Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems
Issue 12, Vol. 8, 2025

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Between Cognitive Development and Psychological Burnout: Paradoxes of Digital Transformation in Higher Education

Slimani Houda
Dr.
Department of Psychology, University of Souk Ahras
Algeria
E-mail: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4897-8354

Issue web link: https://imcra-az.org/archive/387-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-12-vol-8-2025.html

Keywords: Digital transformation, higher education, university mental health, blended and distance learning, integrated approach, digital management, digital identities

Abstract

Digital transformation in universities represents a pivotal phase reshaping the landscape of higher education. It is no longer confined to technological advancements alone but has evolved into a comprehensive process encompassing technological infrastructure, institutional frameworks, culture, and individual behaviors. From mechanization to digitization and the integration of artificial intelligence, educational institutions have undergone structural changes that redefine teaching methods, administration, and scientific research. This transformation manifests through blended and distance learning, administrative information systems, academic platforms, and scientific networks, all of which provide opportunities to enhance learning, strengthen digital competencies, and empower research. Furthermore, digital transformation imposes a new university environment characterized by virtual communication, the construction of digital identities, and the management of time between academic responsibilities and personal life, requiring digital literacy and balanced management of academic activities. These changes are closely linked to the mental health of both students and faculty, where psychological adaptability, self-regulation, and social support play a central role in achieving a balance between the demands of digital education and individual well-being. Studies examining the relationship between digital transformation and mental health indicate that while digitalization presents opportunities to foster learning and creativity, it also poses challenges such as digital addiction, anxiety, and psychological alienation. Hence, there is a pressing need for an integrated approach to positive digital transformation that combines psychological, educational, and institutional dimensions. This includes digital time management, psychological education, incorporation of mental health into digital learning, faculty training, establishment of electronic mental health support units, and monitoring of technological stress, all aimed at promoting a balanced and sustainable university lifestyle.

Citation. Slimani H. (2025). Between Cognitive Development and Psychological Burnout: Paradoxes of Digital Transformation in Higher Education. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 8(12), 1306–1316. https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/8.12.106

Received: 24.05.2025 | Accepted: 20.09.2025 | Published: 20.11.2025 (available online)

1. Introduction

In recent years, the world has witnessed an unprecedented technological revolution, driven by advances in information and communication technologies and the widespread use of digital platforms and social media across all spheres of life. Consequently, the digital space has become an integral part of individuals' daily practices, whether in learning, entertainment, or social interaction. With the development of numerous "web" and "Android" applications enhanced by artificial intelligence technologies, the concept of a "comprehensive digital transformation" has emerged, whereby technology is no longer merely a tool but has become an essential infrastructure for organizing social and professional life.

In this context, several sectors have experienced a qualitative leap in performance and interaction patterns; higher education, like other sectors, has adopted digitalization as a core pillar, whether through e-learning and blended learning systems (E-learning / Blended Learning), educational digital platforms such as Moodle and Google Classroom, or digital management systems for pedagogical and administrative pathways like Progress.

This transformation was not merely technical but also cultural and sociological, affecting values, ways of thinking, and human relationships within the university. Moreover, it was not entirely voluntary; its pace accelerated due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced universities to adopt digital solutions and virtual communication as the sole option for continuing academic activities.

As a result, distance learning has become a fundamental component of modern educational systems, digital health has emerged as a revolutionary tool for prevention and intervention, and administrative digitalization has facilitated access to services and enhanced institutional efficiency. However, the massive expansion in the use of digital technologies has led to an unprecedented surge in the volume of information, creating what is known as "Information Overload," one of the most significant psychological and cognitive challenges of the digital age.

Specifically, in the university environment, which is among the most interactive with digital transformation, both students and faculty face a constant flow of data, platforms, and assignments requiring immediate and rapid engagement. This situation has, in many cases, led to increased psychological pressure, manifested as mental fatigue, distraction, impaired concentration, and deterioration in psychological well-being. While digitalization offers an opportunity to expand learning horizons, it simultaneously threatens psychological and professional balance if not accompanied by effective mechanisms for psychological and cognitive regulation (Eppler & Mengis, 2004).

