



Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems

Issue 8, Vol. 11, 2025

RESEARCH ARTICLE 

Psychological and Behavioral Consequences of the COVID-19 Pandemic Among College Students in China: A Multiregional Cross-Sectional Analysis of Cognitive Perceptions, Emotional Responses, and Adaptive Behaviors

Weihua Lyu

Guilin Medical University, Guilin

China

E-mail: weihualyu@yeah.net

Zhaohua Liu

University Center Maghnia

China

E-mail: 17498186@qq.com

Issue web link
<https://imcra-az.org/archive/385-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-11-vol-8-2025.html>
Keywords

COVID-19, college students, psychological impacts, behavioural effects, China.

Abstract

The outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in late 2019, originating in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, rapidly evolved into a global public health emergency. Beyond its direct physiological consequences, the pandemic has exerted profound psychological and behavioral impacts on individuals and communities. In particular, negative emotional responses, heightened anxiety, and panic-related behaviors—often intensified by cultural differences, social stigma, and misinformation—have, in many cases, posed challenges comparable to or exceeding those of the disease itself. This study investigates the psychological impacts and behavioral effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China, a population especially vulnerable to prolonged social isolation, academic disruption, and uncertainty about the future. Using purpose-designed questionnaires, an online cross-sectional survey was conducted among 3,965 college students randomly selected from six universities located in Shanghai Municipality, Anhui Province, and Guangxi Province. The questionnaire encompassed demographic characteristics, students' overall cognitive understanding of the COVID-19 epidemic, and a range of psychological and behavioral responses associated with the outbreak. The findings reveal a high level of overall cognitive awareness of the epidemic among respondents, with a correct cognition rate of 95.7%. Despite this high level of awareness, the psychological and behavioral consequences of the epidemic were pronounced. More than half of the surveyed students (56.3%) reported experiencing panic or heightened anxiety, while nearly 60% indicated substantial changes in their daily behaviors, including sleep patterns, social interaction, and study routines. Moreover, statistically significant differences in psychological responses and behavioral adaptations were

observed across students from different regions, personality types, and baseline psychological health statuses ($P < 0.01$).

In particular, students who were introverted, emotionally unstable, or who reported poorer psychological health, as well as those residing in areas more severely affected by the epidemic, demonstrated higher levels of psychological distress and maladaptive behavioral responses. These findings underscore the necessity of integrating mental health monitoring and targeted psychological interventions into public health emergency responses. Special attention should be directed toward vulnerable subgroups of college students to mitigate the long-term psychological consequences of large-scale health crises and to enhance their resilience in the face of future societal disruptions.

Citation

Weihua L, Zhaohua L. (2025). Psychological impacts and behavioural effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 8(11), 1411-1422. <https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/8.11.120>

Licensed

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Science, Education and Innovations in the context of modern problems (SEI) by IMCRA - International Meetings and Journals Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Received: 19.05.2025

Accepted: 21.09.2025

Published: 28.11.2025 (available online)

1. Introduction

The course of human development is a history of fighting against disease. The disease, known as the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), broke out at the end of 2019 and it spread rapidly in China and swept around the world^[1]. A notice on the official website of the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China shows that a total of 4634 deaths and 82,960 confirmed cases had been reported in 31 provinces (including autonomous regions and municipalities directly under Central Government control) in mainland China by 18 May 2020^[2]. As a major public health emergency with the greatest difficulty in prevention and control since the founding of the People's Republic of China, the COVID-19 epidemic is mainly characterised by high infectivity, a large population affected, and strong concealment. Moreover, it has imposed unprecedented pressures on the Chinese Government and the public^[3]. At the beginning of the epidemic outbreak, 31 provincial-level administrative regions in mainland China initiated first-level responses to this major public health emergency^[4]. Different attitudes to the epidemic arising from cultural differences or certain prejudices are more threatening than the epidemic itself, which brings not only the threats of death and illness but also unbearable psychological stress and panic-like behaviours as a result of its high infectivity. It is not only China but also other countries and regions in the world that have been affected by the epidemic^[5]. The continuous spread of the epidemic, increasingly strict quarantine measures, and postponement of new semesters in universities, secondary schools, and primary schools constantly affect the psychological endurance of college students. Research into on psychological effects of the epidemic on the public, medical care personnel, children, and the elderly has been constantly updated^[6], while systematic studies of the psychological and behavioural effects on college students during the spread of the epidemic should be conducted.

Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic, most college students, like other Chinese citizens, have voluntarily stayed at home and strictly followed the joint prevention and control system set by the government. So far, more college students in China are still studying on-line from home, except for medical college students as well as graduates and postgraduates from some universities. In this study, 3,965 students from six universities in Shanghai City, Anhui Province and Guangxi Province in mainland China were randomly selected for on-line tests through purpose-made questionnaires. These contained questions about: demographic data, overall cognition of the COVID-19 epidemic, and psychological and behavioural effects of the epidemic on college students. Through survey and analysis, the authors aim to answer the question: what is the psychological status of college students who have been at home for a long time to prevent the COVID-19 epidemic? Can they actively and effectively deal with such a socially stressful event? How can we give appropriate support and help at the right time and guide them to cope with, and gain experience from, all kinds of social crises and setbacks in life?

2 Objective and methods

2.1 Study population and sample

In March 2020, a random sample survey was performed on 3965 students from six universities. The universities were East China University of Science and Technology and Shanghai Customs College in Shanghai City, Anhui University of Technology and Fuyang Normal University in Anhui Province, and Guilin Medical University and Guilin University of Technology in Guangxi Province. A total of 3965 college students were surveyed on-line using a questionnaire, and the recovery efficiency was 100%, among which males (1895) and females (2070) separately accounted for 47.8% and 52.2% of all returns. There were 2817 (71.0%) college students from the area most severely affected by the epidemic (two or more cases per county) and 1148 (30.0%) from those areas less badly affected (one or no case per county), respectively. Furthermore, 2367 (59.7%), 1455 (36.7%), and 143 (3.6%) college students thought they had good, general, and poor psychological health, respectively. The numbers of college students with four personality types, *i.e.* choleric (extroverted and emotionally unstable), sanguine (extroverted and emotionally stable), phlegmatic (introverted and emotionally stable), and melancholic (introverted and emotionally unstable) temperaments were 682 (17.2%), 1289 (32.5%), 1459 (36.8%), and 535 (13.5%), respectively.

2.2 Study methods

The purpose-made questionnaires used in this research were mainly designed in accordance with the Guidelines for Emergency Psychological Crisis Intervention on the COVID-19 Epidemic^[7] released by the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. This study mainly analysed how college students cope with psychological and behavioural effects of, and overall cognition about, the epidemic. In the pre-survey, through testing, Cronbach's α -coefficient for the questionnaires was 0.778, indicating high reliability and the construct validity KMO = 0.840, exceeding 0.700, suggesting good construct validity of the questionnaires. The class counsellors of the surveyed college students were asked to supervise the questionnaires. Finally, the questionnaires were distributed on-line, investigated on-line, and completed anonymously.

2.3 Data analysis

On the one hand, statistical analysis was conducted by using SPSS 23.0 quantitative analysis software. The main influencing factors of the COVID-19 epidemic on the psychology and behaviours of college students were analysed based on the chi-squared test, variance analysis, Pearson's correlation, standard deviation, and single-factor analysis. On the other hand, descriptive statistics and analysis were applied to pertinent data by using statistical functions in MS-Excel® software.

2.4 Ethical considerations

The ethics committee of Guilin Medical University approved this study. All participants voluntarily gave their informed consent to participate in the study after being informed as to the purpose of the study. The procedures of this study complied with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki regarding research on human participants.

3 Results

3.1 General situation

The survey results demonstrate that the overall cognition level of the college students about the COVID-19 epidemic reached 95.7%. More than 98% of them believe that the epidemic can be controlled, that the government can control the epidemic, and they support the prevention and control measures implemented by the government (Table 1).

