Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems
Issue 2, Vol. 9, 2026

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Methodology of Sample Selection in Sociological Research: Theoretical Foundations, Sampling Principles, Typological Classifications, and Procedural Steps

Abdessalam Lachheb
Dr.
University of Chahid Hamma Lakhdar El-Oued
Algeria
Email: lachheb-abdessalam@univ-eloued.dz

Fethi Boukhari
Dr.
University of Chahid Hamma Lakhdar El-Oued
Algeria
Email: boukhari-fathi@univ-eloued.dz

Issue web link https://imcra-az.org/archive/392-science-education-and-innovations-in-the-context-of-modern-problems-issue-2-vol-9-2026.html

Keywords Sampling Methodology; Research Population; Sample Design; Probability Sampling; Sociological Research.

Abstract

Sampling constitutes a fundamental methodological pillar in sociological research, as it enables researchers to study complex social phenomena through a scientifically selected subset of the research population. Given the practical, financial, and logistical constraints that often prevent comprehensive population studies, the accuracy and credibility of sociological findings largely depend on the appropriateness of sample selection procedures. This study aims to provide a comprehensive methodological framework for selecting samples in sociological research by clarifying the theoretical foundations of sampling, explaining its scientific justifications, and systematically presenting its core principles, types, and procedural steps. Adopting a descriptive-analytical approach, the study examines key concepts related to research populations, sampling frames, and representativeness, while highlighting the main reasons for resorting to sampling in social research. It further analyzes the stages of sample design, including defining research objectives, identifying the target population, determining data requirements, specifying accuracy levels, and selecting an appropriate sample size. Particular attention is devoted to the discussion of probability and non-probability sampling techniques, with detailed explanations of simple random, systematic, and stratified sampling methods. The study concludes that there is no universal or ideal sample size applicable to all sociological studies; rather, sample selection must be guided by the research objectives, the nature of the population, the level of homogeneity, and the methodological approach adopted. By clarifying common methodological ambiguities faced by researchers, especially in the social sciences, this paper contributes to enhancing the rigor, validity, and generalizability of sociological research findings.

Citation

Abdessalam Lachheb; Fethi Boukhari. (2026). Methodology of Sample Selection in Sociological Research: Theoretical Foundations, Sampling Principles, Typological Classifications, and Procedural Steps. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 9(2), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/9.2.59

Received: 01.03.2025 | Accepted: 01.12.2025 | Published: 25.01.2026 (available online)

Introduction

Selecting a representative sample from the population under study constitutes one of the most critical and challenging stages in sociological research. The validity, reliability, and generalizability of research findings depend largely on the extent to which the selected sample accurately reflects the characteristics of the target population and captures the

essential dimensions of the phenomenon under investigation. For this reason, methodological literature consistently emphasizes that the primary condition for successful sampling is representativeness.

In contemporary scientific research, the use of samples has become an established and indispensable practice. Researchers are frequently confronted with practical constraints—such as limited time, financial resources, and accessibility—that make it impossible to conduct exhaustive studies covering entire populations. Consequently, they resort to examining carefully selected subsets that serve as empirical proxies for the whole. Sample selection, therefore, is not a secondary or technical decision but a central methodological choice that profoundly shapes the research process and outcomes.

The consideration of sampling begins at the earliest stages of research design, particularly during the formulation of the research problem and the definition of objectives. The nature of the research question, the characteristics of the population, and the analytical goals of the study determine both the type of sample to be selected and the research instruments to be employed. Within this methodological context, fundamental questions arise: What is a sample? How is it selected? What steps govern the sampling process? And why is sampling essential in scientific research, particularly in the social sciences?

1. Basic Concepts

1.1 Definition of the Research Population

The research population refers to the total set of elements that share one or more common characteristics relevant to the research problem and that constitute the subject of investigation. These elements may include individuals, groups, events, or observations that collectively define the scope of the study (Angers, 2004). Similarly, the research population encompasses all units from which data may be collected and to which the results of the study are intended to be generalized (Obeidat et al., 1999).

Closely related to the concept of the research population is the notion of the sampling frame. The sampling frame is a comprehensive list or operational representation of all units within the population that are eligible for inclusion in the study. It serves as the practical basis for sample selection and is essential for designing statistical samples or identifying specific segments of the population to be examined (Slatania & Al-Jilani, 2017). The accuracy and completeness of the sampling frame directly influence the quality of the resulting sample.

1.2 Definition of Sampling

Sampling refers to a systematic set of procedures through which a subset of units is selected from the research population for the purpose of empirical investigation. These procedures are governed by methodological rules designed to ensure that the selected subset adequately represents the population from which it is drawn.

1.3 Definition of a Sample

A sample is a defined portion of the research population from which field data are collected. It represents a part of the whole and is selected with the intention of reflecting the key characteristics of the population under study. Through the analysis of sample data, researchers seek to draw conclusions and generalize findings to the broader population. Sample units may consist of individuals, households, neighborhoods, institutions, or other social entities, depending on the nature of the research problem (Zerouati, 2012).

2. Reasons for Using Sampling

From a methodological standpoint, conducting research on an entire population is generally considered the ideal approach, as it minimizes sampling error and enhances the precision of results. However, in practice, several constraints compel researchers to rely on sampling rather than complete enumeration. The most significant reasons for adopting sampling include the following:

2.1 High Cost, Time, and Effort

When the research population is large or geographically dispersed, studying all its elements requires substantial financial resources, extensive human effort, and prolonged timeframes. For instance, investigating the relationship between household income and savings among all Algerian families would entail prohibitively high costs and logistical challenges. Sampling, in such cases, provides a practical and efficient alternative.