Based on this reality, the main research problem of this study can be formulated as follows:

How can the massive amount of digital information generated by the university's digital transformation be managed in a way that ensures the mental health of both students and faculty?

From this central question, several hypotheses emerge, the most important of which is:

Excessive use of digital technologies without adequate psychological and professional regulation leads to a deterioration in the quality of psychological life in the university environment.

Study Objectives:

This study aims to analyze the implications of digital transformation on mental health within the university environment, exploring the psychological and social mechanisms that enable students and faculty to adapt to an accelerated digital context. It further seeks to propose practical strategies to enhance digital mental health and well-being, thereby contributing to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between technological change and psychological resilience in higher education. The ultimate goal is to foster a balanced digital university environment in which technology serves to support human development rather than undermine it. Building on the above, this paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between digital transformation and psychological well-being in higher education, with a view to creating a balanced digital university environment that harnesses technology to serve humanity rather than deplete it

Methodologically, the study is organized around four main axes: first, the concept and dimensions of digital transformation in the university setting; second, mental health within the academic context; third, the relationship between digital transformation and psychological well-being; and fourth, the development of an integrated approach toward positive digital transformation

2. Concept of Digital Transformation (Digital Transformation.) Digital transformation represents a comprehensive shift that reshapes learning, administration, and academic research, as detailed in the following points:

2.1 From Mechanization to Artificial Intelligence

Digital transformation is one of the most significant structural changes in the contemporary world, extending far beyond mere technical adaptation to encompass the reorganization of institutional structures, work patterns, and the nature of human-technology interaction. Whereas early mechanization sought primarily to replace human labor with mechanical devices, informatics leveraged computers to organize data and accelerate performance. With the rapid development of communication and computing technologies, "digitization" emerged, which goes beyond the mere use of digital tools to permeate institutional culture, thinking patterns, and management practices.

In this context, Brynjolfsson and McAfee view digital transformation as a radical shift in organizational reliance on smart platforms and predictive data, replacing traditional mechanical models. Similarly, Schwab considers digitization the core of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, redefining the boundaries between humans and machines. Pavlicevic and Tumbas, meanwhile, perceive digital transformation as a comprehensive institutional change process implemented through digital technologies to enhance organizational performance, encompassing business models, organizational structures, human-technology interactions, information and service management, and user engagement patterns.

Maz-Machado and Esteban echo this perspective, emphasizing that digital transformation is not merely the introduction of new tools but the result of the interplay between technological and organizational changes, achievable only through the assimilation and acceptance of digital culture across all organizational units. In the Arab context, Al-Salmi defines digital transformation as the replacement of traditional human labor with automated systems, particularly in educational and training service production, thereby reshaping organizational structures and human resources. Mahmoud, in turn, describes digital transformation in the educational environment as a holistic process that relies on the extensive use of information and communication technologies, impacting all components of the educational system (Ahmed, 2025).

From a pedagogical perspective, Erener highlights that digital transformation is most evident in educational programs where traditional activities are replaced with interactive digital ones, through blended learning and online self-directed learning. Digitization thus becomes a key lever for renewing teaching practices and enhancing learner autonomy.

2.2 Digital Transformation as a Cultural and Behavioral Change

Digital transformation is not measured by the quantity of technological tools employed, but by the extent to which culture and behaviors within the institution change . As noted by Westerman, Bonnet, and McAfee (2014), digitization is not merely a technological update but a process of cultural change requiring the adoption of a digital mindset based on innovation and collaboration. Verdier emphasizes that digital transformation has altered the concepts of authority and professional relationships, creating a new model of transparency and accountability that necessitates the development of a digital institutional culture. This view is shared by Matt, Hess, and Benlian, who argue that many digital projects fail because they focus solely on the technical aspect, neglecting the cultural and organizational dimensions that are fundamental to the success of any genuine digital transformation.

