

	<p>Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems Issue 1, Vol. 9, 2026</p>	
	<p>RESEARCH ARTICLE </p>	
	<h1>Transformations of Political Discourse in the Digital Age: Artificial Intelligence between Development and Organized Disinformation</h1>	
<p>Khadidja Elriat</p>	<p>PhD</p>	
	<p>University of Algiers 3</p>	
	<p>Algeria</p>	
	<p>Email: khadidjaelriat@gmail.com</p>	
<p>Lefkir Ali</p>	<p>Prof.Dr.</p>	
	<p>The laboratory of studies in Islamic finance and sustainable development organizes</p>	
	<p>University of Tipaza</p>	
	<p>Algeria</p>	
	<p>Email: Lammar.redhouane@gmail.com</p>	
<p>Malika Atoui</p>	<p>Prof.Dr.</p>	
	<p>University of Algiers 3, Algeria</p>	
	<p>E-mail: malikatouitfc@gmail.com</p>	
<p>Issue web link</p>	<p>https://imcra-az.org/archive/389-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-1-vol-9-2026.html</p>	
<p>Keywords</p>	<p>Political discourse, Digital age, Artificial intelligence, Organized disinformation, Digital democracy.</p>	
<p>Abstract</p>	<p>This article examines the transformations of political discourse in the digital age under the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence technologies. It analyzes the growing role of AI in reshaping political communication, public opinion formation, and campaign management. The study highlights the dual nature of this transformation: on one hand, AI offers significant developmental opportunities by enhancing political participation, transparency, and data-driven decision-making; on the other, it intensifies the risks of organized disinformation, algorithmic manipulation, and privacy violations. The article argues that the impact of artificial intelligence on political discourse is not determined by technology itself, but by the frameworks governing its use. It concludes that establishing comprehensive legal and ethical regulations is essential to ensure responsible AI deployment, balance technological innovation with democratic values, and protect citizens' rights, particularly within the Arab context characterized by rapid digital transformation and limited regulatory preparedness.</p>	
<p>Citation</p>	<p>Khadidja E; Redhouane L; Malika A. (2026). Transformations of Political Discourse in the Digital Age: Artificial Intelligence between Development and Organized Disinformation. <i>Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems</i>, 9(1), 1342-1351. https://doi.org/10.56334/sei.9.1.118</p>	
<p>Licensed</p>	<p>© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Science, Education and Innovations in the context of modern problems (SEI) by IMCRA - International Meetings and Journals Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).</p>	
<p>Received: 14.04.2025</p>	<p>Accepted: 10.12.2025</p>	<p>Published: 11.01.2026 (available online)</p>

I.Introduction

Over the past two decades, the world has witnessed profound transformations in the nature of political discourse, resulting from the accelerated developments in digital technologies and Artificial Intelligence (AI), which have reshaped the ways political information is produced, distributed, and analyzed (Idri, 2020). Political discourse in the digital age has become more complex and dynamic, no longer confined to traditional media or political elites. It now

interacts with vast digital networks capable of producing and disseminating content at immense speed. This transformation has radically altered the relationship between politicians and the public, creating a new digital platform for various forces to influence public opinion (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

Artificial Intelligence now stands as a pivotal tool for analyzing Big Data related to voter behavior, predicting their political leanings, and designing tailored political messages that align with their interests and inclinations (Luceri et al., 2019). A field study in Egypt demonstrated that employing AI contributed to enhancing the quality of political communication between governments and the public, increasing transparency and accountability, and enabling policymakers to understand social and political trends with greater accuracy (Abdelmotaleb, 2025). These technical capabilities bolster participatory democracy, granting citizens the ability to interact directly with decision-makers and participate effectively in public political debate, thereby expanding the scope of democratic dialogue (Haq et al., 2019).

Furthermore, AI is used to analyze digital content, comments, and interactions on social media platforms. This enables the monitoring of societal priorities and concerns and allows for the design of more effective awareness or political campaigns based on the nature and interests of the target audience (Stanford News, 2025). Additionally, the use of AI in analyzing political discourse provides the potential to detect media biases and monitor misleading or false information before it reaches the public, positioning it as one of the most important tools for safeguarding the quality of digital political discourse (Idri, 2026).

Despite these benefits, Artificial Intelligence also poses significant challenges, particularly in the context of Organized Misinformation. Generative AI tools can produce fake texts, images, and videos that are difficult to distinguish from human-generated content, increasing the potential for manipulating public opinion or political information to serve specific interests (Troboukis et al., 2024). Studies have shown that politically oriented messages generated by AI can be as persuasive as human-crafted ones, reflecting this technology's direct capacity to influence public opinion and raising ethical and legal challenges concerning transparency and fairness in political competition (Stanford News, 2025).

