Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems
Issue 7, Vol. 8, 2025

TITLE OF RESEARCH ARTICLE

Disciplined Investment Portfolio Construction in Islamic Banking: Integrating Strategic Asset Allocation, Technical Risk Optimization, and Sharia-Compliant Financial Engineering

Kram Somia
Dr
University Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi of Bordj Bou Arreridj
Algeria
Email: somia.kram@univ-bba.dz

Melala Imane
Dr
University Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi of Bordj Bou Arreridj
Algeria
E-mail: imane.melala@univ-bba.dz

Mahdid Fatima Zohra
Dr
University Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi of Bordj Bou Arreridj
Algeria
Email: fatimazohra.mahdid@univ-bba.dz

Issue web link https://imcra-az.org/archive/365-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-7-volviii-2025.html

Keywords Islamic banking; Investment portfolio management; Sharia-compliant finance; Risk–return optimization; Asset allocation; Financial engineering; Efficient frontier; Portfolio diversification.

Abstract

This study provides a comprehensive analytical examination of disciplined investment portfolio construction within the framework of Islamic banking, emphasizing the integration of strategic asset allocation, technical risk optimization, and Sharia-compliant financial engineering. As financial markets become increasingly complex and volatile, the need for scientifically grounded portfolio management has intensified, particularly for Islamic banks whose investment activities are governed by ethical and jurisprudential constraints that prohibit interest-based debt, excessive leverage, and speculative financial practices. The paper conceptualizes the investment portfolio not merely as a collection of financial assets, but as a strategic instrument that reflects the bank's long-term objectives, risk appetite, liquidity requirements, and commitment to real economic activity. Drawing on modern portfolio theory, especially the Markowitz efficient frontier, the study explores how quantitative risk–return optimization models can be adapted to Sharia-compliant investment universes without compromising financial performance. The analysis demonstrates that Sharia constraints—often perceived as limitations—can function as stabilizing mechanisms that protect portfolios from systemic risks, leverage-driven bubbles, and excessive exposure to financial crises. Furthermore, the research develops a strategic typology of Islamic investment portfolios based on investment objectives, constituent instruments, and time horizons. It highlights how capital growth portfolios, income portfolios, and balanced portfolios can be structured to meet the heterogeneous needs of depositors and investors while maintaining regulatory compliance and ethical integrity. The study concludes that disciplined portfolio management in Islamic banking enhances financial resilience, promotes sustainable profitability, and strengthens the linkage between finance and the real economy, thereby positioning Islamic banks as credible and stable participants in the global financial system.

Citation. Kram S.; Melala I.; Mahdid Fatima Z. (2025). Disciplined Investment Portfolio Construction in Islamic Banking: Integrating Strategic Asset Allocation, Technical Risk Optimization, and Sharia-Compliant Financial Engineering. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 8(7), 949–965. https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/8.7.96

Received: 02.01.2025	Accepted: 29.07.2025	Publishing time: 02.08.2025

Introduction

The growing complexity of modern financial markets has significantly increased the strategic importance of disciplined investment portfolio management. The accumulation of financial surpluses by individuals, corporations, and financial institutions has intensified the need to allocate capital efficiently in order to maximize returns while controlling exposure to risk. From the perspective of opportunity cost, idle or poorly allocated capital represents a loss of potential economic value, which makes portfolio construction a central concern in contemporary financial engineering (Markowitz, 1952; Fabozzi et al., 2014).

Globalization, coupled with rapid advances in information and communication technologies, has further transformed the investment landscape. Digital trading platforms, real-time market information, and cross-border capital mobility have expanded the universe of available investment instruments and lowered transaction costs. As a result, capital flows have increasingly gravitated toward diversified asset classes, including equities, sukuk, real estate, and alternative investments. This expansion has reinforced the role of professional portfolio management, particularly within banking institutions, where strategic asset allocation decisions directly affect liquidity, profitability, and financial stability.