Thus, digital transformation represents a comprehensive qualitative shift that goes beyond technical infrastructure to reshape the intellectual and organizational framework of institutions. Digitization involves not only tools but also humans—their behaviors and ways of thinking in managing knowledge and information. Its success is closely linked to individuals' ability to adapt culturally, psychologically, and cognitively to this new reality.

2.3 Forms of Digital Transformation in Universities

Digital transformation in higher education represents a critical stage in the evolution of university systems. It is no longer limited to introducing technological tools into the learning process but constitutes a structural shift affecting all pillars of the academic institution: education, administration, and research. Modern universities today are built on the integration of technological intelligence and human capital, within what is referred to as the "smart university," which relies on big data, digital platforms, and networked interaction to ensure educational quality and achieve sustainable development.

The forms of digital transformation in universities can be classified into three interrelated areas:

a. Blended and Distance Learning

Blended Learning and Distance Learning form the primary foundation of digital transformation, creating a qualitative shift in university teaching methods. Blended learning combines in-person classroom instruction with digital learning via online platforms, forming a "hybrid learning model" that allows students greater opportunities for interaction and participation at their own pace. This model seeks to integrate the human dimension of traditional education with the technological dimension of digital learning. Its main advantages include diversifying teaching methods, improving student performance, expanding access to knowledge, and reducing geographic and social disparities in higher education.

Distance learning, in turn, has evolved into a fully integrated system through audiovisual communication technologies and interactive platforms, such as Learning Management Systems (LMS) and virtual platforms (e.g., Moodle, Google Classroom). Recent studies indicate that blended learning is not merely an emergency response to health or spatial constraints but has become a strategic choice to advance higher education toward greater flexibility and personalized learning.

b. Administrative Information Systems

The administrative dimension is one of the most significant areas of digital transformation, as a modern university cannot function without a digital infrastructure capable of efficiently and transparently managing academic and administrative information. Administrative Information Systems (AIS) include software and platforms that manage daily university operations, such as registration, scheduling, assessment, human resources, and finance.

These systems enable data integration into unified databases, facilitating decision-making and reducing human error. They also reinforce principles of university governance by promoting transparency, ensuring automated tracking of administrative procedures, and accelerating transactions across departments. This form of transformation is a central aspect of institutional digitalization, reshaping the organizational structure of the university and transforming the relationship between administration, students, and faculty from a bureaucratic, paper-based model to an immediate, information-driven, and time-efficient model.

c. Academic Platforms and Scientific Networks

Digital transformation also extends to the academic and research domain through academic platforms and scientific networks, which provide interactive spaces for knowledge and experience exchange among researchers across disciplines and geographic regions.

These platforms serve as an extension of the traditional university toward a "globally open university," combining educational and research roles, providing access to the latest articles and journals, organizing virtual conferences, and facilitating participation in collaborative international research projects. Notable examples include Google Scholar, ResearchGate, Academia.edu, and ORCID, in addition to institutional networks developed by universities to share scientific output among their researchers.

This form of digital transformation enhances the university's "intellectual capital" and builds bridges between the institution and the global scientific community, fostering a culture of innovation and openness to a knowledge-based digital economy.

Analysis of these forms shows that digital transformation in universities is not merely a technical or administrative update but a comprehensive re-engineering of education, administration, and research systems. It relies on the integration of artificial intelligence, information technology, and cloud infrastructure. The more a university integrates blended and distance learning, develops its information

systems, and strengthens its presence in the digital academic space, the closer it comes to achieving a sustainable smart university model.

2.4 Digital Transformation as a New Environment for University Life

In the era of digital transformation, virtual communication has become a cornerstone of academic and social activity, providing students and faculty with unprecedented opportunities for interaction, information exchange, and the establishment of advanced knowledge networks via e-learning platforms and social media. Studies indicate that these tools not only transmit information but also enhance critical thinking, collaboration skills, and joint project development. At the same time, they pose challenges related to communication clarity, misunderstandings, and reduced precision in idea exchange, requiring clear strategies for managing virtual dialogue (Hew & Cheung, 2014).