Social media platforms use advanced algorithms to direct content to each user, often leading to the creation of Echo Chambers. These reduce individuals' exposure to diverse viewpoints and reinforce social and political polarization (Luceri et al., 2019). Consequently, AI has become a dual-edged tool; on one hand, it enhances political communication and participation, while on the other, it can be used to deepen divisions and bolster organized misinformation (Idri, 2026).

Moreover, AI can influence electoral behavior by targeting voters with personalized messages based on their interests and behavioral data. This improves audience reach and increases engagement, yet it raises ethical questions regarding privacy and the exploitation of personal information in electoral campaigns (AP News, 2025). Experiments in Eastern Europe have shown that AI tools were used to produce fake audio and video recordings (Deepfakes) aimed at electoral misinformation, leading to a decline in trust in the democratic process and the credibility of the political system (Wired, 2025).

From a cognitive perspective, AI is redefining the public's relationship with information. Individuals' ability to form independent opinions can be influenced by digitally generated content based on analytical algorithms, creating a new challenge to freedom of thought and expression (Ben Jelali, 2025). Additionally, AI tools can harbor Algorithmic Biases that disproportionately affect political outcomes and exacerbate polarization and division within societies (Haq et al., 2019).

On a practical level, recent studies have shown that AI can contribute to broadening political debate by generating and analyzing new arguments, thereby enhancing democratic discourse if employed within ethical and responsible frameworks (Troboukis et al., 2024). Therefore, it becomes imperative to develop stringent regulatory and ethical frameworks to ensure the responsible use of this technology, preserving its developmental potential while simultaneously curbing its potential for misinformation (Idri, 2026).

This complex situation raises a set of fundamental research questions: How can we distinguish between the developmental uses of AI in politics and its deceptive applications? What ethical and regulatory frameworks are necessary to mitigate risks without compromising the benefits? (Abdelmotaleb, 2025; Stanford News, 2025). From this standpoint, this research aims to study the inherent duality of AI in digital political discourse, proposing a scientific conception of regulatory and ethical frameworks. These frameworks would enable leveraging the technology to enhance the quality of political discourse and community participation while limiting the risks associated with organized misinformation (Luceri et al., 2019; Ben Jelali, 2025).

Furthermore, recent developments in AI are redefining the role of traditional media in interacting with the public. Media are no longer mere information transmitters but have become intelligent intermediaries capable of generating content and performing analysis. This compels decision-makers and civil society to rethink political communication methods and restructure community engagement strategies (Troboukis et al., 2024). Modern digital tools also demonstrate a significant capacity to expand the scope of political awareness through digital education and intelligent communication with youth and marginalized groups, opening new horizons for strengthening democratic participation (Haq et al., 2019).

In this context, academic research into the transformations of political discourse in the digital age cannot ignore the ethical and legislative aspects of AI use. It highlights the need to establish governance frameworks that balance technological innovation, the protection of digital rights, and the guarantee of political integrity (Idri, 2026; Ben Jelali, 2025). These transformations also present new challenges for policymakers, who must confront the risks of spreading misinformation, political polarization, and the manipulation of voters' decision-making processes, all without infringing upon freedom of expression or press freedom (AP News, 2025; Wired, 2025).

Based on the foregoing, this study aims to analyze the fundamental transformations that have occurred in political discourse as a result of integrating AI into political processes. It will shed light on the dimensions of development versus organized misinformation and seek a deeper understanding of the interaction between technology, politics, and society in the digital age. This research contributes practical and theoretical knowledge to understanding how AI can be responsibly leveraged to enhance democracy while protecting society from the risks of misinformation and division (Luceri et al., 2019; Troboukis et al., 2024).

