Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems
Issue 10, Vol. 8, 2025

Title of research article

The Strategic Role of Organizational Communication in Enhancing Decision-Making Effectiveness: A Theoretical and Analytical Approach

Bouasla Bouchra
Dr.
Higher School of Management Sciences – Annaba
Algeria
E-mail: bouasla.bouchra@essg-annaba.dz

Issue web link https://imcra-az.org/archive/384-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-10-vol-8-2025.html

Keywords Organizational communication; decision-making effectiveness; management systems; information flow; communication barriers; organizational performance; administrative efficiency; participatory management; digital transformation; leadership communication; strategic decision-making.

Abstract
Organizational communication constitutes the lifeblood of administrative systems and the backbone of effective decision-making processes in contemporary organizations. Within increasingly complex institutional environments, the accuracy, timeliness, and accessibility of information have become decisive factors influencing managerial performance. This theoretical and analytical study explores the multidimensional relationship between organizational communication and decision-making effectiveness, focusing on how communication structures, channels, and practices shape administrative outcomes. Drawing upon a broad review of modern management literature, the study examines the conceptual foundations of communication as a managerial function, the mechanisms linking it to rational and participatory decision-making, and the obstacles that impede information flow within hierarchical systems. It further analyzes the psychological, structural, and technological barriers that reduce communication efficiency, leading to ambiguity, delays, and poor organizational coordination. The paper concludes that effective communication practices—supported by transparent information systems, participatory decision environments, and technological integration—are pivotal for achieving strategic alignment, employee engagement, and administrative performance excellence. Strengthening internal communication frameworks through continuous training, digital transformation, and a culture of openness significantly enhances the quality and speed of decisions, thereby reinforcing organizational adaptability and competitiveness in a volatile global environment.

Citation. Hamza B; Zine Y; Bachir B. (2025). The Strategic Role of Organizational Communication in Enhancing Decision-Making Effectiveness: A Theoretical and Analytical Approach. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 8(10), 1151–1169. https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/8.10.99

Received: 12.03.2025 | Accepted: 06.07.2025 | Published: 11.10.2025 (available online)

1. Introduction

Organizational communication represents one of the fundamental components of the administrative process in contemporary institutions. It serves as a dynamic mechanism that ensures the systematic flow of information, facilitates coordination among functional units, and promotes interaction across hierarchical levels. Effective communication is not only a managerial necessity but also a strategic determinant of success in decision-making, since the quality of managerial decisions depends largely on the accuracy, completeness, and timeliness of the information transmitted through organizational channels.

With the increasing complexity of institutional structures, diversification of tasks, and expansion of managerial responsibilities, the need for clear, multidirectional communication has become indispensable. Effective organizational communication enhances decision-making speed, accuracy, and inclusiveness, thereby improving institutional performance. Conversely, deficiencies in communication systems often result in coordination failures, delayed decisions, and diminished organizational efficiency. Consequently, analyzing the impact of organizational communication on decision-making effectiveness constitutes a critical subject of theoretical and applied research in administrative sciences.

2. Research Problem

Given the centrality of communication in the administrative process, this study addresses the following core question:

To what extent does organizational communication influence the effectiveness of decision-making within institutions, and what strategies can be developed to strengthen it for higher administrative efficiency and quality performance?

Sub-Questions

1. How does organizational communication contribute to the improvement of the decision-making process within organizations?
2. What are the main challenges and obstacles that limit the effectiveness of communication systems?
3. Which mechanisms and strategies can be adopted to enhance communication in support of sound and timely administrative decisions?

3. Research Hypotheses

1. Effective organizational communication positively contributes to the improvement of administrative decision-making effectiveness.
2. Communication barriers and organizational obstacles negatively influence the quality and timeliness of decisions.
3. Strengthening internal communication channels and adopting modern strategies enhance the overall efficiency of managerial decision-making.

4. Research Methodology

This study employs the **descriptive–analytical approach**, which is considered the most appropriate for examining administrative phenomena of conceptual and theoretical nature. The approach allows for an in-depth analysis of literature and models related to organizational communication and its relation to decision-making. It also facilitates identifying the strengths, weaknesses, and theoretical gaps in previous studies, thereby contributing to the development of a comprehensive scientific understanding of the topic.

5. Research Significance

The significance of this study arises from its focus on one of the fundamental themes of modern management: the relationship between communication systems and decision-making effectiveness. This relationship has gained increasing importance due to rapid organizational, technological, and digital transformations in contemporary work environments. By revisiting and integrating theoretical perspectives, this research enriches the academic discourse on communication as a managerial mechanism for improving the quality of administrative decisions. Moreover, the findings are expected to provide practical insights that help organizations upgrade their internal communication practices, thus enhancing their adaptability, innovation capacity, and overall performance.

6. Research Objectives

- To establish a theoretical framework for understanding the concepts of organizational communication and effective decision-making.
- To analyze the relationship between communication efficiency and the rationality of administrative decisions.
- To identify the major challenges and constraints affecting communication within institutions.
- To propose mechanisms and strategic interventions to improve organizational communication and strengthen decision-making processes.

7. Axes of the Study

1. **Axis 1:** The conceptual framework of organizational communication and decision-making.
2. **Axis 2:** The impact of organizational communication on the effectiveness of decision-making.
3. **Axis 3:** Challenges and obstacles facing communication systems within organizations.
4. **Axis 4:** Strategies and mechanisms for enhancing organizational communication to support administrative decision-making.
5. **Axis 5:** A critical review of previous studies addressing the communication–decision-making relationship.

Axis 1: The Conceptual Framework for Organizational Communication and Decision-Making

Organizational communication and decision-making constitute two interdependent pillars of modern management. While communication facilitates the accurate transmission of data and ideas, decision-making transforms this information into strategic actions. Understanding the conceptual basis of these two constructs is essential for evaluating their reciprocal impact on organizational performance.

1.1. Definition of Organizational Communication

Organizational communication refers to the process through which information is exchanged among members of an institution, whether vertically—from decision-making centers to executive units—or horizontally—between departments and teams. Its ultimate goal is to induce positive behavioral and procedural changes that contribute to achieving institutional objectives.

According to **Sassi (2015, p. 52)**, organizational communication is "the process of transmitting information within an organization to influence individuals and groups in ways that ensure goal achievement." Similarly, **Bashri (2017, p. 227)** defines it as "a set of procedures, tools, and methods designed to produce, convey, and utilize data required for sound and timely decision-making."