Digital identity formation adds another layer of complexity, as a student's identity is no longer confined to the physical persona but also takes shape through digital representations on academic and social networks (Turkle, 2011). These digital identities allow students to express their abilities and skills and reflect their academic and personal interests but may also create cognitive and psychological conflicts between the expected digital image and the actual personal self. Therefore, digital literacy and online identity management become essential skills, requiring adaptation to the demands of the digital society without losing authenticity and privacy (Van Dijck, 2013).

Moreover, time management and balancing personal and academic life pose significant challenges in the digital environment (Junco, 2012). Constant access to educational platforms and social media blurs the boundaries between study and personal rest, increasing stress and mental fatigue. Research shows that organizing time and setting clear boundaries between academic activities and personal life not only protects against burnout but also enhances educational efficiency and personal satisfaction. Strategies such as task segmentation, disabling digital notifications, and allocating dedicated times for academic interaction can mitigate the negative effects of intensive virtual engagement (Mark, Iqbal, Czerwinski, Johns, & Sano, 2016)

From this perspective, the three elements—virtual communication, digital identities, and time management—form an interconnected network of factors influencing the modern academic experience. Virtual interaction redefines learning and communication methods, digital identities reflect personal and social adaptation to the digital environment, and time management ensures psychological and academic balance. Addressing these challenges requires balanced academic and personal awareness, enabling the benefits of digital opportunities while minimizing their risks

3. Mental Health in the University Environment

Mental health in the university setting is a crucial element that affects both academic performance and the overall quality of life for students and faculty alike. It is not merely the absence of psychological disorders, but rather a complex balance between the emotional, cognitive, and behavioral dimensions of an individual, enabling them to adapt to the demands of academic and social life (World Health Organization, 2018).

3.1 Concept of Mental Health in the University Context

In the university context, mental health is associated with an individual's ability to cope with academic pressures, develop a sense of belonging within the institution, and interact positively with peers and instructors (Regehr, Glancy, & Pitts, 2013). A sense of belonging enhances intrinsic motivation and reduces the risk of anxiety and depression, while academic adaptation includes the ability to manage time, assimilate information, and actively participate in university activities, positively reflecting on overall student performance.

3.2 Variables Affecting Mental Health

Several factors interact to shape mental health in the university environment, the most prominent being:

- Academic Academic performance pressure: Students face high levels of stress due to study demands, projects, and exams, which may lead to chronic psychological fatigue (Bayram & Bilgel, 2008).
- Social relationships: Social support from peers and faculty forms a psychological safety net that protects students from the effects of academic pressure and aids adaptation (DeBerard, Spielmans, & Julka, 2004).
- Psychological support and university counseling services: These services play a key role in offering guidance, early interventions, and awareness programs to enhance mental health and reduce risks (Stallman, 2010).

3.3 Indicators of University Quality of Life

The quality of psychological life in the university is reflected through several indicators:

- Academic satisfaction: The extent to which students feel accomplished and content with their educational journey, directly affecting mental health (Eisenberg, Golberstein, & Hunt, 2009).
- Work-study balance: The ability to manage time between academic commitments and personal life, which reduces psychological fatigue and enhances overall well-being .
- Self-perception and personal efficacy: Refers to an individual's ability to control variables in the academic environment, increasing self-confidence, reducing anxiety and depression, and promoting adaptation (Bandura, 1997).

- Therefore, mental health in the university constitutes a cornerstone for the success of both students and faculty. Literature indicates that promoting psychological balance, building social support networks, and activating counseling services are essential tools to ensure quality of university life and optimize academic performance. Monitoring indicators such as academic satisfaction, work-study balance, and self-efficacy enables educational institutions to evaluate the effectiveness of their strategies in supporting students' mental health.

4. The Relationship Between Digital Transformation and Mental Health

Digital transformation within the university environment represents a growing phenomenon that significantly shapes the experiences of both students and faculty. While the adoption of advanced technologies offers opportunities to enhance competencies and improve access to knowledge, it also introduces psychological challenges associated with excessive use and indirect digital interactions. This underscores the importance of examining the relationship between digital transformation and mental health in the academic context, in order to understand its positive and negative impacts, as well as the psychological limits of digital engagement (Twenge & Campbell, 2018).

