Vol. 02). Amman: Dar Al-Maseera for Publishing and Distribution.
- 41. Arabi, M. H. (2015). Strategic Business Management. Algeria: University Press Office.
- Musallat, M., & Al-Otaibi, F. (2024). The Role of Agile Management in Improving Job Performance—An Applied Study on the Ministry of Health in Saudi Arabia. Journal of Educational and Psychological Sciences. Arab Foundation for Science and Research Publishing, 08(05).
- 43. Addi, N. D. (1998). Economics of the Enterprise (Vol. 2). Algeria: Dar Al-Mohamadiya for General Publishing