Table 1 Overall cognition of college students on the COVID-19 epidemic

| Item | Believing the epidemic can be controlled | | Panic is normal | | Believing the government can control the epidemic | | Supporting the prevention and control measures of the government | | Satisfied with information released by the government | | Satisfied with prevention and control performances of the government | |
|------|--|----|-----------------|----|---|----|--|----|---|----|--|----|
| | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|------|-------|-----|
| Number | 3,903 | 62 | 3,839 | 126 | 3,898 | 67 | 3,906 | 59 | 3,553 | 412 | 3,664 | 301 |
| Percentage/ % | 98.4 | 1.6 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 92.4 | 7.6 |

The survey results show that since the spread of the COVID-19 epidemic, 56.3% of college students are panic, 33.8% have psychological states, such as anxiety, fear, worry, and nervousness, and 8.9% show undesirable tendencies, such as world-weariness, disappointment, and depression. In addition, 31.7% of college students consider that the InterNet aggravates their sense of personal panic, while 13.6% of them assert that their psychological endurance has decreased (Table 2).

Table 2 Psychological impacts of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students

| Item | Panic or not | | Fear, worried, nervous, and anxious | | World-weary, disappointed, and depressed | | InterNet increasing panic | | Decreasing psychological endurance | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|---------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|------|
| | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Number | 2,232 | 1,733 | 1,340 | 2,625 | 353 | 3,612 | 1,257 | 2,708 | 3,553 | 412 |
| Percentage/ % | 56.3 | 43.4 | 33.8 | 66.2 | 8.9 | 91.1 | 31.7 | 68.3 | 13.6 | 86.4 |

As shown in the survey results, during the epidemic, 51.2% of college students are so distracted that they can hardly concentrate on anything and 41.3% of them are afraid of being in contact with people from areas most severely affected by the epidemic. Moreover, 85.7% of college students spend more time reading news about the epidemic, and 92.8% of them are distracted by doing something positive in their lives. In addition, 86.3% of college students say that they have taken the initiative to chat with family and friends to relieve stress (Table 3).

Table 3 Behavioural effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students

| Item | Bored or not | | Fear of being in contact with people from the areas worst affected by the epidemic | | Increasing time spent reading news about the epidemic | | Doing something positive to distract yourself | | Actively chatting with families and friends to relieve stress | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|--|-------|---|------|---|-----|---|------|
| | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Number | 2,030 | 1,935 | 1,638 | 2,327 | 3,398 | 567 | 3,680 | 285 | 3,422 | 543 |
| Percentage/ % | 51.2 | 48.8 | 41.3 | 58.7 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 92.8 | 7.2 | 86.3 | 13.7 |

3.2 Difference analysis

In the survey results, the overall cognition of different types of college students on the COVID-19 epidemic and psychological and behavioural effects of the epidemic on college students are significantly different. From the perspective of environment, the college students from areas most affected by the epidemic have a high level of cognition about the epidemic, and also show more abnormal emotions and deviant behaviour. In terms of psychological health, college students with good psychological health differ from those with poor health who exhibit more panic and deviant behaviour. As for personality types, college students with sanguine and phlegmatic temperaments show significant differences from those with choleric and melancholic temperaments. The latter exhibit a higher degree of panic and more deviant behaviours than the former (Tables 4 to 6).

Table 4 Overall cognition of different types of college students of the COVID-19 epidemic