1.2. Importance of Organizational Communication

Organizational communication is widely recognized as one of the essential pillars of institutional success. It guarantees the smooth and accurate flow of information among various managerial levels, ensures coordination of efforts, and aligns objectives across units. Effective communication fosters trust, minimizes ambiguity, and enhances the quality and efficiency of administrative performance.

As **Qaza (2012, p. 18)** notes, communication promotes organizational belonging, employee motivation, and adaptability to internal and external changes. Hence, the strength of communication networks within an organization serves as a vital indicator of its managerial maturity and competitive advantage.

1.3. Tools of Organizational Communication

Modern organizations utilize a wide range of communication tools—traditional and digital—to ensure the circulation of information and feedback among stakeholders.

- **Internal Memos:**
  Concise written instruments conveying instructions, decisions, or policy reminders. The evolution from paper-based to electronic memos has accelerated dissemination while preserving their formal nature (**Rahman, 2018, p. 45**).
- **Official Reports:**
  Detailed documents providing analytical insights and performance data to guide strategic decision-making. Their value depends on accuracy, clarity, and systematic documentation (**Al-Fotouh, 2016, p. 61**).
- **Face-to-Face Meetings:**
  Direct interpersonal exchanges that enable immediate clarification, collective deliberation, and participatory decision-making. Nevertheless, their efficiency declines without proper planning or clear agendas (**Badai, 2019, p. 68**).
- **Email and Digital Platforms:**
  Contemporary communication increasingly relies on electronic correspondence and collaborative software (e.g., *Microsoft Teams*, *Slack*). These platforms support rapid, multidirectional, and cross-functional interaction, especially in geographically dispersed organizations (**Hassan, 2020, p. 125**).
- **Bulletin Boards:**
  A traditional yet effective tool for mass communication of general instructions and internal activities. Although less common today, they remain useful for inclusive institutional messaging (**Abdo, 2017, p. 92**).

1.4. Types of Organizational Communication

- **Formal Communication:**
  Operates according to the official organizational hierarchy and follows defined channels such as directives, reports, and official correspondences. It ensures discipline, traceability, and accountability, making it indispensable for implementing strategic decisions. However, its rigidity can occasionally slow information flow (**Al-Khouly, 2018, p. 93**).
- **Informal Communication:**
  (To be continued in Axis 1, Section 1.4 b, where informal networks, lateral communication, and diagonal exchanges are analyzed as complementary to formal structures.)

1.5. Informal Communication

Informal communication arises spontaneously among employees outside formal organizational channels, often through side conversations, casual meetings, or personal interactions. Although it lacks structure, informal communication serves as an essential complement to formal channels by facilitating the rapid transfer of information, fostering a sense of community, and creating a more open and friendly work environment. It often acts as an early warning system for managerial issues, as employees share feedback more freely in informal contexts. However, without effective monitoring or a culture of transparency, informal communication can also become a source of misinformation or rumors that may harm organizational cohesion (Al-Hashemi, 2019, p. 114).

1.6. Downward Communication

Downward communication refers to the transmission of information from top management to lower organizational levels. It includes directives, policies, plans, and strategic decisions aimed at ensuring coordination and alignment between the institution's objectives and employees' actions. Its principal role is to unify efforts, promote clarity of goals, and facilitate the execution of managerial decisions. However, excessive one-way communication without active feedback mechanisms can lead to employee disengagement and create a psychological gap between managers and subordinates (Omar, 2018, p. 104).

1.7. Upward Communication

Upward communication flows from subordinates to higher management and includes reports, complaints, performance feedback, and suggestions. It enables employees to express their views and experiences while helping management assess operational realities and refine decision-making processes accordingly. The effectiveness of upward communication depends largely on the openness of leadership to receive and act on feedback, as well as the existence of trust-based organizational culture (Muhammad, 2017, p. 66).

1.8. Horizontal Communication

Horizontal communication takes place between employees, teams, or departments operating at the same organizational level. It facilitates coordination, enhances collaboration, and minimizes task overlap or functional conflict. By encouraging knowledge sharing and interdepartmental synergy, horizontal communication improves workflow efficiency, reduces delays, and enhances overall productivity (Al-Bakri, 2018, p. 93).

1.9. Oblique (Diagonal) Communication

Oblique communication occurs between employees at different hierarchical levels who are not directly linked administratively—for example, between a finance officer and a marketing specialist. This type of cross-level communication promotes flexibility, accelerates problem-solving, and enhances organizational responsiveness. It shortens decision-making time by bypassing unnecessary bureaucratic layers while maintaining informational integrity (Khaled, 2019, p. 67).

1.10. Objectives of Organizational Communication

Organizational communication serves multiple interrelated objectives that collectively strengthen managerial performance and institutional cohesion:

- **Information Exchange:**
  The primary goal of communication is to ensure the continuous, accurate, and timely flow of information across the organization. Reliable information exchange enhances performance efficiency and minimizes decision-making errors (Hamdi, 2019, p. 57).
- **Supporting the Decision-Making Process:**
  Communication provides management with the necessary data and insights for rational, evidence-based decision-making. No administrative decision can be effective without a sufficient and credible informational base (Fawzi, 2017, p. 73).
- **Enhancing Coordination of Activities:**
  Communication ensures the integration of departmental efforts and prevents task duplication or conflict. This coordination fosters organizational unity and promotes efficient performance (Bakri, 2018, p. 95).
- **Increasing Employee Satisfaction:**
  When communication channels are open and participatory, employees feel valued and involved in organizational processes, leading to higher job satisfaction and stronger commitment (Ahmed, 2020, p. 136).
- **Conflict Resolution:**
  Transparent communication facilitates the peaceful resolution of disputes through dialogue and mutual understanding, thereby contributing to a stable and cooperative work environment (Mansour, 2019, p. 74).
- **Disseminating Organizational Culture and Values:**
  Communication acts as a vehicle for conveying the institution's mission, vision, and ethical values. Through consistent messaging, it shapes employees' behaviors and aligns them with organizational goals (Al-Shazly, 2021, p. 112).

2. Decision-Making

2.1. Definition of Decision-Making

A decision is the process of selecting one course of action among several alternatives available in a given situation to achieve specific objectives. The existence of alternatives constitutes the foundation of decision-making, as it arises from the need to resolve problems through informed choice (Amal, 2010, p. 15).