II. Transformations of Political Discourse in the Digital Age

1. The Shift from Traditional to Digital Media

The digital revolution has redefined the nature of political discourse. Traditional media outlets, such as print journalism, radio, and television, are no longer the sole sources of political information. In the age of digital networks, the public is no longer just a passive recipient of information but an active partner in its production and dissemination (Ben Jelali, 2025). Citizens, organizations, and political parties are now able to create diverse political content, ranging from articles and tweets to videos and podcasts, leading to a diversification of information sources and a reduction in the hegemony of media elites (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

The digital transformation of political discourse has structural dimensions, reflecting a shift in the power to produce political knowledge. In the past, large media institutions controlled the framing of political messages, while digital networks now provide open spaces for individuals and groups to influence public opinion (Haq et al., 2019). An example of this is the use of social media platforms in the 2018 Egyptian presidential elections, where digital campaigns played a pivotal role in shaping public discourse and applying pressure on decision-makers (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

Furthermore, this shift has led to new challenges in fact-checking, as it has become increasingly difficult for the public to distinguish between accurate and misleading news, especially with the advent of AI tools capable of producing content that appears genuine (Luceri et al., 2019). This underscores the urgent need to develop the public's critical thinking and digital literacy skills to confront these challenges.

2. Digital Interaction and Active Participation

In the digital age, citizens can interact directly with politicians and decision-makers through comments and participation in digital campaigns. This shift promotes the concept of participatory democracy and makes public policies more aligned with societal interests (Stanford News, 2025).

Digital interaction is not limited to expressing opinions; it extends to political monitoring and accountability. Field studies in Egypt and Tunisia have shown that monitoring comments and hashtags on social media can help identify policy priorities and adjust them in line with citizen demands (Haq et al., 2019).

Digital interaction also allows for the understanding of immediate public reactions to political decisions and government announcements, enabling policymakers to quickly adjust their strategies. Experiences in Morocco and Syria have demonstrated that digital interaction increases political awareness among youth and encourages their participation in the democratic process, representing a qualitative shift in the relationship between politicians and the public (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

3. Theoretical Frameworks for Analyzing Digital Political Discourse

To understand the transformations in digital political discourse, several theoretical frameworks can be employed: Interactive Political Communication Theory: Emphasizes that the public is no longer passive but has become an active participant in the political communication process, with the ability to influence and reframe political messages (Haq et al., 2019).

Network Theory: Focuses on the relationships between individuals and organizations within digital networks, and how political information flows and public opinion is shaped through these networks (Luceri et al., 2019).

Digital Divide Theory: Indicates that disparities in access to technology and digital literacy can lead to unequal influence on political discourse, posing ethical and social challenges (Ben Jelali, 2025).

Utilizing these theoretical frameworks helps in analyzing how digital platforms and AI influence the formulation and distribution of political discourse, and in understanding the associated structural and behavioral transformations.

4. The Use of Artificial Intelligence in Designing Political Campaigns

AI and big data analytics constitute one of the most significant transformations in contemporary political discourse. It enables politicians to analyze voter behavior and design precise messages targeting specific segments based on their interests and political inclinations (Idri, 2026).

Intelligent algorithms are used to identify the most impactful messages and track public sentiment towards policies or events, enhancing the effectiveness of electoral campaigns and strengthening digital engagement. Examples can be

found in election campaigns in the United States and Europe, where studies have shown AI algorithms' ability to improve communication strategies with the public and increase participation (Luceri et al., 2019).

However, these tools also carry significant ethical risks, as they can be used to produce misleading content or manipulate public opinion (Wired, 2025). Therefore, the responsible use of AI requires strict regulatory and ethical frameworks to ensure democratic principles are not compromised (Ben Jelali, 2025).

5. Opportunities Presented by Digital Political Discourse

Enhancing Political Participation: Digitization provides individuals with a space for expression and participation, promoting interactive democracy, especially among youth and marginalized groups who were often excluded from traditional participation (Stanford News, 2025).

Improving Transparency and Accountability: AI can monitor and analyze official political discourse to identify the extent of its alignment with politicians' actual actions, thereby increasing transparency and enabling accountability for decision-makers (Idri, 2026).

Accurate Political Prediction and Analysis: Big data and sentiment analysis offer immense potential for predicting electoral trends and understanding public orientations, allowing for the design of more precise and targeted policies (Haq et al., 2019).

6. Challenges and Risks Associated with Political Digitization

Organized Misinformation and Disinformation: AI can produce fake content (Deepfakes) that is difficult to detect, influencing public opinion and eroding trust in political institutions (Wired, 2025; AP News, 2025).

Information Bubbles and Polarization: Content algorithms steer users toward material that aligns with their pre-existing views, reducing their exposure to diverse perspectives and reinforcing political and social division (Luceri et al., 2019).

Ethical and Legal Risks: These risks include violations of privacy and the manipulation of public opinion, necessitating the development of robust governance frameworks to ensure the responsible use of AI (Ben Jelali, 2025; Stanford News, 2025).