4.1 Positive Effects of Digital Transformation

Digital transformation has become a crucial tool for enhancing academic learning by facilitating access to information and supporting scientific research (Selwyn, 2016). The availability of e-learning platforms and diverse digital resources enables students to develop digital skills, boost self-confidence, and engage in flexible learning modalities that transcend spatial and temporal constraints. Research indicates that regular interaction with digital tools enhances creativity, problem-solving abilities, and fosters continuous self-directed learning (Prensky, 2012).

4.2 Negative Effects of Digital Transformation

Despite its considerable benefits, excessive engagement with digital technologies can lead to a range of psychological challenges. Among these, digital addiction manifests as a persistent attachment to devices and applications, negatively affecting sleep quality and academic focus (Andreassen, 2015). Digital anxiety may emerge from the pressure to keep pace with technology and rapid online interactions, while information overload impairs the ability to process data and make decisions effectively (Eppler & Mengis, 2004)..

Furthermore, intensive use of digital tools can weaken direct human interaction, diminishing social skills and increasing feelings of isolation (Turkle, 2015). This phenomenon is closely linked to digital alienation, whereby

students experience a sense of disconnection despite constant digital communication, often perceiving traditional university relationships as less meaningful (Bennett, Agostinho, & Lockyer, 2017). Overreliance on digital interactions may also reduce the sense of belonging to the academic community and lower self-esteem due to continuous social comparisons on digital platforms (Vogel, Rose, Roberts, & Eckles, 2014).

Thus, digital transformation carries a complex mix of opportunities and psychological challenges. On one hand, it promotes flexible learning and the development of digital competencies; on the other, it may contribute to addiction, anxiety, and psychological alienation when engagement is excessive. Consequently, it is imperative for academic institutions to implement psychological support and counseling policies that guide balanced technology use, ensuring mental well-being while enhancing academic performance

5. Towards a Comprehensive Approach to Positive Digital Transformation

Today, digital transformation represents a key driver in the development of higher education, extending beyond the mere integration of technology into the learning process. Its scope encompasses enhancing the quality of learning, supporting faculty, and promoting student well-being. However, focusing solely on the technical aspect is insufficient to ensure a sustainable positive impact. A comprehensive approach is required, one that integrates psychological, pedagogical, and institutional dimensions, enabling the effective utilization of digital tools to foster learning, improve academic performance, and safeguard the mental health of all stakeholders.

Such an approach achieves a balance between digital efficacy and psychological and social well-being, which is particularly vital in light of intensive technology use that may lead to challenges such as digital fatigue, social isolation, or diminished focus.

5.1 Preventive Psychological Approach

The psychological dimension plays a central role in ensuring that digital transformation acts as a supportive tool rather than a source of stress. Key elements include:

a. Digital Time Management

Research indicates that excessive use of digital technologies can lead to digital fatigue and reduced productivity (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017).

Effective time management between digital and non-digital activities is therefore essential for both students and faculty, achieved through:

- Flexible schedules delineating digital study time and breaks.
- Use of technological tools to monitor screen time, such as time-tracking applications.

b. Digital Psychological Education

This aims to enhance self-awareness and emotional regulation during technology use (Rosen, Carrier, & Cheever, 2013), including:

- Training in digital mindfulness to reduce distraction and negative emotions.
- Incorporating self-regulation and emotional control skills within digital curricula.

c. Prevention of Negative Psychological Effects

Early detection of stress, depression, or anxiety resulting from intensive technology use enables timely intervention through psychological support or adjustments to the use of educational platforms.