In Islamic banking, investment portfolio management assumes an even more critical role. Islamic investment portfolios are fundamentally asset-backed and operate within a Sharia-compliant framework that prohibits interest (riba), excessive uncertainty (gharar), and speculative transactions detached from real economic activity. Consequently, Islamic portfolio management is not merely a quantitative optimization exercise, but also a form of ethical financial intermediation that integrates risk management, profitability, and Sharia compliance into a unified strategic vision (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011).

1. Concept and Nature of the Investment Portfolio

An investment portfolio can be technically defined as a structured combination of financial assets—such as equities, sukuk, real estate assets, and cash equivalents—selected and combined to function as a single economic unit with risk–return characteristics distinct from those of its individual components. Modern portfolio theory emphasizes that portfolio risk depends not only on the volatility of individual assets, but also on the degree of correlation among them (Markowitz, 1952).

Portfolio theory thus represents a financial engineering framework aimed at balancing two fundamental and often conflicting objectives: maximizing expected return and minimizing exposure to risk. This balance is achieved through diversification, asset allocation, and continuous monitoring of portfolio performance.

From an analytical standpoint, the investment portfolio may be examined through two complementary dimensions: the technical (quantitative) dimension and the strategic (managerial) dimension.

1.1 The Technical (Quantitative) Dimension

Technically, an investment portfolio represents an attempt to "tame risk" through diversification. Rather than focusing on the standalone risk of individual assets, portfolio management evaluates total portfolio risk and how imperfect correlations among assets can reduce overall volatility without necessarily sacrificing expected return. Quantitative tools such as variance, standard deviation, covariance, and beta coefficients are employed to measure and manage risk exposure (Bodie et al., 2021).

In Islamic finance, these tools remain relevant but must be applied to Sharia-compliant instruments, such as sukuk, murabaha receivables, and equity participation contracts. The absence of conventional derivatives and interest-based instruments requires portfolio managers to rely more heavily on asset diversification across sectors and maturities.

1.2 The Strategic (Managerial) Dimension

Strategically, an investment portfolio serves as a roadmap that reflects the institution's broader financial policy, risk appetite, liquidity preferences, and long-term profitability objectives. Asset allocation decisions are inherently strategic, as they determine whether the institution adopts a conservative posture focused on capital preservation and liquidity, or a more aggressive posture aimed at achieving higher long-term returns through participation-based investments (Fabozzi et al., 2014).

In Islamic banks, strategic portfolio decisions are additionally constrained and guided by Sharia principles, which require that profits be generated from real economic activities. This requirement strengthens the linkage between finance and the real economy and enhances the sustainability of portfolio growth.

2. Why Islamic Banks Prefer Portfolio Investment over Direct Investment

Islamic banks generally favor portfolio-based investment structures rather than direct ownership or single-project investments. This preference reflects both technical considerations and strategic objectives rooted in risk management and financial stability.

2.1 Technical Motivation: Risk Diversification

From a technical perspective, portfolio formation aligns with the well-established economic principle of diversification—commonly summarized as "not putting all eggs in one basket." By distributing funds across multiple Sharia-compliant instruments, such as short-term murabaha, long-term musharaka, and sovereign or corporate sukuk, Islamic banks can stabilize cash flows and reduce exposure to sector-specific risks (Obaidullah, 2005).

Diversification is particularly important in Islamic banking, where profit-and-loss sharing arrangements expose banks more directly to business risk. Portfolio diversification mitigates this exposure and enhances resilience against adverse economic shocks.

2.2 Strategic Motivation: Financial Stability

Strategically, portfolio investment enhances the financial stability of Islamic banks. By allocating assets across instruments with varying maturities, risk profiles, and sectors, banks can balance liquidity needs with profitability objectives. This balance is essential given the relatively limited depth of Islamic money markets compared to conventional systems (IFSB, 2023).