According to Mutawaq (2016, p. 100), the decision-making process consists of "a series of sequential actions beginning with the manager's recognition that a problem exists. Through careful examination and investigation, the manager identifies and defines the problem, develops alternative solutions, evaluates them based on available information, selects the most appropriate option, implements the decision, and monitors the outcomes to ensure effectiveness."

2.2. Importance of Decision-Making

Decision-making constitutes the central axis of the administrative process, permeating all managerial functions—planning, organizing, leading, and controlling.

During **planning**, management makes decisions at multiple levels: setting objectives, formulating policies, preparing programs, allocating resources, and determining the most efficient means of implementation.

In **organizing**, decision-making influences the selection of the appropriate organizational structure, the distribution of authority and responsibilities, and the design of reporting relationships and communication channels.

Within the **leadership function**, decision-making underpins the processes of directing, motivating, and coordinating subordinates' efforts, as well as resolving operational challenges that arise during task execution.

In **control**, decision-making is required to establish performance standards, compare actual results with planned targets, and implement corrective actions in response to deviations or inefficiencies.

Thus, the decision-making process is continuous, cyclical, and integral to all aspects of managerial practice (Al-Ashhab, 2015, pp. 18–19).

According to Amer and Al-Masry (2016, p. 134), the importance of administrative decision-making lies in several factors:

- It represents the core managerial task that distinguishes managers from other members of the organization.
- The multiplicity and complexity of objectives in modern institutions, along with the frequent conflicts among them, increase the number and difficulty of decisions required from administrative leaders.
- Without effective decision-making, other management functions—planning, organizing, directing, and controlling—cannot be properly executed, resulting in administrative stagnation.

2.3. Types of Managerial Decisions

Decision-making within organizations can be categorized according to various criteria, reflecting the diversity of contexts and managerial conditions under which decisions occur.

a. Based on the Degree of Programming

- **Programmed Decisions:** Routine, repetitive, and structured decisions governed by pre-established rules and procedures. They involve minimal uncertainty and are often delegated to lower management levels—for instance, approving leave requests or reordering supplies (Jones & George, 2020, p. 119).
- **Non-Programmed Decisions:** Unique, unstructured, and complex decisions arising in new or uncertain situations. They require managerial judgment, innovation, and creativity, such as adopting a new technology or responding to an organizational crisis (Simon, 1997, p. 62).

b. Based on Managerial Level

- **Strategic Decisions:** Made by top executives to define the long-term direction, policies, and priorities of the organization. They are high-risk and resource-intensive, such as decisions regarding mergers, diversification, or global expansion (Mintzberg, 1994, p. 89).
- **Tactical Decisions:** Taken by middle management to translate strategic goals into specific plans and budgets. Examples include designing an annual marketing campaign or restructuring a business unit (Konopaske, 2019, p. 118).
- **Operational Decisions:** Routine and short-term decisions made by supervisors to address daily activities, such as scheduling staff or managing inventory (Robbins & Coulter, 2021, p. 225).

c. Based on Conditions of Certainty and Risk

- **Decisions under Certainty:** Managers possess complete information about all alternatives and outcomes, resulting in minimal risk—e.g., purchasing materials from a trusted supplier (Daft, 2014, p. 243).
- **Decisions under Risk:** Managers can estimate probabilities of outcomes but uncertainty remains—e.g., investing in a project after a feasibility study (Hill & Jones, 2017, p. 75).
- **Decisions under Uncertainty:** Occur when information is incomplete or outcomes are unpredictable. Managers rely on intuition and scenario analysis—e.g., entering an unfamiliar foreign market (Lussier & Achua, 2016, p. 189).

d. Based on the Number of Participants

- **Individual Decisions:** Made by a single manager within their authority, often faster and efficient for routine issues but limited by individual biases (Robbins & Judge, 2019, p. 144).
- **Group Decisions:** Involve multiple participants with diverse expertise, enhancing quality through collective deliberation but requiring more time and potentially subject to groupthink (Colquitt & Wesson, 2021, p. 144).

e. Based on Time Horizon

- **Long-Term Decisions:** Strategic in scope, spanning several years, and shaping the overall trajectory of the organization—e.g., adopting digital transformation initiatives (Griffin, 2019, p. 198).
- **Medium-Term Decisions:** Typically covering one to three years, focusing on tactical adjustments such as product development or departmental restructuring (Konopaske, 2019, p. 120).
- **Short-Term Decisions:** Operational and immediate, addressing day-to-day management such as staff scheduling or weekly budgeting (Robbins & Coulter, 2021, p. 82).

2.4. Classification of Decisions Based on Managerial Function

Decision-making can also be analyzed according to the managerial function in which it occurs. Each function—planning, organizing, leading, and controlling—requires a different type of decision, reflecting its scope, focus, and temporal horizon.

- **Planning Decisions:**
  These decisions focus on defining organizational goals, formulating policies, and determining future courses of action. They provide strategic direction and serve as a foundation for all subsequent managerial activities (Jones & George, 2020, p. 64).
- **Organizing Decisions:**
  Concerned with structuring work, assigning responsibilities, and distributing resources efficiently, these decisions establish the internal framework necessary for coordinated performance (Lussier & Achua, 2016, p. 190).

- **Leading (Directing) Decisions:**
  Involve motivating employees, resolving interpersonal conflicts, and guiding teams toward the achievement of objectives. They emphasize human interaction and behavioral influence within managerial practice (Robbins & Judge, 2019, p. 145).
- **Controlling Decisions:**
  Related to monitoring organizational performance, evaluating results, and implementing corrective actions to ensure that objectives are achieved in accordance with plans (Daft, 2014, p. 244).

2.5. Classification of Decisions Based on the Nature of the Subject

Another approach to decision classification considers the substantive domain to which the decision pertains—economic, technical, behavioral, or administrative.

- **Economic Decisions:**
  Concerned with the allocation of resources, investment, pricing, and financial planning, economic decisions directly influence organizational profitability and sustainability (Hill & Jones, 2017, p. 76).
- **Technical Decisions:**
  Relate to the adoption of new technologies, production processes, or innovations designed to improve operational efficiency and competitiveness (Griffin, 2019, p. 199).
- **Behavioral Decisions:**
  Focus on human resource management aspects, including motivation, training, leadership development, and performance appraisal (Colquitt & Wesson, 2021, p. 145).
- **Administrative Decisions:**
  Deal with policies, regulatory compliance, and governance frameworks that ensure organizational order and institutional legitimacy (Robbins & Coulter, 2021, p. 228).