5.2 Pedagogical Approach

The pedagogical perspective focuses on leveraging technology to enhance learning and improve interaction between faculty and students. Key components include:

a.Integrating Mental Health into Digital Learning Programs

Modern technologies should not only convey knowledge but also support student well-being (Schwab,2016), for example:

- Incorporating interactive content to alleviate academic stress.
- Promoting virtual collaborative learning to enhance social belonging and reduce isolation.

b. Training Faculty in Balanced Digital Teaching

Equipping instructors with pedagogical and psychological skills is crucial for managing digital learning environments, such as understanding indicators of student digital fatigue and employing assessment methods that foster learning without increasing psychological pressure (Bennett, Agostinho, & Lockyer, 2017)

c .Promoting Positive Interaction

Creating an educational environment that encourages dialogue, questions, and active participation strengthens teacher-student relationships and enhances learning effectiveness.

5.3 Institutional Approach

The institutional dimension encompasses policies and procedures that ensure a healthy and sustainable digital environment, achieved through:

a. Establishing Digital Psychological Support Units : These units provide online counseling and support, reducing isolation and promoting well-being (Turkle, 2015), including: they include online psychological guidance services, which provide students and faculty with individual consultations to address academic and personal challenges, as well as virtual support groups that bring together students and staff to share experiences, offer mutual support, and foster a sense of community in a safe and inclusive digital environment.
b. Monitoring Technological Stress Levels: Regular assessment tools should be implemented to measure the impact of digital transformation on individuals, such as surveys assessing psychological satisfaction and stress levels associated with technology use (Eppler & Mengis, 2004).
c. Encouraging a Balanced University Lifestyle: Balancing the benefits of technology with the maintenance of human interactions is essential. Research shows that social activities and real-life interactions enhance overall mental health and improve the effectiveness of digital learning (Vogel, Rose, Roberts, & Eckles, 2014).

Consequently, achieving a positive and comprehensive digital transformation in universities cannot rely solely on technology; it requires concerted efforts across psychological, pedagogical, and institutional levels. Through this integrated approach, the quality of education and learning outcomes can be enhanced, the well-being of students and faculty supported, and a healthy, balanced academic environment fostered—one that encourages innovation, continuous learning, and positive human interaction.

In this manner, digital transformation becomes a tool for holistic educational empowerment, rather than merely a technical update

6. Conclusion

The study of digital transformation in universities reveals it as a multidimensional process that extends far beyond the technical dimension to encompass organizational structures, institutional culture, and the individual behaviors of both students and faculty. Literature indicates that the success of such transformation is not measured by the sheer number of digital tools employed, but rather by the capacity of individuals and institutions to adapt to the cultural, cognitive, and behavioral demands of the modern digital environment (Westerman, Bonnet, & McAfee, 2014).

Moreover, digital transformation exerts a dual impact on mental health. On one hand, blended learning, distance education, academic platforms, and information systems enhance access to knowledge, foster digital skills, and promote autonomous and interactive learning. On the other hand, excessive or unregulated use of digital technologies can lead to psychological fatigue, digital anxiety, and social alienation, underscoring the necessity for systematic preventive and psychological strategies within the academic setting (Twenge & Campbell, 2018).

Consequently, the adoption of an integrated approach to positive digital transformation becomes imperative. Successful and sustainable digital transformation in universities is not merely a technical upgrade; it constitutes a comprehensive strategic process requiring coordinated efforts across psychological, pedagogical, and institutional levels. Implementing preventive and guiding policies ensures mental well-being while optimizing academic performance. Achieving this equilibrium is therefore essential for building smart universities capable of adapting to the challenges of the digital era and fostering the sustainable development of knowledge and scientific research (Turkle, 2015).

Ethical Considerations

This research adheres to international academic ethical standards and principles governing studies related to psychological well-being and digital educational environments. Participation in any referenced studies or survey-based analyses (if applicable) was voluntary and anonymous, with no collection of personally identifying data beyond general demographic or institutional affiliation. All interpretations and conclusions were drawn with respect to the integrity and dignity of academic staff and students. No experiments involving human physical or medical risk were conducted. The study did not require formal ethical approval as it was based on theoretical analytical research and publicly available academic sources; however, ethical principles regarding confidentiality, responsible data interpretation, and academic honesty were fully maintained.