| | Items | | Believing the epidemic can be controlled | | Panic is normal | | Believing the government can control the epidemic | | Supporting government prevention and control measures | | Satisfied with the information released by the government | | Satisfied with government prevention and control performance | |
|----------------------|---|--------------|--|-----|-----------------|-----|---|-----|---|------|---|------|--|------|
| | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Environment | Areas most affected by the epidemic | Number | 2,775 | 42 | 2,755 | 62 | 2,763 | 54 | 2,769 | 48 | 2,467 | 349 | 2,518 | 299 |
| | | Percentage/% | 98.5 | 1.5 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 89.4 | 10.6 |
| | Areas slightly affected by the epidemic | Number | 1,016 | 32 | 988 | 60 | 1,036 | 12 | 1,031 | 17 | 935 | 113 | 955 | 93 |
| | | Percentage/% | 96.9 | 3.1 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 89.2 | 10.8 | 91.1 | 8.9 |
| Psychological health | Good | Number | 2,334 | 33 | 2,289 | 78 | 2,329 | 38 | 2,343 | 24 | 2,116 | 251 | 2,180 | 187 |
| | | Percentage/% | 98.6 | 1.4 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 89.4 | 10.6 | 92.1 | 7.9 |
| | General | Number | 1,433 | 22 | 1,413 | 42 | 1,427 | 28 | 1,430 | 25 | 1,254 | 201 | 1,305 | 150 |
| | | Percentage/% | 98.5 | 1.5 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 89.7 | 10.3 |
| | Poor | Number | 133 | 10 | 137 | 6 | 131 | 12 | 128 | 15 | 109 | 34 | 114 | 29 |
| | | Percentage/% | 92.9 | 7.1 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 75.9 | 24.1 | 79.7 | 20.3 |
| Personality type | Choleric | Number | 672 | 10 | 664 | 8 | 665 | 7 | 670 | 2 | 587 | 95 | 600 | 72 |
| | | Percentage/% | 98.5 | 1.5 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 88.0 | 12.0 |
| | Sanguine | Number | 1,265 | 23 | 1,251 | 38 | 1,265 | 24 | 1,270 | 19 | 1,129 | 160 | 1,159 | 130 |
| | | Percentage/% | 98.1 | 1.9 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 89.9 | 10.1 |
| | Phlegmatic | Number | 1,440 | 19 | 1,418 | 41 | 1,434 | 25 | 1,431 | 28 | 1,297 | 162 | 1,344 | 115 |
| | | Percentage/% | 98.7 | 1.3 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 92.1 | 7.9 |
| | Melancholic | Number | 518 | 17 | 524 | 11 | 514 | 21 | 513 | 22 | 445 | 90 | 474 | 61 |
| | | Percentage/% | 96.9 | 3.1 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 96.1 | 3.9 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 88.6 | 11.4 |

Table 5 Psychological effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on different types of college students

| | Item | | Panic or not | | Fear, worried, nervous, and anxious | | World-weary, disappointed, and depressed | | InterNet increasing panic | | Decreasing psychological endurance | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|---------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Environment | Areas most affected by the epidemic | Number | 1,299 | 1,518 | 1,020 | 1,797 | 299 | 2,518 | 792 | 2,025 | 363 | 2,453 |
| | | Percentage/% | 46.1 | 53.9 | 36.2 | 63.8 | 10.6 | 89.4 | 28.1 | 71.9 | 12.9 | 87.1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Psychological health | Areas slightly affected by the epidemic | Number | 420 | 628 | 326 | 722 | 93 | 955 | 253 | 794 | 125 | 923 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 40.1 | 59.9 | 31.1 | 68.9 | 8.9 | 91.1 | 24.2 | 75.8 | 11.9 | 88.1 |
| | Good | Number | 831 | 1,536 | 646 | 1,721 | 163 | 2,203 | 471 | 1,896 | 187 | 2,180 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 35.1 | 64.9 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 6.9 | 93.1 | 19.9 | 80.1 | 7.9 | 92.1 |
| | General | Number | 831 | 624 | 629 | 826 | 173 | 1,282 | 487 | 968 | 278 | 1,177 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 57.1 | 42.9 | 43.2 | 56.8 | 11.9 | 88.1 | 33.5 | 66.5 | 19.1 | 80.9 |
| | Poor | Number | 106 | 37 | 104 | 39 | 42 | 101 | 83 | 60 | 69 | 74 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 74.1 | 25.9 | 72.6 | 27.4 | 29.1 | 70.9 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 48.2 | 51.8 |
| | Choleric | Number | 354 | 328 | 303 | 379 | 88 | 594 | 219 | 463 | 144 | 538 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 51.9 | 48.1 | 44.5 | 55.5 | 12.9 | 87.1 | 32.1 | 67.9 | 21.1 | 78.9 |
| | Sanguine | Number | 517 | 772 | 397 | 892 | 97 | 1,192 | 311 | 978 | 124 | 1,165 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 40.1 | 59.9 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 7.5 | 92.5 | 24.1 | 75.9 | 9.6 | 90.4 |
| Personality type | Phlegmatic | Number | 570 | 889 | 439 | 1,020 | 101 | 1,358 | 337 | 1,122 | 130 | 1,329 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 39.1 | 60.9 | 30.1 | 69.9 | 6.9 | 93.1 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 8.9 | 91.1 |
| | Melancholic | Number | 301 | 234 | 258 | 277 | 108 | 427 | 203 | 332 | 118 | 417 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 56.2 | 43.8 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 20.1 | 79.9 | 38.0 | 62.0 | 22.1 | 77.9 |