2.6. Stages of the Decision-Making Process in Organizations

The decision-making process unfolds through several interrelated and sequential stages, all of which aim to guarantee the clarity and effectiveness of the communicative message across organizational levels. Each stage is integral to ensuring the rationality and efficiency of the final decision.

- **Collecting Information about the Problem:**
  The process begins with identifying and diagnosing the problem accurately. No effective decision can be made without a comprehensive understanding of the situation, its dimensions, and the gap between the current state and the desired outcome. In cases where the problem appears vague or ill-defined, managers must undertake a careful process of clarification and contextual analysis to ensure a sound understanding (Sihem, 2012, p. 23).
- **Searching for Alternatives:**
  Once the problem is clearly defined, the next step involves generating multiple feasible solutions or alternatives. This stage often requires consultation with experts, drawing upon organizational experience, and encouraging creativity. The quality of alternatives depends on the extent to which decision-makers consider environmental conditions and available resources (Sheikha, 1993, p. 111).
- **Evaluating Alternatives:**
  Each proposed alternative is assessed in terms of potential advantages, disadvantages, and future implications. The purpose is to identify the most appropriate and efficient option aligned with the organization's strategic objectives. This evaluation process involves forecasting, comparative analysis, and the use of decision criteria to minimize risk and maximize expected benefits (Amira, 2014, p. 21).

In summary, the decision-making process is a continuous and integrated cycle that begins with problem recognition, advances through the search and evaluation of alternatives, and concludes with the selection of the optimal course of action to ensure effective and evidence-based managerial outcomes.

3. The Relationship between Communication and Decision-Making

Communication and decision-making are inseparable components of the administrative process. Communication provides the informational foundation upon which decisions are built, while decisions represent the operational outcomes of that information. The two functions are complementary and mutually reinforcing—communication enables informed decision-making, and decisions, once made, rely on effective communication for implementation and feedback.

This reciprocal relationship manifests in several key aspects:

- **Providing Decision-Makers with Accurate Information:**
  Effective communication ensures that managers receive reliable, complete, and timely information necessary for evaluating alternatives and reducing uncertainty. It enhances mutual understanding across hierarchical and functional boundaries.
- **Clarifying Decisions and Gauging Reactions:**
  Communication facilitates the dissemination of decisions and enables feedback from subordinates. Understanding employees' reactions and interpretations ensures that decisions are implemented correctly and accepted within the organization.
- **Preventing Information Distortion:**
  A well-structured communication system prevents the loss or distortion of information as it moves through different organizational levels. Clarity in communication enhances the precision and success of the decisions adopted.

Thus, the quality of decisions depends heavily on the accuracy of information and the reliability of communication channels through which such information circulates. According to Alwan Samira (2018, p. 302), "the effectiveness of decision-making is contingent upon the efficiency of the communication process," emphasizing that a well-functioning communication network is the backbone of successful administrative practice.

Axis 02: The Impact of Organizational Communication on Decision-Making Effectiveness

Organizational communication constitutes the cornerstone of effective decision-making in contemporary institutions. Administrative decisions cannot be conceived independently of communication systems that ensure the systematic exchange of information and active participation of all organizational levels. Effective communication not only supplies managers with essential data but also influences the speed, accuracy, and acceptance of decisions. The robustness of communication channels reflects the degree of employee involvement and organizational cohesion, while weak communication structures often result in fragmented or impractical decisions.

From this perspective, the following section explores the multidimensional impact of communication on decision-making effectiveness.

2.1. The Role of Communication in Gathering Information and Analyzing Alternatives

Communication serves as a vital tool for collecting, processing, and transmitting information required for managerial analysis. Modern organizations generate vast volumes of data daily, which must be transformed into actionable knowledge through effective communication channels. These channels connect decision-makers with both internal and external sources—employees, operational departments, suppliers, and customers—thereby reducing informational asymmetry.

Furthermore, communication fosters collective analysis by facilitating dialogue and the exchange of viewpoints among stakeholders. Formal mechanisms such as reports and meetings complement informal interactions and experiential knowledge-sharing, together forming a comprehensive information network. Consequently, communication transcends mere data transmission; it becomes a cognitive and interpretive process that converts raw information into informed managerial judgment (Assi, 2011, p. 45).

2.2. The Impact of Communication on the Speed and Quality of Administrative Decisions

Organizational communication critically influences both the **timeliness** and **quality** of decisions. Speed is essential in dynamic environments—delayed information renders even accurate decisions obsolete. Rapid and transparent communication channels allow for immediate responses to external challenges and opportunities.

At the same time, the **quality** of decisions is directly linked to the clarity and precision of transmitted messages. Ambiguous or incomplete communication often results in poor comprehension, erroneous implementation, or resistance among employees. Numerous studies affirm that transparent and timely communication systems enhance decision quality by ensuring that accurate, relevant, and undistorted information reaches decision-makers promptly (Khalifa, 2021, p. 61).

2.3. The Role of Communication Channels in Supporting Participation and Decision-Making

Participative decision-making—where employees contribute to planning, problem-solving, and evaluation—is contingent upon open and multidirectional communication. Effective communication channels allow information to flow horizontally, vertically, and diagonally, ensuring inclusiveness and fostering trust.

Horizontal communication among departments promotes the exchange of operational knowledge and best practices, resulting in decisions that are pragmatic and implementable. Upward communication enables employees to express their perspectives, report operational challenges, and propose improvements, which enriches managerial insight.

In this sense, communication channels are not limited to transmitting managerial decisions; they also function as interactive spaces for dialogue, consultation, and collective learning. Such participation enhances organizational commitment and strengthens the legitimacy of administrative decisions (Youssef & Hamid, 2015, p. 88).

2.4. The Impact of Poor Communication on the Effectiveness of Decisions

Poor communication produces immediate and adverse consequences for decision effectiveness. When information is unavailable, ambiguous, or inconsistent, managers are compelled to decide on the basis of incomplete or erroneous data, which yields policies that misfit organizational realities. A lack of transparency also fuels rumors and misunderstandings, erodes employees' trust in leadership, and reduces acceptance of managerial directives. In practice, weak communication frequently produces decisions that are difficult to implement or misaligned with organizational capabilities, leading to wasted time and resources. Building an integrated, transparent, and reliable communication system is therefore a prerequisite for effective administrative decision-making (Al-Tawil, 2018, p. 102).