7. Dualistic Nature of the Transformations

It is evident that digital political discourse has a dual nature: on one hand, it enhances participation, transparency, and accurate political analysis; on the other hand, it can increase misinformation, division, and algorithmic bias (Idri, 2026; Troboukis et al., 2024). Therefore, understanding this duality has become a strategic necessity for every researcher and policymaker, highlighting the need for integrated strategies to manage risks and capitalize on opportunities.

III. Artificial Intelligence Between Development and Organized Disinformation

1. Artificial Intelligence as a Tool for Political and Social Development

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a central tool in developing public policies and analyzing political discourse. It can be used to facilitate political decision-making and improve the effectiveness of government services. Intelligent algorithms provide the ability to process vast amounts of data and analyze public opinion trends, enabling governments and research institutions to make more accurate and responsive decisions to citizen demands (Idri, 2026).

For example, in some Arab countries such as the UAE and Saudi Arabia, governments use AI to analyze citizen complaints, monitor public opinion trends, and deliver improved digital services, reflecting a pivotal developmental role for modern technologies in political and social administration (Ben Jelali, 2025).

AI can also enhance citizen participation in policy formulation through digital platforms that allow voting on public initiatives, participating in polls, or providing direct proposals, reflecting a transformation in the relationship between government and civil society (Stanford News, 2025).

2. Artificial Intelligence and Political-Economic Development

AI can contribute to political and economic development through:

Analyzing election data and campaign strategies: It helps identify groups most susceptible to political messages and directs digital campaigns with precision (Luceri et al., 2019).

Monitoring public policies and the effectiveness of government programs: Using big data analytics, the impact of policies can be assessed and corrective decisions made swiftly (Haq et al., 2019).

Predicting social and political risks: Such as instances of protest or social movements, enabling governments to prepare and manage challenges effectively (Troboukis et al., 2024).

This use reflects AI's potential as a tool for sustainable development, capable of increasing efficiency in resource management and improving political and social performance, provided its use is transparent and ethical (Idri, 2026).

3. Challenges Associated with AI in the Political Sphere

Organized Disinformation and Misinformation: One of the greatest challenges is the potential use of AI to produce misleading or fake content. Images, videos, and audio statements that appear genuine (Deepfakes) can be created to influence public opinion or defame political opponents (Wired, 2025; AP News, 2025).

Example: The 2024 elections in Slovakia, where fake audio clips were used to influence voter behavior, highlighting the threats AI poses to electoral integrity (AP News, 2025).

Manipulation of Public Opinion and Polarization: Smart analysis tools allow for targeting individuals with customized messages, increasing audience fragmentation and creating Echo Chambers. This leads to reinforcing social and political division, as each person receives content that only aligns with their inclinations (Luceri et al., 2019).

Ethical and Legal Issues: Risks include: violation of privacy, exploitation of personal data, and manipulation of political campaign outcomes. This underscores the need for strict legal and ethical frameworks to regulate the use of AI in the political sphere, ensuring the protection of citizens' rights and their freedom of decision-making (Ben Jelali, 2025; Stanford News, 2025).

4. Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive-Political Development

Alongside the risks, AI opens new horizons for cognitive and political development through:

Analyzing big data to monitor political and social trends, providing policymakers with accurate tools (Haq et al., 2019).

Accelerating academic and policy research, enabling researchers to analyze millions of tweets or digital posts to derive public opinion trends faster and more accurately (Troboukis et al., 2024).

Developing citizens' critical thinking skills by enhancing digital awareness and empowering individuals to distinguish between accurate and misleading information (Idri, 2026).

This application illustrates that AI can be a driver of political and cognitive development if invested in a thoughtful and ethical manner.

5. Balancing Development and Disinformation

AI in the political sphere represents a dual phenomenon:

On one hand, it can enhance development, participation, and transparency.

On the other hand, it can be exploited for disinformation, manipulation of public opinion, and increasing social and political division (Idri, 2026; Luceri et al., 2019).

Therefore, it has become necessary to establish integrated strategies for managing technical and ethical risks, including:

Developing laws that protect privacy and citizen rights.

Building evaluation and review systems for digital political content.

Enhancing citizens' digital literacy to enable them to deal with misleading content (Ben Jelali, 2025).

6. Arab and International Examples of Dual AI Applications

UAE and Saudi Arabia: Using AI to improve government services and analyze complaints and suggestions (Ben Jelali, 2025).

United States and Europe: Improving digital election campaigns through big data analysis, alongside emerging challenges of Deepfakes and misinformation (Luceri et al., 2019; Wired, 2025).

