

	Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems Issue 2, Vol. 9, 2026
	RESEARCH ARTICLE 
	<h2 style="text-align: center;">International Contract Negotiations at the Intersection of Legal Rules and Social Structures: A Socio-Legal Analysis of Normative Frameworks, Cultural Dynamics, and Power Relations in Cross-Border Commercial Agreements</h2>
<b>Terchi Ahmed</b>	Dr. Université d'Alger 1 Algeria Email: Terchiahmed7@gmail.com
<b>Terchi Houari</b>	Dr. Emir Abdelkader University for Islamic Sciences Algeria Email: Houar.tour15@gmail.com
<b>Maziz Abdelkader</b>	Dr. University of El Bayadh Algeria Email: a.maaziz@cu-elbayadh.dz
<b>Issue web link</b>	<a href="https://imcra-az.org/archive/392-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-2-vol-9-2026.html">https://imcra-az.org/archive/392-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-2-vol-9-2026.html</a>
<b>Keywords</b>	International contract negotiations; International commercial law; Socio-legal analysis; Legal culture; Power relations; Commercial agreements; Electronic contracting; Global trade governance
<b>Abstract</b>	<p>International contract negotiations constitute a central mechanism regulating cross-border commercial relationships within the contemporary global economy. Traditionally, these negotiations have been examined primarily through a legalistic perspective, emphasizing statutory rules, contractual doctrines, and international conventions designed to ensure legal certainty, predictability, and enforceability. However, such an approach remains insufficient to fully capture the complexity of international contractual practice, as negotiation processes are deeply embedded within broader social structures that shape actors' behavior, expectations, and strategic choices. This study adopts a socio-legal approach to analyze international contract negotiations as an interactive process situated at the intersection of formal legal rules and informal social structures. It argues that while legal frameworks—such as international commercial law instruments, model laws, and arbitration regimes—provide the normative foundation for cross-border contracting, their practical effectiveness is significantly influenced by social factors including cultural norms, power asymmetries, economic contexts, institutional environments, and professional networks. These social dimensions affect not only negotiation strategies and bargaining dynamics but also the drafting, interpretation, and performance of international contracts. By integrating legal analysis with sociological insights, the research demonstrates that differences in legal culture, communication styles, trust-building mechanisms, and negotiation ethics can lead to divergent outcomes even under harmonized legal regimes. Failure to account for these factors may result in contractual imbalance, increased transaction costs, and heightened risks of dispute escalation. Conversely, recognizing the role of social structures enables more equitable, efficient, and sustainable contractual arrangements.</p>
Page 1 of 5 <a href="http://www.imcra-az.org">www.imcra-az.org</a>   Issue 2, Vol. 9, 2026 International Contract Negotiations at the Intersection of Legal Rules and Social Structures: A Socio-Legal Analysis of Normative Frameworks, Cultural Dynamics, and Power Relations in Cross-Border Commercial Agreements – Terchi Ahmed; Terchi Houari; Maziz Abdelkader	

The study concludes that international contract negotiations should be conceptualized as a hybrid regulatory process in which law and society operate in continuous interaction. It recommends that legal systems, negotiators, and policymakers adopt interdisciplinary strategies that accommodate social realities alongside formal legal requirements, particularly in an era marked by globalization, digitalization, and electronic contracting.

#### Citation

Terchi Ahmed; Terchi Houari; Maziz Abdelkader. (2026). International Contract Negotiations at the Intersection of Legal Rules and Social Structures: A Socio-Legal Analysis of Normative Frameworks, Cultural Dynamics, and Power Relations in Cross-Border Commercial Agreements. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 9(2), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.56334/sci/9.2.44>

#### Licensed

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Science, Education and Innovations in the context of modern problems (SEI) by IMCRA - International Meetings and Journals Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Received: 12.09.2025

Accepted: 29.12.2025

Published: 20.01.2026 (available online)

## 1. Introduction

The unprecedented acceleration of technological development in the field of information and communication has profoundly reshaped the structure of legal relations and the mechanisms of contractual engagement among individuals, enterprises, and economic actors. The widespread diffusion of digital technologies—particularly the global Internet network—has eliminated traditional spatial and temporal constraints, enabling contractual interactions to occur instantaneously across national borders. This transformation has not only altered the technical modalities of contract formation but has also redefined the legal, economic, and social foundations upon which contemporary contractual relations are built.