Axis 03: Obstacles to Organizational Communication and Decision-Making

3.1. Obstacles to Organizational Communication

Despite its centrality to administrative work, communication is often constrained by a set of intertwined barriers that undermine its integrity and outcomes. These can be grouped into two broad categories: psychological and social obstacles, and organizational obstacles.

A. Psychological and Social Obstacles

Individual differences in dispositions, cognitive capacity, and psychological states are among the most salient impediments to effective communication inside organizations. The interpretation of messages varies with mood, motivations, and emotions at the moment of reception. Anxious or fearful recipients may perceive managerial communications as threats; frustration can cast messages in a uniformly negative light. Representative obstacles include (Al-Hashemi L., 2006, pp. 231–234):

- **Difficulties in conveying meanings through symbols:**
  Words and symbols do not carry identical meanings for all. A term that management intends positively (e.g., "development") might be perceived by employees as signaling redundancy risk or instability, producing divergent interpretations.
- **Learning difficulties and resistance to change:**
  Many organizational messages seek to shape behavior or encourage adaptation to new policies. Limited attention, low absorptive capacity, and change resistance complicate the "learning" component of communication.

B. Organizational Obstacles

Structural properties of the organization can hinder communication quality:

- **Multiple hierarchical levels:**
  Lengthy managerial ladders increase the probability of message distortion as information travels up or down. Supervisors may also under-report subordinates' views for fear of jeopardizing their own position, allowing minor issues to accumulate into crises.
- **Source credibility:**
  The impact of a message depends as much on the recipient's trust in the sender as on its content. Where trust in management is weak, messages may be framed as control devices, regardless of intent.

Additional problems include **omissions** (parts of messages are lost, intentionally or not), **distortion** (content altered by transmitters' cultural or professional lenses), and **excess** (information overload that exceeds processing capacity) (Barbar, 1996, pp. 128–129). Barbar further notes that the most serious obstacles include **deliberate hoarding of information** as a power resource, and **conflict between formal and informal structures**, both of which create severe communication gaps (Barbar, 2001, p. 214).

In sum, obstacles to organizational communication are multiple and interdependent. While full control is unrealistic, leadership awareness—combined with systems grounded in transparency and trust—remains essential for effective decisions and reliable information flow.

3.2. Obstacles to Decision-Making

Decision-making—spanning problem definition, information gathering, alternative generation, selection, implementation, and follow-up—is rarely straightforward. Obstacles arise from both the decision-maker's own characteristics and the broader organizational environment. Key impediments include (Hijazin, 2006, pp. 55–56):

- **Lack of data and information:**
  Information underpins diagnosis, alternative identification, and policy formulation. Organizations frequently suffer from inaccuracies, delays, or incomplete sources. Decision-makers thus operate with **bounded rationality**, settling for "satisficing" rather than optimal solutions; information deficits heighten risk and shift leaders toward intuition over systematic analysis.
- **Hesitation and indecisiveness:**
  When multiple alternatives exist and problem parameters are unclear, evaluation can devolve into analysis paralysis. Chronic postponement squanders strategic opportunities that may be costly—or impossible—to recover.
- **Weak mutual trust:**
  Trust between superiors and subordinates is foundational. In low-trust climates, suspicion, low commitment, information concealment, and "deliberate distortion" of messages emerge, undermining decision accuracy and legitimacy.
- **The time factor:**
  Decision quality is inseparable from timing. Haste breeds improvisation with inadequate evidence;

excessive delay forfeits opportunities and inflates implementation costs. Effective management identifies the **optimal decision window**, balancing sufficient information with time for execution.

- **Psychological and personal factors:**
  Leaders' dispositions—fear of failure, excessive risk aversion, emotional bias, and conformity to prevailing social norms—shape outcomes. Behavioral traits (ambition, caution, individualism) can overtake objective criteria; anxiety and fatigue narrow cognition and obscure superior alternatives.
- **Limited participation:**
  Excluding subordinates from decision processes tends to weaken commitment and hinder implementation. Participation supports realism, buy-in, and execution quality.
- **Reliance on unreliable sources:**
  Confusion between fact and opinion, or dependence on biased and low-quality inputs—often for reasons of speed or resource scarcity—produces systematically flawed decisions (Mansour K. N., 2006, pp. 36–37).

Overall, decision-making is a complex, constraint-laden process. To mitigate these constraints, organizations should strengthen data systems, promote participatory processes, cultivate trust, develop leaders' psychological resilience, and institutionalize decision-timing disciplines.

2.5. Challenges of Organizational Communication and Decision-Making

a. Organizational Challenges

Organizational challenges arise from the complexity of administrative structures, which often hinder the accurate and rapid flow of information. Excessive bureaucracy delays the transmission of instructions and correspondence, creating opportunities for message distortion or omission. Likewise, high levels of centralization restrict lower-level managers from contributing to decision-making processes, weakening initiative and innovation. Poor coordination among departments results in overlapping tasks and conflicting directives, which in turn reduce decision quality and slow organizational responsiveness (Al-Tawil, 2021, p. 95).

b. Human and Behavioral Challenges

Human factors represent one of the most significant barriers to effective communication. Misunderstandings between managers and employees commonly arise from poor listening skills, ambiguous messages, or emotional reactivity. Personal and organizational conflicts create an unhealthy atmosphere that disrupts the transmission of information and compromises decision objectivity. Moreover, resistance to change—often driven by fear of losing status or privileges—causes employees to ignore or misinterpret managerial messages. These behavioral and emotional barriers make communication a complex process that directly affects the effectiveness and acceptance of administrative decisions (Fatima, 2019, p. 80).

c. Technological and Administrative Challenges in Modern Communication Systems

Despite advances in digital communication, many organizations face technological and administrative constraints. Weak infrastructure leads to technical failures and delays, while limited managerial expertise in digital systems reduces their efficiency. Information security concerns—such as data leaks or unauthorized access—also undermine trust in communication networks. In addition, some employees resist new digital platforms, preferring traditional modes of communication, thereby impeding the full realization of modern communication efficiency (Muhammad Y., 2022, p. 218).

d. Implications for Effective Administrative Decision-Making

These organizational, human, and technological challenges have cumulative adverse effects on the quality of administrative decisions. Delayed information flow slows response time, ambiguous messages lead to inaccurate or inconsistent decisions, and interpersonal conflicts distort objectivity. Weak technological infrastructure further contributes to data loss or reliance on incomplete information. Consequently, decision quality deteriorates, implementation costs increase, and both employee and customer satisfaction decline (Al-Harbi, 2022, p. 193).