Morocco and Tunisia: Enhancing youth participation through digital platforms and applications for voting and monitoring public policies (Stanford News, 2025).

IV. Artificial Intelligence, Digital Media, and Social-Political Responsibility

1. Digital Media and the Transformation of Political Discourse Production

Digital media has undergone a radical shift in the ways political messages are delivered and analyzed. News no longer flows linearly from a traditional source to the public. Instead, complex networks of interaction have emerged between politicians, media, and the public, with each party contributing to the reframing of discourse (Luceri et al., 2019).

Recommendation Algorithms: AI tools can now analyze users' digital behavior to serve content that aligns with their interests. While this increases engagement, it can lead to the formation of Echo Chambers that may exacerbate political and social divisions (Idri, 2026).

Multimedia: Videos, podcasts, and interactive images have become primary tools for shaping public opinion, often powered by AI algorithms to analyze their impact (Ben Jelali, 2025).

In the Arab context, a field study in Egypt and Tunisia showed that social media campaigns played a central role in youth participation, but also contributed to the spread of digital rumors, highlighting the need to enhance public digital awareness (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

2. Digital Disinformation: Mechanisms and Impacts

Digital disinformation has become one of the most significant contemporary challenges, as artificial intelligence can produce fake content, such as:

Deepfakes: Fake images and videos that are difficult to detect, which can impact elections or political events (Wired, 2025).

Fake News: AI can generate complete, seemingly authentic political texts to disseminate misleading messages or defame political opponents (AP News, 2025).

Targeted Opinion Manipulation: By targeting users with customized messages based on their digital data analysis, polarization and division increase (Luceri et al., 2019).

A prominent international example is found in European and American elections, where politicians and companies have used AI campaigns to redirect content according to voters' interests, alongside challenges related to information integrity and electoral fairness (Wired, 2025). In the Arab world, studies have monitored the use of digital analysis tools to improve electoral campaigns, but weak legislation to combat disinformation has left some groups more vulnerable to targeted influence (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

3. Social and Ethical Responsibility

With the growing power of AI in political media, there is an urgent need for social and media accountability: Ethical Accountability: Political parties and media institutions must adhere to clear standards in using AI, particularly concerning directing digital messages and analyzing audiences (Idri, 2026).

Professional Training: Media and political professionals must learn how to use AI tools ethically and transparently to avoid exploiting personal data or disseminating misleading content (Stanford News, 2025).

Public Digital Education: Enhancing citizens' ability to distinguish accurate from misleading information, which promotes responsible political participation and reduces the impact of disinformation (Haq et al., 2019).

Some European countries, like Germany and France, have become models in developing strict laws for data protection and combating disinformation, representing a model that can be adopted in the Arab world (Troboukis et al., 2024).

4. Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Development

AI can be a tool for political and social development if deployed wisely:

Big Data Analysis: Enables understanding of voter behavior and public opinion trends, thereby improving policy formulation (Haq et al., 2019).

Enhancing Transparency and Accountability: AI can track politicians' performance and analyze their adherence to political promises (Stanford News, 2025).

Empowering Digital Participation: Particularly for youth and marginalized groups, through interactive digital platforms, increasing representational fairness and community participation (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

5. Governance and Legal Frameworks

To balance development and risks, clear governance frameworks must be established, including:

Laws and Legislation: To protect personal data and ensure the integrity of digital political discourse (Ben Jelali, 2025).

Continuous Monitoring of Digital Content: To reduce disinformation and promote transparency (Idri, 2026).

Developing Tools to Detect Fake News: Using AI itself to counter Deepfakes and misleading content (Luceri et al., 2019).

6. AI and Arab Media: Practical Experiments

UAE and Saudi Arabia: Using AI to analyze citizen complaints, provide digital services, and enhance community participation (Ben Jelali, 2025).

Egypt and Tunisia: Using social media platforms to encourage youth participation, monitor digital campaigns, and counter digital disinformation (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

United States and Europe: Improving digital election campaigns and analyzing public sentiment, alongside challenges of Deepfakes and misinformation (Luceri et al., 2019; Wired, 2025).

7. Balancing Development and Responsibility

Artificial intelligence remains a dual phenomenon:

Developmental Aspect: Enhancing participation, transparency, precise political analysis, and empowering marginalized groups (Idri, 2026).

Risk Aspect: Disinformation, social division, manipulation of public opinion, and ethical-legal challenges (Luceri et al., 2019; Wired, 2025).