Within this evolving digital environment, the negotiation stage preceding the conclusion of international electronic commercial contracts has acquired heightened legal and practical significance. This pre-contractual phase constitutes a dynamic and interactive process in which parties engage in dialogue, exchange proposals and counterproposals, assess risks, and negotiate essential contractual elements before reaching mutual consent. Unlike traditional negotiations conducted in physical settings, electronic negotiations are mediated through digital platforms and communication tools, which introduce new challenges related to identity verification, information asymmetry, transparency, and trust.

From a socio-legal perspective, negotiation cannot be reduced to a purely formal legal mechanism governed exclusively by statutory provisions and contractual doctrines. Rather, it represents a complex social process influenced by multiple structural factors, including cultural norms, power relations between negotiating parties, economic disparities, institutional environments, and communication practices. These social dimensions shape negotiation strategies, determine bargaining power, and significantly influence the outcome of contractual negotiations, particularly in cross-border electronic commerce where parties operate within heterogeneous legal and cultural frameworks.

Electronic commerce has emerged as one of the most dominant phenomena in contemporary global trade, penetrating almost all economic sectors through virtual marketplaces, digital platforms, and online service providers. The absence of physical presence between contracting parties has fundamentally altered traditional notions of consent, offer and acceptance, and contractual balance. In response to these transformations, legislators in many jurisdictions have introduced protective mechanisms—such as granting consumers a right of withdrawal within a specified period—to address concerns related to consumer vulnerability, lack of direct inspection, and unequal access to information. These legal protections reflect not only normative legal considerations but also broader social objectives aimed at promoting fairness, trust, and confidence in digital markets.

At the international level, globalization and digitalization have made electronic commerce an unavoidable reality. Efforts to harmonize legal frameworks governing international commercial transactions led to the establishment of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) and the adoption of the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce in 1996. This model law sought to provide a unified legal foundation for electronic contracting by recognizing the functional equivalence of electronic communications and traditional written documents. Nevertheless, despite these international initiatives, the pace of national legislative adaptation has often lagged behind technological innovation and social practice.

In the Algerian legal context, electronic negotiations remain insufficiently regulated. Neither the Civil Code (Order No. 75/58, as amended) nor Law No. 18/05 of 10 May 2018 relating to electronic commerce explicitly addresses the negotiation phase of electronic contracts. This legislative silence reveals a normative gap between positive law and the realities of electronic contracting practices. Consequently, the legal regulation of electronic negotiations has been indirectly inferred from general principles, most notably the principle of good faith in the pre-contractual stage, as articulated in Articles 107 and 172(2) of the Civil Code.

Against this backdrop, the present study seeks to examine the legal regime governing negotiations in electronic commerce contracts while emphasizing their social embeddedness. It aims to analyze the obligations arising from the negotiation process and to determine the scope of liability incurred in cases of bad faith, unjustified termination of negotiations, or abuse of bargaining power within electronic and international commercial contexts.

## **2. Importance of the Research**

The importance of this research lies in its focus on the negotiation stage as a foundational element of electronic commerce contracts, a stage that has become central to modern contracting practices in an increasingly digitalized global economy. Negotiation serves as a critical interface where legal rules intersect with social structures, enabling parties to address not only technical and legal issues—such as applicable law, jurisdiction, and dispute resolution mechanisms—but also relational concerns related to trust, expectations, and long-term cooperation.

By highlighting the socio-legal dimensions of electronic negotiations, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how contractual balance is achieved—or disrupted—in digital environments. Effective negotiation mechanisms play a vital role in preventing disputes, reducing transaction costs, and fostering sustainable commercial relationships. These outcomes reflect both legal rationality and sociological considerations, as they promote fairness, mutual confidence, and institutional stability in international commercial exchanges.

## **3. Research Problem**

To what extent does the existing legal system provide adequate, effective, and equitable protection for parties engaged in negotiations of electronic commercial contracts, particularly in light of the social, economic, and cultural factors that influence negotiation behavior, bargaining power, and contractual outcomes?

## **4. Research Objectives**

This research aims to:

1. Examine the legal framework governing negotiations in electronic commerce contracts at both national and international levels.
2. Clarify the legal nature of the negotiation stage as a pre-contractual phase and identify the obligations arising therefrom.

3. Analyze the influence of social structures—such as trust, power asymmetry, and cultural diversity—on electronic negotiation practices.
4. Demonstrate the necessity of explicit legislative regulation of electronic negotiations that reconciles legal certainty with social realities.