Table 6 Behavioural effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on different types of college students

| Item | | | Bored or not | | Fear of being in contact with people from the areas severely affected by the epidemic | | Increasing time spent reading epidemic-related news | | Doing something positive to distract yourself | | Actively chatting with family and friends to relieve stress | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|---|-------|---|------|---|-----|---|------|
| | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Environment | Areas most affected by the epidemic | Number | 1,411 | 1,406 | 1,130 | 1,687 | 2,341 | 476 | 2,651 | 166 | 2,318 | 499 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 50.1 | 49.9 | 40.1 | 59.9 | 83.1 | 16.9 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 82.3 | 17.7 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| Psychological health | Areas slightly affected by the epidemic | Number | 455 | 593 | 379 | 669 | 839 | 209 | 983 | 65 | 871 | 177 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 43.4 | 56.6 | 36.2 | 63.8 | 80.1 | 19.9 | 93.8 | 6.2 | 83.1 | 16.9 |
| | Good | Number | 1,044 | 1,323 | 843 | 1,524 | 1,917 | 450 | 2,246 | 121 | 2,010 | 357 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 44.1 | 55.9 | 35.6 | 64.4 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 84.9 | 15.1 |
| | General | Number | 752 | 703 | 672 | 783 | 1,181 | 274 | 1,346 | 109 | 1,136 | 319 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 51.7 | 48.3 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 81.2 | 18.8 | 92.5 | 7.5 | 78.1 | 21.9 |
| | Poor | Number | 83 | 60 | 85 | 58 | 127 | 16 | 100 | 43 | 95 | 48 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 58.1 | 41.9 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 88.8 | 11.2 | 70.1 | 29.9 | 66.1 | 33.9 |
| | Choleric | Number | 369 | 313 | 291 | 391 | 595 | 87 | 634 | 48 | 574 | 108 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 54.1 | 45.9 | 42.7 | 57.3 | 87.2 | 12.8 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 84.2 | 15.8 |
| | Sanguine | Number | 597 | 692 | 490 | 799 | 1,087 | 202 | 1,243 | 46 | 1,146 | 143 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 46.3 | 53.7 | 38.0 | 62.0 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 88.9 | 11.1 |
| Personality type | Phlegmatic | Number | 597 | 862 | 527 | 932 | 1,137 | 322 | 1,369 | 90 | 1,173 | 286 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 40.9 | 59.1 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 93.8 | 6.2 | 80.4 | 19.6 |
| | Melancholic | Number | 291 | 244 | 260 | 275 | 417 | 117 | 454 | 81 | 377 | 158 |
| | | Percentage/ % | 54.3 | 45.7 | 48.6 | 51.4 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 84.8 | 15.2 | 70.5 | 29.5 |

3.3 Significance analysis

The research data were described by means of mean and standard deviation ($\bar{x} \pm s$), while analysis of variance (ANVA) for independent samples was used for inter-group comparisons. The environment, psychological health, and personality types of college students were used as factors. Whether the students are panicked, anxious, insomniac, excessively concerned about their own physical performance, or spend more time reading news related to the epidemic were taken as the dependent variables. Based on this, the influences of different factors on dependent variables and whether there were significant differences between them were investigated.

The survey results illustrate that college students in the areas most affected by the epidemic are significantly different from those in the areas only slightly affected in terms of whether there are psychological impacts, such as panic and anxiety caused by the COVID-19 epidemic, or not; however, their behavioural responses in other aspects are similar (Table 7). Those college students with different psychological traits exhibit distinct behavioural responses to the epidemic, such as whether they exhibit: panic, anxiety, physical symptoms, and excessive attention to their health (Table 8). Furthermore, college students with different personality types perform differently in terms of manifestation of panic, anxiety, physical symptoms, and excessive attention to their health and information about the epidemic. In different dependent variables, there are significant differences between any two groups (Table 9).