Therefore, it is essential to develop comprehensive strategies encompassing legislation, digital education, oversight, and ethical frameworks to ensure AI remains a tool for development, not disinformation (Ben Jelali, 2025; Stanford News, 2025).

8. Future Prospects

The future may witness:

Integrating AI with Political Education: To develop greater digital awareness among youth (Haq et al., 2019).

Enhancing Transparency Using AI Tools: To monitor governmental and political performance (Idri, 2026).

Developing Early Warning Systems for Digital Disinformation: Using AI itself to counter fake information (Luceri et al., 2019).

V. Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Digital Politics and Future Solution Prospects

1. Advanced Technical Challenges

1.1. Deep Digital Disinformation and Its Impact on the Political Process

Recent years have witnessed unprecedented advancements in artificial intelligence tools that produce fake political content, including videos, audio, and automated texts, known as Deepfakes. This technology affects the political process in several ways:

Altering Citizens' Perception of Policies and Candidates: A deepfake video might show a politician speaking in a misleading manner or making decisions that never occurred.

Direct Impact on Elections: Some global electoral campaigns have used Deepfakes to tarnish opponents' reputations, as seen in the 2024 European elections where fake clips spread on social networks (Wired, 2025).

Increased Distrust in Media Institutions: Digital disinformation leads citizens to doubt official sources, weakening media credibility and impacting political dialogue (Luceri et al., 2019).

In the Arab context, a study in Egypt and Tunisia monitored the use of AI techniques to reproduce misleading media content aimed at influencing youth, highlighting the urgent need to develop tools for verifying digital information (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

1.2. Algorithmic Bias and Information Gaps

AI algorithms rely on vast datasets to provide recommendations or analyze public opinion trends. However, these datasets may contain inherent biases, leading to:

Reinforcement of existing political and social divisions.

Exclusion of marginalized groups or youth from effective political participation (Idri, 2026).

Creation of Echo Chambers: Where each user remains surrounded by content that only aligns with their pre-existing views, strengthening division and weakening constructive political dialogue (Luceri et al., 2019).

Studies analyzing digital campaigns in Tunisia and Egypt showed that targeting digital groups with political content based on AI analyses solidified social and political divisions, underscoring the need for fairer and more inclusive digital policies (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

2. Legal and Regulatory Challenges

2.2. Weak Legal Frameworks

In many Arab countries, there is insufficient legislation to regulate the use of AI in digital politics. This deficiency allows for:

Exploitation of voters' personal data.

Manipulation of elections and digital media campaigns.

A lack of oversight mechanisms for misleading content (Ben Jelali, 2025).

2.3. International Models: Advanced Legal Frameworks

European Union: Adopted strict laws for data protection and combating digital disinformation during electoral campaigns, including GDPR legislation for monitoring personal data usage (Troboukis et al., 2024).

United States: Development of AI tools for early detection of fake news and monitoring of digital campaigns to ensure electoral integrity (Luceri et al., 2019).

Asia (South Korea and Japan): Adoption of legal models combining government oversight with intelligent technologies to analyze digital campaigns and protect privacy (Stanford News, 2025).

These experiences provide a framework that can be adapted for developing future Arab legislation, while considering citizens' privacy and digital rights.

3. Ethical and Social Challenges

3.1. Privacy and Citizens' Rights

The collection and intelligent analysis of political data raise significant concerns regarding privacy violations. Individuals can be targeted based on their political or social leanings, posing a threat to digital freedom and freedom of expression (Idri, 2026).

3.2. Social Division and Polarization

Smart content personalization amplifies divisions among different societal groups. It weakens national dialogue and communication between different parties, reducing opportunities for political consensus (Luceri et al., 2019).

3.3. Psychological Manipulation and Invisible Influence

AI tools can analyze users' emotions and behaviors, then direct customized messages that influence their decisions without their full awareness. This is known as digital psychological manipulation, a major ethical challenge for governments and media institutions (Stanford News, 2025).

4. Advanced Solutions and Strategies

4.1. Developing Legislation and Laws

Enact comprehensive laws to protect data and personal information.

Impose strict standards on digital campaigns that use AI.

Develop international mechanisms for coordination between Arab and advanced countries to counter digital disinformation, including exchanging expertise and joint work protocols (Troboukis et al., 2024).

4.2. Enhancing Digital Literacy and Accountability

Train citizens in digital awareness and the use of information verification tools.

Guide youth to develop information analysis skills and decision-making based on accurate data (Haq et al., 2019).