Acknowledgement

The author expresses gratitude to the Department of Psychology at the University of Souk Ahras for its academic support and facilitation of scholarly work on emerging digital-era psychological phenomena. Appreciation is also extended to colleagues and researchers in the field of digital-age pedagogical methodology and educational psychology whose contributions have enriched the academic discourse and provided valuable conceptual insights into the intersection of digital transformation and mental well-being in university settings.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific financial grant, institutional sponsorship, or external funding from public, private, or international agencies. The work was independently conducted as part of the author's academic and scholarly responsibilities.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The research was conducted autonomously with no commercial, institutional, or personal competing interests influencing the study's framework, analysis, or conclusions.

References

1- Abdel Latif, S. (2019). *Anxiety and its impact on psychological performance among university students*. Dar Al-Fikr Al-Arabi.
2- Ahmed, A. (2025). *Digital transformation and higher education: Challenges and opportunities*. Dar Al-Fikr Al-Hadith.
3- Andreassen, C. S. (2015). Online social network site addiction: A comprehensive review. *Current Addiction Reports, 2*(2), 175–184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-015-0056-9
4- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
5- Bayram, N., & Bilgel, N. (2008). The prevalence and socio-demographic correlations of depression, anxiety, and stress among a group of university students. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 43*(8), 667–672. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-008-0345-x
6- Bennett, S., Agostinho, S., & Lockyer, L. (2017). The process of designing for learning: Understanding university teachers' design work. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 65*(1), 125–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9481-2
7- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton & Company.
8- Eppler, M. J., & Mengis, J. (2004). The concept of information overload: A review of literature from organization science, accounting, marketing, MIS, and related disciplines. *The Information Society, 20*(5), 325–344. https://doi.org/10.1080/01972240490507974
9- Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. *Computers & Education, 80*, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.07.005
10- Junco, R. (2012). The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities, and student engagement. *Computers & Education, 58*(1), 162–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.08.004
11- Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Social networking sites and addiction: Ten lessons learned. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14*(3), 311. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14030311
12- Mahmoud, A. (2018). *Information and communication technologies in higher education environments*. Dar Al-Maarefa Al-Jamiaa.
13- Mark, G., Iqbal, S., Czerwinski, M., Johns, P., & Sano, A. (2016). Email duration, batching and self-interruption: Patterns of email use on productivity and stress. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1717–1728). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858206
14- Maz-Machado, A., & Esteban, J. (2016). Digital transformation in higher education institutions: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Educational Technology, 13*(2), 45–59.
15- World Health Organization. (2018). *Mental health in higher education institutions*. WHO. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241515121
16- Pavlicevic, A., & Tumbas, S. (2018). Digital transformation as institutional change: Implications for organizations. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 31*(5), 1063–1077. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-10-2017-0393
17- Prensky, M. (2012). *From digital natives to digital wisdom: Hopeful essays for 21st century learning*. Corwin.
18- Regehr, C., Glancy, D., & Pitts, A. (2013). Interventions to reduce stress in university students: A review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 148*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.026
19- Rosen, L. D., Carrier, M. A., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). The impact of technology on adolescents' face-to-face communication and mental health. *Journal of Adolescence, 36*(3), 467–472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2013.03.013
20- Selmi, M. (2015). *Digital transformation in higher education: Framework and practices*. Dar Al-Uloom.
21- Schwab, K. (2016). *The fourth industrial revolution*. World Economic Forum.
22- Stallman, H. M. (2010). Psychological distress in university students: A review of prevalence and intervention. *Journal of Mental Health, 19*(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638230903447674
23- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other*. Basic Books.
24- Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. Penguin Press.

25- Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2018). The age of anxiety? Birth cohort change in anxiety and neuroticism, 1952–1993. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 115*(4), 725–744. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000133
26- Van Dijck, J. (2013). *The culture of connectivity: A critical history of social media*. Oxford University Press.
27- Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 3*(4), 206–222. https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000047
28- Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading digital: Turning technology into business transformation*. Harvard Business Review Press.