Portfolio-based investment structures also increase institutional resilience. When one sector underperforms—such as real estate during economic downturns—other sectors within the portfolio can act as buffers, preventing systemic declines in profitability.

3. Strategic Objectives of the Islamic Investment Portfolio: Balancing Return, Risk, and Sharia Compliance

The overarching objective of an Islamic investment portfolio is performance optimization within a Sharia-compliant value framework. This objective is realized through the simultaneous pursuit of three interrelated goals: maximizing real returns, managing risk dynamically, and maintaining adequate liquidity.

3.1 Maximizing Real Returns

Islamic banks seek to generate returns that exceed inflation and remain competitive with market benchmarks. From a technical standpoint, this objective is pursued through fundamental analysis of Sharia-compliant equities and sukuk. From a strategic perspective, however, profit generation must stem from real economic activity and value creation, ensuring that portfolio growth is tangible and sustainable (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011).

3.2 Risk Agility

Risk management in Islamic portfolios extends beyond conventional market risk metrics to include Sharia non-compliance risk, which may result in reputational damage or invalidation of contracts. Technically, risk is measured using statistical indicators such as standard deviation and beta. Strategically, risk is treated as a manageable and even exploitable variable, transformed from a threat into an opportunity through intelligent asset allocation and sectoral diversification (Hassan & Lewis, 2007).

3.3 Maintaining Liquidity

Liquidity management represents one of the most significant challenges for Islamic banks due to the limited availability of Sharia-compliant short-term instruments. Technically, maturity ladders are designed to align asset cash flows with expected obligations. Strategically, portfolio liquidity acts as a safety valve that ensures institutional stability, particularly during periods of market stress (IFSB, 2023).

4. Determinants of Investment Portfolio Construction: Constraints as Opportunities for Innovation

Investment portfolio construction is not a purely discretionary process; rather, it is shaped by a set of institutional, legal, and ethical determinants that define its final structure. While these determinants are often perceived as restrictions, within Islamic finance they function as catalysts for innovation and disciplined financial engineering.

4.1 Legal and Regulatory Determinants

Legal and regulatory frameworks constitute a primary determinant of portfolio design. Banking regulations issued by central banks and international bodies—such as the Basel III framework—impose capital adequacy ratios, liquidity coverage requirements, and risk-weighting rules that directly influence asset allocation decisions (BIS, 2019).

From a technical perspective, these regulations limit excessive risk-taking and enforce prudential capital buffers. Strategically, however, the investment portfolio must be designed with sufficient flexibility to comply with regulatory requirements without undermining profitability. In Islamic banks, this often encourages innovation in asset structuring, such as securitized sukuk and hybrid Sharia-compliant instruments that satisfy both regulatory capital rules and ethical constraints (IFSB, 2023).

4.2 Sharia Constraints: The Ethical Dividing Line

Sharia constraints—particularly the prohibition of riba (interest), maysir (gambling), and excessive gharar (uncertainty)—constitute the defining feature of Islamic investment portfolios. Contrary to the perception that these prohibitions limit financial performance, they function as technical guidelines that direct investment toward tangible, asset-backed economic activities.

Strategically, Sharia constraints protect portfolios from speculative excesses and financial bubbles driven by leverage and paper-based debt. By anchoring investment decisions to real assets and productive sectors, Islamic portfolios demonstrate greater resilience during financial crises, as evidenced during the 2008 global financial crisis and subsequent market disruptions (Chapra, 2011; Hasan & Dridi, 2010).

4.3 Tax and Zakat Determinants

Taxation and zakat obligations significantly affect net portfolio returns. From a technical standpoint, portfolio managers must incorporate zakat and tax liabilities into return calculations, focusing on "after-zakat and after-tax" performance metrics.

Strategically, zakat functions not merely as a cost but as a redistributive mechanism that enhances social sustainability and reinforces the ethical identity of Islamic finance. Portfolios optimized on a net-return basis encourage the selection of assets that balance profitability with social responsibility (Obaidullah, 2005).