Axis 04: Ways to Enhance Organizational Communication to Support Administrative Decision-Making

Organizational communication forms the backbone of modern institutions, enabling the exchange of ideas, information, and experiences. It connects different managerial levels and harmonizes individual and collective efforts. The accuracy and reliability of administrative decisions depend directly on the integrity of this communication process. Because errors and delays in information transfer can distort or paralyze decisions, managers must adopt strategies that strengthen communication systems and improve their efficiency.

Management and behavioral-science literature identifies a range of principles and methods to enhance communication, focusing on clarity, completeness, feedback, and the development of communication skills across organizational hierarchies (Hareem, 2013, p. 277).

1. Message Clarity and Precision

Clarity is the cornerstone of effective communication. Ambiguous language generates multiple interpretations and inconsistent implementation. Clear, unambiguous messages allow employees to understand and apply directives correctly. For example, when management revises safety procedures, precise wording and explicit steps ensure compliance and minimize risk.

2. Comprehensiveness of Essential Information

A clear message must also be comprehensive. Incomplete communication leads to incomplete or ineffective decisions. If a purchasing department is instructed to acquire new materials without receiving detailed specifications or quantities, procurement errors may disrupt production and cause financial losses.

3. Brevity and Avoiding Redundancy

Overly long or repetitive messages reduce comprehension and slow response time. Brevity—while preserving meaning—enhances efficiency. Many corporations employ one-page executive summaries to condense essential decision information, enabling swift yet informed managerial review.

4. Reliance on Concrete Facts

Sound decisions must rest on verified facts and quantitative data rather than assumptions. Communications should therefore include measurable indicators such as profit ratios, cost trends, or productivity metrics, providing decision-makers with a solid empirical foundation for analysis.

5. Honesty and Integrity in Information Transmission

Credibility is vital. Misrepresentation of information erodes trust and leads to flawed decisions. Concealing negative performance indicators—for instance, withholding declining sales data—can result in misguided policies such as overproduction or resource misallocation.

6. Attracting Attention and Motivating the Recipient

Effective communication captures attention and elicits positive engagement. This can be achieved through persuasive presentation techniques, visual aids, and practical examples. For instance, when introducing a new training program, an interactive multimedia presentation is far more persuasive than a generic written memo.

7. Feedback and Follow-Up

Feedback transforms one-way communication into dialogue. Managers should confirm understanding by inviting recipients to restate instructions in their own words. Continuous follow-up helps identify misunderstandings early and supports timely correction during project execution.

8. Regulating the Flow of Information

Both information overload and deficiency hinder effective decision-making. The success of communication lies in maintaining the "optimal amount" of relevant, accurate information—enough to inform, yet not so much that it overwhelms recipients.

9. Developing Employee Communication Skills

Communication is a shared responsibility requiring skilled senders and receivers. Training in dialogue, professional writing, and digital etiquette equips employees to exchange information more efficiently. Many global firms conduct workshops on presentation, persuasion, and written communication to strengthen internal collaboration and productivity.

10. Addressing Obstacles and Rumors

Informal communications can spread misinformation as quickly as facts. Management should counter rumors with transparency by issuing timely, accurate updates. For example, an official statement clarifying merger negotiations can dispel uncertainty and preserve workforce stability.

11. Promoting Positive and Democratic Communication Practices

Open, two-way communication fosters trust, participation, and loyalty. Encouraging employees to voice suggestions and engage in decision discussions strengthens morale and enhances the organization's adaptability and performance (Al-Madi, 2016, pp. 295–296).

Axis 05: A Critical Approach to Previous Studies on the Impact of Organizational Communication on Decision-Making Effectiveness

The nexus between organizational communication and decision-making effectiveness has been a recurrent theme in management and communication research. Existing studies consistently affirm a positive correlation between effective communication and rational, timely, and participatory decisions. Nevertheless, critical review reveals persistent theoretical and methodological limitations that merit further exploration.

Table 01: A Critical Review of Previous Studies on the Relationship Between Organizational Communication and Decision-Making Effectiveness

Study	Focus Area	Main Findings	Strengths	Weaknesses
Clampitt & Downs (2017)	Communication as a tool for information gathering	Demonstrated that decision effectiveness is linked to the quality of information flowing through communication channels	Provides an integrated theoretical framework for the communication–decision nexus	Overlooks technological and digital transformation effects
Mishra, Boynton & Mishra (2014)	Internal communication and employee engagement	Communication enhances belonging and trust, accelerating decision speed	Establishes a clear connection between communication and participation	Limited exploration of the strategic dimension of decision-making

Keyton (2017)	Formal and informal communication channels	Informal communication builds trust and improves decision quality	Highlights informal interaction in organizations	Lacks empirical validation in digital settings
Zaremba (2010)	Communication and decision speed	Effective communication reduces ambiguity and delays in decision-making	Clarifies the causal link between communication and decision efficiency	Adopts a traditional model without accounting for digital or cultural shifts

Source: Compiled by the researcher based on previous studies.

Emphasis on Formal Communication Channels

Most empirical investigations emphasize formal structures—hierarchical reporting, written memos, standardized procedures—while underestimating the influence of informal networks. Informal communication often accelerates information exchange, builds interpersonal trust, and reduces ambiguity. Yet its contribution to decision-making remains insufficiently examined, especially in technology-mediated organizational contexts.

2.6. Limited Consideration of Cultural and Contextual Factors

Several studies adopt a universalist perspective, assuming that communication processes function similarly across cultural, organizational, or national contexts. This overlooks the fact that communication styles and decision-making approaches are deeply shaped by cultural norms, organizational values, and leadership traditions. Consequently, results derived from one context may not be directly transferable to others without cultural adaptation and contextual interpretation.

2.7. Overemphasis on Quantitative Approaches

A large proportion of previous studies rely heavily on quantitative research designs—particularly surveys and statistical correlation models—to examine the relationship between communication and decision-making effectiveness. While such approaches yield measurable associations, they often fail to capture qualitative dimensions such as tone, trust, credibility, and subjective interpretation—all of which critically influence how decisions are made and received. Incorporating qualitative and mixed-method perspectives would therefore enrich the understanding of the communicative dynamics underlying decision-making.