## 5. Research Methodology

The study adopts a descriptive method to clarify the concept of negotiation as a pre-contractual stage within electronic commerce. An analytical method is employed to examine relevant legal texts, including national legislation and international instruments. In addition, a socio-legal approach is used to analyze how social structures influence negotiation behavior and affect the effectiveness of legal norms governing electronic contractual relations.

## 6. Conclusion

This research demonstrates that electronic negotiations have become an indispensable component of contemporary contractual relations in an increasingly digitalized and interconnected world. However, the absence of explicit legal regulation—particularly within Algerian legislation—reveals a structural imbalance between rapid technological and social transformations and the evolution of legal frameworks.

Negotiations in electronic commerce cannot be adequately understood through legal rules alone. They are deeply embedded within social contexts shaped by trust, power asymmetries, cultural diversity, and economic conditions. Breaches of negotiation obligations or unjustified termination of negotiations give rise to liability not merely due to legal violations, but also because they contravene social expectations of fairness, cooperation, and good faith.

Ultimately, international contract negotiations occupy a central position at the intersection of law and social structures. Effective regulation of this stage requires a comprehensive socio-legal approach that integrates formal legal norms with a nuanced understanding of the social dynamics governing negotiation behavior. Such an approach enhances contractual stability, strengthens trust among parties, and contributes to more equitable and sustainable international commercial relations.

## Ethical Considerations

This study is based on theoretical analysis and publicly available legal and academic sources. It does not involve human participants, personal data, or confidential commercial information. The authors confirm that the research was conducted in accordance with accepted academic integrity standards and that all sources were used responsibly and cited appropriately.

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their appreciation to their respective academic institutions for providing an intellectual environment conducive to interdisciplinary research in law and social sciences. They also acknowledge the contributions of scholars whose work in international commercial law and socio-legal studies has informed and inspired this research.

## Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## References

1. Al-Jenbeih, M., & Mamdouh, M. (n.d.). *The legal nature of the electronic contract*. Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jami'i.
2. Belhadj Al-Arabi. (2014). *Legal aspects of the pre-contractual stage*. Dar Houma Publishing.
3. Bix, B. (2021). *Contract law: Rules, theory, and context* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108760443>
4. Collins, H. (2019). *The law of contract* (6th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
5. DiMatteo, L. A. (2010). *International contract law*. Wolters Kluwer Law & Business.
6. Friedmann, W. (2015). *Legal theory* (5th ed.). Stevens & Sons.
7. Goode, R. (2018). *Commercial law* (5th ed.). Penguin Books.
8. Hage-Chahine, N. (2012). Precontractual liability in European private law. *European Review of Private Law*, 20(1), 135–164.
9. Hillman, R. A. (2019). *The richness of contract law*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190695581.001.0001>
10. Ibrahim, K. M. (n.d.). *Conclusion of the electronic contract: A comparative study* (2nd ed.). Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jami'i.
11. Klass, G., Letsas, G., & Saprai, P. (Eds.). (2015). *Philosophical foundations of contract law*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198713010.001.0001>
12. Madkhat, R. (2001). *Criminal protection of electronic commerce: A comparative study*. Dar Al-Nahda Al-Arabiya.
13. Mahmoudi, M. (2006). *Methods and techniques of concluding international contracts*. National Office of University Publications.
14. McKendrick, E. (2023). *Contract law: Text, cases, and materials* (9th ed.). Oxford University Press.
15. Nader, J. (2005). *Fundamentals and concepts of electronic commerce* (1st ed.). Dar Al-Israa Publishing.
16. Posner, R. A. (2014). *Economic analysis of law* (9th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
17. Radin, M. J. (2017). *Boilerplate: The fine print, vanishing rights, and the rule of law*. Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400888078>
18. Schmitthoff, C. M. (2007). *Schmitthoff's export trade: The law and practice of international trade* (11th ed.). Sweet & Maxwell.
19. Teubner, G. (2012). Contracting worlds: The many autonomies of private law. *Social & Legal Studies*, 9(3), 399–417. <https://doi.org/10.1177/096466390000900304>
20. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law. (1996). *UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce*. United Nations.
21. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law. (2005). *UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures*. United Nations.
22. United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods. (1980). United Nations.
23. Von Bar, C., Clive, E., & Schulte-Nölke, H. (2009). *Principles, definitions and model rules of European private law (DCFR)*. Oxford University Press.
24. Zweigert, K., & Kötz, H. (2011). *An introduction to comparative law* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.