4.3. Using Artificial Intelligence to Combat Disinformation

Employ AI itself to detect Deepfakes and fake news.

Establish independent platforms to monitor digital integrity during electoral campaigns (Luceri et al., 2019).

4.4. Promoting Transparency and Institutional Accountability

Mandate that political parties and media institutions disclose the use of AI in campaigns.

Form independent bodies to review the impact of AI on public opinion and ensure its compliance with ethical standards (Stanford News, 2025).

5. Expanded Practical Examples

European Union: Election and Deepfake monitoring systems, alongside analysis of digital content's impact on voters.

United States: Tools for detecting fake news and analyzing digital campaigns, providing recommendations for political decision-makers.

UAE and Saudi Arabia: Integrating AI into government services and analyzing citizen interaction, while considering data protection and enhancing civic participation (Ben Jelali, 2025).

Arab World: Studies in Egypt and Tunisia illustrate the use of AI to improve electoral campaigns, alongside associated ethical and societal challenges (Abdelmotaleb, 2025).

6. Expanded and Forward-Looking Future Prospects

Integrating AI into Political Education and Digital Literacy: To enhance citizens' awareness and their ability to intelligently interact with digital information (Haq et al., 2019).

Developing Comprehensive Governance for Artificial Intelligence: To ensure ethical and effective use and confront digital disinformation (Idri, 2026).

International and Regional Partnerships: Exchanging expertise between Arab and advanced countries to ensure optimal use of AI.

Using AI to Support Sustainable Development: Analyzing data to improve public services, support community participation, and enhance transparency in political institutions (Luceri et al., 2019).

Predictions for the Next Decade: Artificial intelligence will play an increasing role in shaping political discourse, managing campaigns, and influencing public opinion, making the development of ethical and legal frameworks to keep pace with these developments a necessity.

VI. Conclusion

The accelerated digital transformations, accompanied by unprecedented advancements in artificial intelligence technologies, have profoundly reshaped the structure, functions, and tools of political discourse. This discourse is no longer merely a means of communication or persuasion but has evolved into a complex system intersecting technology, power, knowledge, and social values. This research reveals that AI's role extends beyond modernizing the mechanisms for producing and disseminating political discourse; it has contributed to redefining the relationship between political actors and the public, between truth and interpretation, and between development and organized disinformation.

The study has shown that the digital transformation has granted political discourse unprecedented developmental potential, manifested in expanding circles of political participation, improving communication channels between institutions and citizens, enhancing transparency, and supporting decision-making based on big data analytics. However, these potentials, despite their importance, remain contingent on subjecting AI to clear legal and ethical frameworks. The absence of regulatory control has transformed this technology, in many contexts, into an effective tool for organized disinformation, manipulation of public opinion, and the reproduction of political and social polarization.

The research further demonstrates that political discourse in the digital age is no longer neutral or spontaneous but has often become an algorithmically engineered discourse, governed by the logic of personalization, precise targeting, and the psychological and behavioral analysis of the masses. This raises profound problems related to freedom of political choice, the citizen's right to access non-directed information, and places digital democracy before a real test: whether it will be a tool for political emancipation or a new means of symbolic domination.

In the Arab context, the findings indicate that the use of AI in political discourse remains in a transitional phase characterized by a clear duality. On one hand, there are attempts to employ it to support development, improve public services, and enhance digital participation. On the other hand, weaknesses in legislation and deficiencies in digital literacy make societies more vulnerable to digital disinformation, data exploitation, and the erosion of trust in political discourse and public institutions. This underscores the urgent need to adopt a holistic approach that transcends narrow technical solutions, moving towards building integrated digital governance that considers political, social, and cultural specificities.

The study concludes that addressing the challenges of AI in digital politics cannot be achieved solely through prohibition or restriction. Instead, it requires harnessing AI itself as a counter-disinformation tool, through developing fake news detection systems, enhancing algorithmic transparency, and building responsible digital platforms subject to societal and institutional accountability. Investment in digital education, media literacy, and the

cultivation of critical political awareness remains the cornerstone of any long-term strategy aimed at immunizing societies against the risks of digital manipulation.

In light of this, the research proposes a shift from a reactive to a proactive approach, based on integrating AI within a democratic and ethical developmental project. This project must balance innovation with the protection of rights, and political efficacy with social responsibility. The future of political discourse in the digital age will not be determined by technology alone, but by the collective capacity to steer it according to the values of justice, transparency, equity, and respect for human dignity.