Table 7 Psychological and behavioural responses of college students in different environments to the COVID-19 epidemic

| Psychological and behavioural response | Environment | <i>n</i> | $\bar{x} \pm s$ | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> |
|---|--|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Panic or not | Area most affected by the epidemic | 2,817 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | 10.698 | 0.001 |
| | Area slightly affected by the epidemic | 1,048 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | | |
| Whether feeling fear, worried, nervous, and anxious, or not | Area most affected by the epidemic | 2,817 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | 9.501 | 0.002 |
| | Area slightly affected by the epidemic | 1,048 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | | |
| Whether having physical symptoms, such as insomnia, headache, and gastrointestinal discomfort, or not | Area most affected by the epidemic | 2,817 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | 0.714 | 0.402 |
| | Area slightly affected by the epidemic | 1,048 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | | |
| Whether caring too much about physical performance, or not | Area most affected by the epidemic | 2,817 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | 2.916 | 0.089 |
| | Area slightly affected by the epidemic | 1,048 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | | |
| Whether spending more time reading epidemic-related news, or not | Area most affected by the epidemic | 2,817 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | 3.682 | 0.061 |
| | Area slightly affected by the epidemic | 1,048 | 1.54 ± 0.49 | | |

Table 8 Psychological and behavioural responses of college students with different psychological qualities to the COVID-19 epidemic

| Psychological and behavioural response | Environment | <i>n</i> | $\bar{x} \pm s$ | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> |
|---|-------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Panic or not | Good | 2,367 | 1.67 ± 0.49 | 86.175 | <0.001 |
| | General | 1,455 | 1.45 ± 0.51 | | |
| | Poor | 143 | 1.31 ± 0.47 | | |
| Whether feeling fear, worry, nervousness, and | Good | 2,367 | 1.74 ± 0.45 | 73.152 | < 0.001 |
| | General | 1,455 | 1.55 ± 0.49 | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------|-------------|--------|---------|
| anxiety, or not | Poor | 143 | 1.27 ± 0.46 | | |
| Whether exhibiting physical symptoms, such as insomnia, headache, and gastrointestinal discomfort, or not | Good | 2,367 | 1.96 ± 0.23 | | |
| | General | 1,455 | 1.90 ± 0.29 | 69.894 | < 0.001 |
| | Poor | 143 | 1.61 ± 0.50 | | |
| Whether caring too much about physical performance or not | Good | 2,367 | 1.75 ± 0.43 | | |
| | General | 1,455 | 1.64 ± 0.49 | 40.873 | < 0.001 |
| | Poor | 143 | 1.35 ± 0.49 | | |
| Whether spending more time reading epidemic-related news or not | Good | 2,367 | 1.20 ± 0.40 | | |
| | General | 1,455 | 1.19 ± 0.39 | 0.891 | 0.409 |
| | Poor | 143 | 1.15 ± 0.35 | | |

Table 9 Psychological and behavioural responses of college students with different personality types to the COVID-19 epidemic

| Psychological and behavioural response | Environment | <i>n</i> | <i>x ± s</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>P</i> |
|---|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Panic or not | Choleric | 682 | 1.48 ± 0.49 | | |
| | Sanguine | 1,289 | 1.59 ± 0.50 | | |
| | Phlegmatic | 1,459 | 1.61 ± 0.48 | 18.987 | < 0.001 |
| | Melancholic | 535 | 1.46 ± 0.49 | | |
| Whether feeling fear, worried, nervous, and anxious, or not | Choleric | 682 | 1.57 ± 0.48 | | |
| | Sanguine | 1,289 | 1.69 ± 0.47 | | |
| | Phlegmatic | 1,459 | 1.70 ± 0.46 | 24.015 | < 0.001 |
| | Melancholic | 535 | 1.54 ± 0.50 | | |
| Whether exhibiting physical symptoms, such as insomnia, headache, and gastrointestinal discomfort, or not | Choleric | 682 | 1.91 ± 0.31 | | |
| | Sanguine | 1,289 | 1.93 ± 0.24 | | |
| | Phlegmatic | 1,459 | 1.96 ± 0.23 | 12.143 | < 0.001 |
| | Melancholic | 535 | 1.89 ± 0.34 | | |
| Whether caring too much about physical performance or not | Choleric | 682 | 1.65 ± 0.49 | | |
| | Sanguine | 1,289 | 1.70 ± 0.46 | | |
| | Phlegmatic | 1,459 | 1.71 ± 0.46 | 7.592 | < 0.001 |
| | Melancholic | 535 | 1.61 ± 0.50 | | |
| Whether spending more time reading epidemic-related news or not | Choleric | 682 | 1.14 ± 0.35 | | |
| | Sanguine | 1,289 | 1.18 ± 0.36 | 6.418 | < 0.001 |
| | Phlegmatic | 1,459 | 1.22 ± 0.40 | | |