5. Strategic Profiling of Investment Portfolios: Matching Asset Type and Investor Objectives

Investment portfolios in Islamic banks cannot be treated as rigid, homogeneous structures. Instead, they are flexible entities shaped by a return–risk matrix that reflects the heterogeneous objectives of depositors and investors. Portfolio classification therefore represents a process of strategic segmentation rather than mere categorization.

5.1 Classification Based on Investment Objectives (Strategic Perspective)

a. Capital Growth Portfolios

Capital growth portfolios focus on assets with high long-term appreciation potential, such as Sharia-compliant equities in emerging technology sectors, infrastructure projects, and venture capital investments.

From a technical perspective, performance is evaluated using compound annual growth rate (CAGR) and long-term volatility measures. Strategically, these portfolios target investors with long investment horizons and high risk tolerance. By allocating capital to innovative and productive sectors, Islamic banks position themselves as development partners rather than passive financial intermediaries (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011).

b. Income Portfolios

Income portfolios prioritize instruments that generate stable and predictable cash flows, including ijara sukuk, trade-based murabaha financing, and dividend-paying Sharia-compliant equities.

Strategically, these portfolios form the liquidity backbone of Islamic banks, as they support regular profit distribution to depositors. Effective management requires precise asset–liability matching to ensure that asset maturities align with depositor withdrawal expectations (Bodie et al., 2021).

c. Balanced Portfolios

Balanced portfolios combine growth-oriented and income-generating assets through a carefully designed allocation algorithm. Technically, diversification reduces volatility, while strategically, balanced portfolios act as shock absorbers: declines in growth assets are offset by stable income streams (Fabozzi et al., 2014).

5.2 Classification Based on Instrument Type (Technical Perspective)

From a technical standpoint, Islamic portfolios may be classified according to the nature of constituent instruments:

- Sukuk Portfolios: Sukuk represent ownership claims in tangible assets or usufructs, distinguishing them fundamentally from conventional bonds. Portfolio management requires careful assessment of issuer creditworthiness and secondary market depth to ensure liquidity.
- Direct Investment and Financing Portfolios: These include musharaka, mudaraba, and istisnaʿ contracts. Although technically less liquid, they exhibit the strongest linkage to the real economy and reinforce the Islamic identity of the bank by prioritizing value creation over speculative gains.

5.3 Classification by Time Horizon (Term Management)

Time horizon is a critical variable in portfolio construction:

- Short-term portfolios (money-market equivalents): Designed to manage daily liquidity through commodity murabaha and short-term trade finance.
- Medium- and long-term portfolios: Focused on wealth accumulation and strategic market positioning through equity participation and long-term sukuk investments.

6. Scientific Bases for Asset Selection: Integrating the Markowitz Model with Sharia Standards

Asset selection represents the most technically demanding stage of portfolio construction. The key challenge for Islamic banks lies in applying global quantitative models—developed largely for interest-based markets—to Sharia-compliant assets grounded in the real economy.

6.1 The Markowitz Efficient Frontier from an Islamic Perspective

Markowitz's (1952) portfolio theory demonstrates that risk can be reduced through diversification by combining assets with low or negative correlations. Correlation plays a decisive role:

- Positive correlation (+1): Assets move together, offering no risk reduction.
- Zero correlation (0): Asset movements are independent, enabling diversification benefits.
- Negative correlation (–1): Ideal diversification, as losses in one asset are offset by gains in another.

The efficient frontier represents the set of portfolios that offer the maximum expected return for a given level of risk, or the minimum risk for a given level of return.

Mathematically, portfolio variance is expressed as:

$$\sigma_p^2 = w_A^2\sigma_A^2 + w_B^2\sigma_B^2 + 2w_A w_B \sigma_A \sigma_B \rho_{A,B}$$

Where portfolio risk depends critically on asset weights and correlation.