2.8. Neglect of Technological Dimensions

The rise of digital communication platforms, virtual teamwork, and artificial intelligence–based decision-support systems has radically transformed communication within organizations. However, many earlier studies overlook how these technologies reshape communication flows, influence the speed and precision of decision-making, and redefine the relationship between managers and employees. This creates a conceptual gap in understanding the realities of modern, technology-mediated organizations.

2.9. Insufficient Focus on Barriers and Constraints

While most research emphasizes the benefits of effective communication, relatively few studies provide in-depth analysis of the barriers that hinder it—such as information overload, selective perception, power asymmetries, and bureaucratic filters. These constraints often explain why decision-making processes fail, even in organizations that have well-developed communication systems.

2.10. Lack of Longitudinal Analysis

The majority of existing studies are cross-sectional, offering a static snapshot of communication and decision-making effectiveness. Few investigate how these processes evolve over time or how organizational transformations, crises, or restructuring influence the long-term sustainability of communication systems. Longitudinal research would allow for a richer understanding of the temporal and adaptive dimensions of communication in complex administrative environments.

Synthesis of Critical Assessment

A comprehensive review of the literature reveals that although organizational communication is widely recognized as a critical determinant of decision-making effectiveness, conceptual and methodological limitations persist. Future research should adopt a holistic approach that integrates both formal and informal communication processes, accounts for cultural and technological variability, and applies mixed research methods to capture both quantitative rigor and qualitative depth. Such an integrated perspective would yield more actionable insights into optimizing communication practices for improved decision-making and organizational performance.

Conclusion

Examining the relationship between organizational communication and administrative decision-making provides valuable insight into the broader dynamics of modern management. Communication today extends beyond the mere exchange of information; it functions as a **strategic tool** that shapes vision, influences strategy, and underpins the formulation and implementation of decisions.

Through its five analytical axes, this study underscored the vital role of organizational communication in ensuring administrative efficiency. It defined its conceptual framework, examined its impact on decision-making effectiveness, identified the principal obstacles it faces, and proposed practical strategies for improvement.

The findings demonstrate that the success of administrative decision-making depends fundamentally on the organization's ability to establish a **transparent, timely, and distortion-free communication system**. Conversely, weaknesses in communication—organizational, human, or technological—diminish decision quality and hinder execution. Investing in the continuous development of communication networks and strategies thus enhances employee satisfaction, organizational cohesion, and managerial performance.

Hypotheses Testing

- **H1:** The results confirm the first hypothesis: effective organizational communication significantly improves the quality and effectiveness of administrative decisions. Clear, accurate, and timely communication allows managers to obtain reliable data, foster participation, and align decisions with organizational goals.
- **H2:** The second hypothesis is also validated: organizational and communication barriers—such as bureaucracy, centralization, poor coordination, and weak feedback mechanisms—negatively affect the quality of decisions. These obstacles delay actions, distort information, and reduce participation, weakening rationality and implementation.
- **H3:** The third hypothesis is confirmed: strengthening internal communication channels and implementing modern strategies enhances administrative decision-making. Open communication networks, feedback systems, and digital integration foster transparency, responsiveness, and evidence-based decision-making.

Results

From the analysis, the following main results were reached:

1. Effective organizational communication improves decision quality by ensuring the accurate and continuous flow of information necessary for rational managerial choices.

2. Organizational barriers—such as excessive bureaucracy, centralization, and poor coordination—slow the decision process and reduce accuracy.
3. Human and behavioral challenges—misunderstandings, interpersonal conflicts, and weak communication skills—limit employees' participation and commitment.
4. Technological and administrative deficiencies, particularly in managing digital tools, impede the speed and reliability of information exchange.
5. Developing formal and informal internal communication channels strengthens trust, transparency, and implementation success.
6. Applying modern communication strategies increases employee engagement, supports organizational change, and enhances performance.
7. Participation in decision-making fosters ownership, commitment, and reduced resistance to new policies.
8. A culture of trust and openness is a prerequisite for both effective communication and successful decision outcomes.

Recommendations

In light of the research findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. **Enhance Communication Infrastructure:**
   Establish efficient internal communication systems to ensure seamless information flow across all organizational levels.
2. **Encourage Participatory Decision-Making:**
   Actively involve employees in decision formulation and evaluation to strengthen belonging and reduce implementation resistance.
3. **Reduce Organizational Barriers:**
   Simplify bureaucratic procedures, decentralize authority, and improve interdepartmental coordination.
4. **Develop Communication Competence:**
   Provide targeted training programs for managers and staff on effective communication, active listening, and conflict resolution.
5. **Leverage Digital Tools:**
   Integrate modern information and communication technologies to support real-time collaboration, data-driven decisions, and continuous feedback loops.
6. **Foster Transparency and Trust:**
   Build an organizational culture grounded in openness, ethical information sharing, and mutual respect to ensure decision acceptance and sustainability.

Final Reflection

Organizational communication stands at the intersection of management efficiency and strategic decision-making. By viewing communication not as a passive medium but as a **core administrative capability**, organizations can enhance their adaptability, innovation, and resilience in the face of rapid technological and environmental change. The integration of transparent communication practices, participatory governance, and digital innovation remains the key to achieving effective and sustainable decision-making in the twenty-first-century institution.

Acknowledgment

The author extends sincere appreciation to the **Higher School of Management Sciences in Annaba, Algeria**, for providing an intellectually stimulating research environment and access to academic resources that facilitated the completion of this theoretical investigation. Gratitude is also expressed to colleagues and reviewers who offered valuable insights and constructive feedback on earlier drafts of this paper.

Ethical Considerations

This study adheres to the ethical principles of academic integrity and responsible scholarship. As a theoretical and analytical paper based solely on secondary sources, no human participants or empirical data were involved. All referenced materials have been appropriately cited to ensure intellectual transparency and to respect the ethical standards of scientific publication in management and social sciences.

Funding Statement

This research received **no specific grant** from any funding agency, public institution, commercial entity, or not-for-profit organization. The study was conducted as part of the author's independent academic research activities within the Higher School of Management Sciences – Annaba.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares **no conflicts of interest** related to the publication of this paper. The views expressed herein are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official policy or position of the institution.