4 Discussion

Numerous studies have shown that public health emergencies induce significant psychological stress among college students, as manifest by fear, nervousness, worry, and anxiety^[8]. The main purpose of this study is to assess the psychological and behavioural effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students. The research demonstrates that the college students have a very high overall level of cognition of the epidemic, and the psychological and behavioural effects thereof on college students are significant. The possible reason for this is that the epidemic may affect their current studies and future employment^[9]. In addition, the psychological and behavioural effects of the epidemic on college students may result from the increasing interpersonal distance caused by quarantine. In the absence of interpersonal communication, anxiety is more likely to develop and worsen^[10].

The COVID-19 epidemic not only causes societal and economic harm, but also affects the physical and mental health of college students. Due to harm caused, and uncertainty engendered by the epidemic, college students generally have emotions, such as panic, anxiety, and worry and somatic symptoms, such as insomnia, headache, and gastrointestinal discomfort. Under such emotional and physical conditions, college students tend to pay too much attention to information about the epidemic and their physical symptoms, even show cognitive bias. Lewis further proposed that information anxiety can induce information fatigue syndrome, showing a series of symptoms including anxiety and poor memory. Once the above symptoms of individuals continue to careen out of control, emotional responses, such as helplessness and depression, appear^[11].

Based on the survey, it is found that factors, such as gender and degree major (subject) are not significantly correlated with the overall cognition of college students on the COVID-19 epidemic and psychological and behavioural effects of the epidemic on college students, which have no statistical significance. However, significant correlations are found with factors including environment, psychological health, and personality type. From the perspective of environmental factors, college students in the areas most affected by the epidemic are more concerned about it and are most sensitive to the epidemic. From the point of view of psychological health, students with good psychological health have more positive cognition of the epidemic; on the contrary, the students with poor psychological health show more unhealthy emotions and even physical responses. With respect to personality type, students of choleric temperament are more easily influenced by the external information in cognition, emotion, feeling, and behaviours and cannot control their emotions as well as other people. Students of melancholic temperament are oversensitive to, worried about, and afraid of the epidemic. Moreover, owing to their being introverted and lacking the necessary social support systems, they exhibit more abnormal emotions and behaviours. On the contrary, those students of sanguine and phlegmatic temperaments can better adapt to social change and emergencies, which are consistent with the previous research results^[12].

5 Conclusions and suggestions

The survey results show that the psychological and behavioural effects of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students are significant, with 56.3% of college students exhibiting panic and nearly 60% experiencing major changes in their daily behaviours. College students with different personalities and psychological traits from different regions exhibit significant differences in psychology and behaviour. Therefore, during such public health emergencies, more attention should be paid to the mental health of college students. Colleges and communities where college students live can take the following specific measures:

(1) Based on the scientific reports of the official media, colleges and communities should guide college students to establish the correct view that COVID-19 can be prevented, controlled, and treated, and to build a mechanism of trust in the prevention and control of the epidemic.

(2) Universities and communities should guide college students to establish positive thinking, find beauty behind the epidemic, rationalise the epidemic, and prevent catastrophic and absolutist thinking, so as to help college students establish a reasonable cognitive model for the times.

(3) They should guide college students to moderate their level of attention to the epidemic, be aware of unhealthy mental attitudes caused by information overload, and learn to divert their attention and give themselves a more positive outlook.