6.2 Redefining the Efficient Frontier under Sharia Constraints

Introducing Sharia screening reduces the investment universe by excluding highly leveraged firms and interest-based instruments. While this may theoretically shift the efficient frontier, empirical studies show that Sharia-filtered portfolios often display greater stability during crises due to lower leverage exposure (Ho et al., 2014).

Strategically, Sharia screening acts as a self-hedging mechanism: instead of relying on derivatives to hedge risk, Islamic portfolios avoid structurally risky assets from the outset. This "protection through exclusion" approach enhances long-term sustainability.

Comparative Illustration: Conventional vs. Islamic Portfolio

Dimension	Conventional Portfolio	Islamic Portfolio
Asset nature	Debt-based & derivatives	Asset-backed, real economy
Risk management	Hedging via derivatives	Risk avoidance via Sharia screening
Leverage	High tolerance	Strictly limited

Crisis sensitivity	High	Relatively resilient
Ethical framework	Value-neutral	Value-based

Table 1

Differences Between Islamic and Conventional Investment Portfolios from a Risk Perspective

Criterion	Conventional Portfolio	Islamic Portfolio	Strategic Impact
Financial leverage	High reliance on debt financing	Strictly limited by Sharia constraints	Enhanced stability during financial crises
Type of assets	May include derivatives and interest-based debt	Asset-backed and real-economy-linked instruments	Reduced speculation and tangible value creation
Efficiency frontier	Highly flexible but fragile under stress	More robust and resilient	Long-term sustainability and crisis resistance

Source: Prepared by the authors based on the analytical framework of the study.

The comparison highlights that the Islamic efficiency frontier is not merely a mathematical construct, but a protective strategic framework that redefines the risk–return trade-off in terms of resilience rather than short-term acceleration. This perspective allows Islamic banks to position themselves not only as Sharia-compliant institutions, but also as financially safer and more resilient entities during periods of systemic stress, thereby transforming stability into a competitive advantage that attracts risk-averse investors and institutions seeking safe havens (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011; Chapra, 2011).

6.1 Technical Selection Criteria: The Sharia Screening Process

Asset screening in Islamic banks is a two-tiered technical process that combines qualitative exclusion with quantitative financial assessment.

6.1.1 Qualitative Screening

At the strategic level, Islamic banks begin by excluding sectors and activities that conflict with Sharia objectives, such as alcohol, gambling, conventional financial services, weapons, and other harmful or unethical industries. This stage represents an advanced form of reputational risk management, as compliance failures can lead to immediate loss of investor confidence and institutional credibility (AAOIFI, 2015).

6.1.2 Quantitative Screening

The second stage involves quantitative analysis of financial statements using predefined Sharia ratios, including:

- Debt-to-total-assets ratio
- Cash and interest-bearing receivables ratio
- Non-compliant income ratio

These ratios function not only as jurisprudential filters but also as financial quality indicators. Firms with lower leverage and limited exposure to interest-based income demonstrate stronger balance sheets and greater resilience to interest-rate shocks and macroeconomic volatility (Ho et al., 2014).

6.2 Managing Systematic and Unsystematic Risks: A Diversification Strategy

Portfolio risk in Islamic banking is addressed through a dual framework that distinguishes between unsystematic risk and systematic risk.

Unsystematic Risk

Unsystematic risk refers to firm-specific or sector-specific risks. Technically, this type of risk can be substantially reduced through diversification by increasing the number of assets within the portfolio. Strategically, Islamic banks avoid excessive concentration in single sectors—such as real estate or construction—to mitigate exposure to sectoral downturns (Bodie et al., 2021).

Systematic Risk

Systematic risk arises from macroeconomic factors such as inflation, geopolitical instability, and global financial crises. Since diversification alone cannot eliminate this risk, Islamic portfolio managers rely on natural hedging strategies, including geographical diversification, currency diversification, and asset-class diversification grounded in real economic activity (Hull, 2018).