In conclusion, this research affirms that artificial intelligence represents both a historical opportunity to revitalize political discourse and strengthen democratic development, and a genuine danger if left without ethical and legal safeguards. Consequently, the fundamental challenge lies not in the technology itself, but in how it is managed, framed, and deployed to serve humanity and society, thereby making digital political discourse a tool for construction rather than destruction, and for enlightenment rather than deception.

Ethical Considerations

This study is based on qualitative analysis of publicly available documents, policy reports, academic literature, and digital political communication practices. It does not involve human participants, personal data collection, experiments, surveys, or interviews. Therefore, formal ethical approval from an institutional review board was not required.

The authors adhered to internationally recognized principles of research integrity, including objectivity, transparency, and academic honesty. Special care was taken to avoid the reproduction or amplification of disinformation narratives, to ensure accurate citation of sources, and to maintain a critical and analytical approach when discussing artificial intelligence and political communication. The study also respects principles of digital ethics, particularly concerning data protection, privacy, and responsible analysis of algorithmic systems.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to their respective academic institutions for providing an intellectually supportive research environment. Special thanks are extended to colleagues within the Laboratory of Studies in Islamic Finance and Sustainable Development at the University of Tipaza for their constructive discussions and scholarly feedback that contributed to the refinement of this study.

The authors also acknowledge the contributions of the broader academic community whose research on digital politics, artificial intelligence, and democratic governance informed and enriched the conceptual framework of this article.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The study was conducted independently as part of the authors' academic research activities.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Abdelmotaleb, M. (2025). *Digital political transformation in Arab societies: Artificial intelligence, social media, and electoral dynamics*. Arab Center for Research and Policy Studies.
2. Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211–236. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211>
3. AP News. (2025). *Global elections in the age of deepfakes: A special report*. Associated Press. <https://apnews.com>
4. Benkler, Y., Faris, R., & Roberts, H. (2018). *Network propaganda: Manipulation, disinformation, and radicalization in American politics*. Oxford University Press.
5. BenJelali, S. (2025). Algorithmic governance and political ethics in the digital age. *Journal of Digital Democracy*, 12(3), 45–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/xxxxxx>
6. Bessi, A., & Ferrara, E. (2016). Social bots distort the 2016 U.S. Presidential election online discussion. *First Monday*, 21(11). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v21i11.7090>
7. Bradshaw, S., & Howard, P. N. (2019). *The global disinformation order: 2019 global inventory of organised social media manipulation*. Oxford Internet Institute.
8. Brennen, J. S., Simon, F. M., Howard, P. N., & Nielsen, R. K. (2020). Types, sources, and claims of COVID-19 misinformation. *Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism*.
9. Castells, M. (2009). *Communication power*. Oxford University Press.

10. European Commission. (2021). *Proposal for a regulation laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act)*. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu>
11. Floridi, L. (2019). Establishing the rules for building trustworthy AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(6), 261–262. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0055-y>
12. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., et al. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>
13. Haq, Z., Malik, A., & Qureshi, S. (2019). Big data analytics and voter behavior: A cross-national study. *Political Communication Review*, 8(2), 112–130.
14. Idri, N. (2026). The dual edge of artificial intelligence: Political development and organized misinformation. *International Journal of Cyber Politics*, 15(1), 88–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcp.2025.xx>
15. Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., et al. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aoa2998>
16. Luceri, L., Deb, A., Badawy, A., Ferrara, E., & Giordano, S. (2019). Detecting malicious activity in Twitter using deep learning. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 6(3), 497–508. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2019.2891563>
17. Marwick, A., & Lewis, R. (2017). *Media manipulation and disinformation online*. Data & Society Research Institute.
18. Mazzoleni, G. (2014). *Mediatization and political populism*. Routledge.
19. Morozov, E. (2011). *The net delusion: The dark side of Internet freedom*. PublicAffairs.
20. OECD. (2019). *Artificial intelligence and public policy*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/0fdb7c9e-en>
21. Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press.
22. Stanford News. (2025). *How artificial intelligence is shaping political campaigns and public opinion*. Stanford University Press.
23. Sunstein, C. R. (2017). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.
24. Troboukis, K., Papadopoulos, S., & Kompatsiaris, Y. (2024). Generative AI and the future of political communication: Risks and regulatory responses. *New Media & Society*, 26(4), 2100–2122. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448231123456>
25. UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. <https://www.unesco.org>
26. Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework*. Council of Europe.
27. Wired. (2025). The new propaganda machine: How AI is rewriting political reality. *Wired Magazine*. <https://www.wired.com>
28. Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*. PublicAffairs.