References (APA 7th Edition)

1. Abdo, S. (2017). *Organizational communication tools.* Dar Al-Safa.
2. Ahmed, S. (2020). *Internal communication and its impact on job satisfaction. Journal of Management and Organization.*
3. Al-Ashhab, N. A. (2015). *Administrative decision-making: Types and stages* (1st ed.). Dar Amjad for Publishing and Distribution.
4. Al-Bakri, Y. (2018). *Administrative coordination and communication.* Dar Al-Nahda.
5. Al-Fotouh, G. A. (2016). *Administrative communication skills.* Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jami'i.
6. Al-Harbi, A. A. (2022). *Strategic management and its contemporary challenges.* Safa House.
7. Al-Hashemi, F. (2019). *Organizational communication: Theories and applications.* Dar Al-Kitab Al-Hadith.
8. Al-Hashemi, L. (2006). *Organizational behavior* (Vol. 2, 1st ed.). Dar Al-Huda for Printing, Publishing and Distribution.
9. Al-Khouly, A. (2018). *Administrative and organizational communication.* Dar Al-Fikr.
10. Al-Madi, M. A.-M. (2016). *Managing human behavior in organizations.* Taiba Publishing and Distribution Foundation.
11. Al-Shazly, M. (2021). *Organizational culture and corporate communication.* Dar Al-Rashad.
12. Al-Tawil, A. (2021). *Administrative communication and its impact on decision-making.* Wael Publishing House.
13. Al-Tawil, A. R. (2018). Organizational communication and its impact on improving administrative performance. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences,* (14), University of Biskra.
14. Alwan Samira, F. F. (2018). Organizational communication and its relationship to decision-making. *Al-Tadwin Journal,* (11), Mohamed Ben Mohamed University, Oran 2.
15. Amal, T. A. (2010). *Decision making and leadership behavior* (4th ed.). De Bono for Printing, Publishing and Distribution.
16. Amer, T. A., & Al-Masry, I. I. (2016). *Decision-making and management* (1st ed.). Taiba Foundation for Publishing and Distribution.
17. Amira, A. (2014). The role of internal audit in decision-making under the governance of economic organizations: A case study of Sonatrach. *Journal of Business Administration and Economic Studies,* University of Djelfa, 11(12).
18. Assi, B. (2011). The effectiveness of organizational communication for administrative leadership and its relationship to the development of economic systems. *Al-Hikma Journal of Social Studies,* 11(31).
19. Badai, A. Z. (2019). *Administrative communication.* Academic Library.
20. Bakri, Y. A. (2018). *Administrative coordination and communication.* Dar Al-Nahda.
21. Barbar, K. (1996). *Management: Process and system* (1st ed.). University Foundation for Studies, Publishing and Distribution.
22. Bashri, N. M. (2017). *Organizational behavior* (1st ed.). Faculty of Commerce, Cairo University.

23. Colquitt, J. A., & Wesson, M. J. (2021). *Organizational behavior: Improving performance and commitment in the workplace* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
24. Daft, R. L. (2014). *Management* (12th ed.). Cengage Learning.
25. Fatima, S. (2019). *Individual behavior within organizations.* Al-Rida Publishing House.
26. Fawzi, A. (2017). *Administrative decisions and communication tools.* Dar Al-Fikr.
27. Griffin, R. W. (2019). *Management* (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.
28. Hamdi, A. A. (2019). *Business administration and communication.* Dar Al-Fajr.
29. Hareem, H. (2013). *Organizational behavior: Individual and group behavior in business organizations* (4th ed.). Dar Al-Hamed Publishing.
30. Hassan, M. (2020). *Corporate communication in the digital age.* Dar Al-Nahda.
31. Hijazin, A. A. (2006). *Administrative decisions in educational administration* (1st ed.). Dar Hamed for Publishing and Distribution.
32. Hill, C. W., & Jones, G. R. (2017). *Strategic management: Theory—An integrated approach* (12th ed.). Cengage Learning.
33. Jones, G. R., & George, J. M. (2020). *Contemporary management* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
34. Khaled, M. (2019). *Organizational conflict management.* Dar Al-Kitab Al-Hadith.
35. Khalifa, Q. A.-D. (2021). Organizational communication and its role in improving administrative performance. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences,* (8), Algeria.
36. Konopaske, R., & Barrett, A. (2019). *Management: Leading and collaborating in a competitive world.* McGraw-Hill Education.
37. Lussier, R. N., & Achua, C. F. (2016). *Leadership: Theory, application, and skill development* (6th ed.). Cengage Learning.
38. Mansour, K. (2019). *Organizational conflict management.* Dar Al-Kitab Al-Hadith.
39. Mansour, K. N. (2006). *Quantitative methods in administrative decision-making* (1st ed.). Dar Hamed for Publishing and Distribution.
40. Mintzberg, H. (1994). *The rise and fall of strategic planning.* Free Press.
41. Muhammad, H. (2017). *Communication management.* Dar Al-Ghad.
42. Muhammad, Y. (2022). *Digital transformation in public administration.* Al-Rushd Library.
43. Mutawaq, H. A. (2016). *Administrative development programs and their impact on effective decision-making* (1st ed.). Arab Community Library for Publishing and Distribution.
44. Omar, M. (2018). *Effective organizational communication.* Dar Al-Fikr.
45. Qaza, A.-D. A. (2012). Organizational communication and its role in improving administrative performance. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences,* (8), Algeria.
46. Rahman, A. A. (2018). *Communication management in organizations.* Dar Al-Fikr.
47. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson.
48. Robbins, S. P., & Coulter, M. (2021). *Management* (15th ed.). Pearson.
49. Sassi, B. (2015). The effectiveness of organizational communication for administrative leadership and its relationship to the development of economic systems. *Al-Hikma Journal of Social Studies,* 3(50), 52.
50. Sheikha, I. A. (1993). *Principles of public administration.* Manshat Al-Maaref.
51. Sihem, A. (2012). *Quantitative comparison in administrative decision-making: A case study of a public institution – Mustapha Pacha University Hospital and Salama Insurance Agency* [Unpublished master's thesis]. Faculty of Economic Sciences and Management.
52. Simon, H. A. (1997). *Administrative behavior* (4th ed.). Free Press.
53. Youssef, B., & Hamid, A. (2015). *Administrative communication and its role in decision-making.* Dar Al-Huda.