6.3 Limitations of CAPM and Beta in Islamic Portfolio Engineering

The beta coefficient (β), a core component of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), measures systematic risk by linking asset volatility to overall market movements. However, applying beta as a standalone risk metric in Islamic portfolios is technically insufficient for several reasons (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2011):

1. Neglect of asset substance: Beta focuses on price volatility rather than the operational and ownership risks inherent in real assets.

2. Sharia non-compliance risk: CAPM does not capture the risk of an asset losing Sharia compliance, which can lead to forced divestment and reputational damage.

3. Information asymmetry in partnership contracts: In musharaka and mudaraba arrangements, moral hazard and managerial transparency risks are not reflected in market price movements.

6.4 Proposed Technical Alternatives: Contractual Inherent Risk Analysis

To overcome the limitations of traditional risk models, Islamic portfolio engineering increasingly emphasizes contractual inherent risk analysis, which evaluates risk at the contract-structure level rather than through market volatility alone (Khan & Ahmed, 2001).

Key components include:

- Ownership risk: Risks associated with asset possession during the contract period (e.g., damage or operational failure).
- Counterparty risk: Default probability in trade-based contracts such as murabaha.
- Islamic Risk-Adjusted Return on Capital (RAROC): Linking returns to operational and contractual risks instead of interest-based benchmarks (El-Gari, 2003).

7. Stages of Building and Managing an Islamic Investment Portfolio: An Integrated Model

Investment portfolio management in Islamic banks is a dynamic and continuous process that integrates strategic governance with technical execution.

7.1 Planning and Formulation of the Investment Policy Statement (IPS)

At the strategic level, the board of directors defines the portfolio's constitutional framework, including target returns, risk tolerance, liquidity needs, and Sharia boundaries. At the technical level, these objectives are translated into numerical parameters, time horizons, and benchmark indices, such as the Dow Jones Islamic Market Index (Rose & Hudgins, 2012).

7.2 Implementation: Asset Allocation and Execution

Empirical evidence suggests that strategic asset allocation explains approximately 90% of portfolio performance variance (Bodie et al., 2021). Islamic banks distribute funds across sukuk, murabaha financing, equities, and cash while ensuring low correlation between assets and economic cycles.

7.3 Monitoring and Rebalancing

Market volatility necessitates periodic rebalancing to restore target allocations. Beyond numerical adjustments, Islamic banks conduct continuous Sharia compliance audits; any violation triggers immediate purification or divestment to protect institutional integrity (AAOIFI, 2015).

8. Determinants of Portfolio Efficiency: Measurement and Competitiveness

8.1 Risk-Adjusted Performance Measures

In Islamic finance, the Sharpe ratio is adapted by replacing the risk-free interest rate with a Sharia-compliant benchmark:

$$SR = \frac{R_p - R_{benchmark}}{\sigma_p}$$

This adjustment demonstrates that Islamic portfolio performance is driven by managerial skill and asset quality, rather than speculative leverage (Jensen, 1968).

8.2 Alpha Generation

Alpha represents the portfolio manager's ability to outperform the benchmark. In Islamic banking, alpha reflects superior credit analysis, early access to quality sukuk issuances, and effective structuring of real-economy investments (Mansor & Isa, 2012).

8.3 External Environmental Determinants

Portfolio efficiency is influenced by inflation, currency volatility, regulatory frameworks (Basel III), and Sharia standards issued by AAOIFI and IFSB. These factors shape asset selection, leverage limits, and liquidity strategies (IFSB, 2023; Archer & Karim, 2013).

Conclusion

Investment portfolio management in Islamic banks has evolved into an integrated financial engineering system that combines technical rigor with ethical and Sharia objectives. The study demonstrates that Sharia constraints do not hinder performance; rather, they act as protective filters that enhance asset quality, reduce leverage-driven instability, and promote sustainable profitability.