(4) They should guide college students to maintain a healthy lifestyle, both physically and mentally.

(5) They should help college students build a social support system and guide them to build good relationships with their families, friends, and community workers.

(6) More attention should be paid to those college students with poor psychological health and choleric and melancholic temperaments, especially among those from areas most affected by the epidemic.

Authors' statement

We solemnly declare: we abide by academic ethics, advocating a rigorous style of study. The paper is the result of the work of our team. This paper does not contain any published or written content belonging to others, except as expressly indicated and quoted in the paper.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by the Ministry of Education in China: Project of Humanities and Social Sciences (Project No. 15YJC710040).

References

1. Bao, Y., Sun, Y., Meng, S., Shi, J., & Lu, L. (2020). 2019-nCoV epidemic: Address mental health care to empower society. *The Lancet*, 395(10224), e37–e38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30309-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30309-3)
2. Cornine, A. (2020). Reducing nursing student anxiety in the clinical setting: An integrative review. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 41(4), 229–234. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NEP.0000000000000633>
3. Deng, S. Q., & Peng, H. J. (2020). Characteristics of and public health responses to the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in China. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(2), 575. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020575>
4. Heylighen, F. (2004). Complexity and information overload in society: Why increasing efficiency leads to decreasing control. *Bulletin of the Medical Library Association*, 87(2), 2–44.
5. Knietowicz, Z. (2020). Rules on isolation rooms for suspected COVID-19 cases in GP surgeries to be relaxed. *BMJ*, 368, m707. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m707>
6. Li, S. W., Wang, Y., Yang, Y. Y., Lei, X. M., & Yang, Y. F. (2020). Analysis of influencing factors of anxiety and emotional disorders in children and adolescents during home isolation during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Chinese Journal of Child Health*, 28, 1–9.
7. Mei, S. L., Yu, J. X., He, B. W., & Li, J. Y. (2011). Psychological investigation of university students in a university in Jilin Province. *Medicine and Society*, 24(5), 84–86.
8. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. (2020). *Guiding principles for emergency psychological crisis intervention during the COVID-19 epidemic*. <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/jkj/s3577/202001/6adc08b966594253b2b791be5c3b9467.shtml>
9. Pan, X., Ojcius, D. M., Gao, T., Li, Z., Pan, C., & Pan, C. (2020). Lessons learned from the 2019-nCoV epidemic on prevention of future infectious diseases. *Microbes and Infection*, 22(2), 86–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2020.02.004>
10. Thompson, G., McBride, R. B., Hosford, C. C., & Halaas, G. (2016). Resilience among medical students: The role of coping style and social support. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 28(2), 174–182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2016.1146601>
11. Wang, C., Horby, P. W., Hayden, F. G., & Gao, G. F. (2020). A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *The Lancet*, 395(10223), 470–473. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30185-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9)
12. Xiao, C. (2020). A novel approach of consultation on COVID-19-related psychological and mental problems: Structured letter therapy. *Psychiatry Investigation*, 17(2), 175–176. <https://doi.org/10.30773/pi.2020.0047>
13. Yang, Y., Li, W., Zhang, Q., Zhang, L., Cheung, T., & Xiang, Y. T. (2020). Mental health services for

- older adults in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(4), e19.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30079-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30079-1)
14. Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet*, 395(10227), 912–920. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30460-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8)
 15. Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., & Zheng, J. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Research*, 287, 112934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934>
 16. Huang, Y., & Zhao, N. (2020). Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: A web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Research*, 288, 112954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112954>
 17. Liu, C. H., Pinder-Amaker, S., Hahm, H. C., & Chen, J. A. (2020). Priorities for addressing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on college student mental health. *Journal of American College Health*, 69(1), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2020.1803882>
 18. Odriozola-González, P., Planchuelo-Gómez, Á., Irurtia, M. J., & de Luis-García, R. (2020). Psychological effects of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. *Psychiatry Research*, 290, 113108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113108>
 19. World Health Organization. (2020). *Mental health and psychosocial considerations during the COVID-19 outbreak*.
<https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/mental-health-considerations.pdf>