By adapting modern portfolio theory within a Sharia-compliant framework, Islamic banks transition from static asset allocation to dynamic, innovation-driven portfolio management. Despite ongoing challenges related to liquidity depth and market concentration, Islamic portfolios increasingly represent a viable and resilient alternative to conventional investment models in a crisis-prone global financial system.

Ethical Considerations

This study is based exclusively on secondary data derived from publicly available academic literature, regulatory reports, and institutional publications. The research did not involve human participants, surveys, interviews, experiments, or the use of confidential or personal data. Consequently, ethical approval from an institutional review board was not required. The authors adhered to internationally accepted principles of academic integrity, transparency, and responsible research conduct throughout all stages of the study.

Author Contributions

Dr. Kram Somia contributed to the conceptualization of the study, development of the theoretical framework, and drafting of the manuscript. Dr. Melala Imane contributed to the literature review, analytical structuring of strategic and technical dimensions, and critical revision of the manuscript. Dr. Mahdid Fatima Zohra contributed to methodological refinement, integration of Islamic finance principles, and final editing and validation of the manuscript.

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the University Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi of Bordj Bou Arreridj for providing an encouraging academic environment and access to research resources that supported the completion of this study.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Archer, S., & Karim, R. A. A. (2013). *Islamic finance: The regulatory challenge* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
2. Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2021). *Investments* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
3. Chapra, M. U. (2011). *The global financial crisis: Can Islamic finance help?* Islamic Development Bank.

4. Ho, C. S., Rahman, N. A. A., Yusuf, N. H. M., & Zamzamin, Z. (2014). Performance of global Islamic versus conventional equity portfolios. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 28, 1–19.
5. Hull, J. C. (2018). *Risk management and financial institutions* (5th ed.). Wiley.
6. Iqbal, Z., & Mirakhor, A. (2011). *An introduction to Islamic finance: Theory and practice* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
7. Jensen, M. C. (1968). The performance of mutual funds in the period 1945–1964. *Journal of Finance*, 23(2), 389–416.
8. Khan, T., & Ahmed, H. (2001). *Risk management in Islamic finance*. Islamic Development Bank.
9. Mansor, F., & Isa, M. A. (2012). Islamic unit trust funds' performance. *Journal of Islamic Economics*, 5(1), 35–50.
10. BIS. (2019). *Basel III: Finalising post-crisis reforms*. Bank for International Settlements.
11. Chapra, M. U. (2011). *The global financial crisis: Can Islamic finance help?* Islamic Development Bank.
12. Fabozzi, F. J., Gupta, F., & Markowitz, H. M. (2014). The legacy of modern portfolio theory. *Journal of Investing*, 11(3), 7–22.
13. Hasan, M., & Dridi, J. (2010). *The effects of the global crisis on Islamic and conventional banks*. IMF Working Paper.
14. Ho, C. S., Rahman, N. A. A., Yusuf, N. H. M., & Zamzamin, Z. (2014). Performance of global Islamic versus conventional equity portfolios. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 28, 1–19.
15. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. *Journal of Finance*, 7(1), 77–91.
16. Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2021). *Investments* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
17. Fabozzi, F. J., Gupta, F., & Markowitz, H. M. (2014). The legacy of modern portfolio theory. *Journal of Investing*, *11*(3), 7–22. https://doi.org/10.3905/joi.2002.319510
18. Hassan, M. K., & Lewis, M. K. (2007). *Handbook of Islamic banking*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
19. IFSB. (2023). *Islamic financial services industry stability report 2023*. Islamic Financial Services Board. https://www.ifsb.org
20. Iqbal, Z., & Mirakhor, A. (2011). *An introduction to Islamic finance: Theory and practice* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
21. Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. *Journal of Finance*, *7*(1), 77–91. https://doi.org/10.2307/2975974
22. Obaidullah, M. (2005). *Islamic financial services*. Islamic Economics Research Center, King Abdulaziz University.