2.2 Limited Supervision and Control

Large-scale population studies often necessitate the involvement of multiple data collectors and analysts. As the size of the population increases, maintaining strict supervision, ensuring data accuracy, and minimizing procedural errors become increasingly difficult. Although training and coordination can mitigate these issues, sampling allows for greater control and methodological rigor.

2.3 Homogeneity of the Research Population

In studies involving highly homogeneous populations, examining a subset of the population may yield results equivalent to those obtained from studying the entire population. A classic example is laboratory testing, such as blood analysis, where a small sample provides information representative of the whole. In such cases, comprehensive population studies are unnecessary.

2.4 Impossibility of Studying the Entire Population

In certain types of research—particularly in industrial quality control or product safety testing—the testing process itself renders the unit unusable. For example, testing food products or electronic devices often involves destructive procedures. Consequently, researchers and institutions rely on sampling to assess quality and compliance with standards.

2.5 Difficulty in Identifying All Population Members

In many sociological studies, it is impossible to identify or access all members of the target population. Research on sensitive or hidden groups, such as drug users or informal investors, often encounters confidentiality and accessibility constraints. In such contexts, sampling becomes the only feasible methodological option (Obeidat et al., 1999).

3. Sample Design Steps

Before selecting a sample, researchers must clearly define the type of information required, the purpose of data collection, and the intended use of the results. These considerations guide the choice of sampling strategy and help achieve methodological efficiency at the lowest possible cost. Sample design generally follows a series of interrelated steps.

3.1 Defining the Research Objective

Defining the research objective is a foundational step in sample design. A clearly articulated objective enables the researcher to determine the most appropriate sampling approach, as well as the suitable sample type and size. When a study focuses on a specific group—such as individuals with disabilities—the sample must be restricted to that group, and the findings should be interpreted accordingly. Conversely, when the research aims to develop generalizable models or curricula, the sample must adequately represent the broader target population to ensure the validity of generalizations.

3.2 Defining the Target Population from Which the Sample Is Selected

Defining the target (original) population constitutes a foundational methodological step that is often underestimated or insufficiently specified in sociological research. A study does not need to encompass all individuals within a nation or society in order to be scientifically valid or practically useful. In many cases, limiting the scope of the population—such as focusing on a single institution, school, community, or social group—allows the researcher to conduct a more precise and in-depth analysis of a specific phenomenon.

Regardless of the scope, the research population must be defined with the highest degree of precision. The interpretation and generalization of research findings should be strictly limited to the population from which the sample has been drawn. Any attempt to extend conclusions beyond this defined population compromises the scientific validity of the study. Defining the population requires identifying its constituent elements, characteristics, spatial and temporal boundaries, and inclusion or exclusion criteria (Saber & Khafaja, 2002). A clearly defined population ensures coherence between the research objectives, sampling procedures, and analytical outcomes.

3.3 Identifying the Data and Information to Be Collected

The identification of data and information to be collected must be directly aligned with the objectives of the study and the research questions it seeks to address. Each variable included in the data collection process should contribute meaningfully to achieving the study's goals and testing its assumptions or hypotheses.

At this stage, researchers should also review existing literature and previous studies to identify data that may already be available. Utilizing secondary data, where appropriate, can reduce research costs, shorten timelines, and enhance methodological efficiency, while avoiding unnecessary duplication of effort (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The clarity of data requirements plays a decisive role in determining both the sample design and the choice of data collection instruments.

3.4 Determining the Required Level of Accuracy

Sampling inevitably involves a degree of error, commonly referred to as sampling error, which arises from studying a subset rather than the entire population. Consequently, researchers must determine the acceptable level of error and the degree of precision required for their findings.

Higher levels of accuracy generally require larger samples, more sophisticated sampling techniques, and increased financial and logistical resources. Conversely, studies with exploratory or descriptive objectives may tolerate lower precision levels. Determining the required accuracy therefore involves balancing scientific rigor against practical constraints, such as time, cost, and accessibility (Alian & Ghoneim, 2000). This decision is closely linked to sample size and the statistical power of the study.

3.5 Selecting an Appropriate Sample

An appropriate sample is one that adequately represents the key characteristics of the original population. If the sample is too small or poorly selected, it cannot reliably reflect population parameters. For example, assessing academic achievement using only two students from a population of one hundred would yield results that lack representativeness and reliability.

The central methodological question at this stage concerns determining the optimal sample size required to achieve an acceptable level of reliability and validity. This determination depends on several factors, including population size, population homogeneity, research objectives, and the sampling method employed (Saber & Khafaja, 2002). A carefully selected sample enhances the credibility of findings and supports meaningful generalization.

4. Sample Size

One of the most frequently asked questions in sociological research—particularly by graduate students—is: *How large should the sample be?* There is no single, definitive answer to this question, as sample size depends on multiple interrelated factors that researchers cannot always fully control, such as available resources, time constraints, and access to respondents.

Sample size is primarily determined by the desired level of accuracy, the chosen sampling technique, and the degree of homogeneity within the population. In relatively homogeneous populations, smaller samples—often ranging between 100 and 200 cases—may yield reliable results. In contrast, heterogeneous populations typically require larger samples to capture variability accurately.

Methodological scholars offer differing guidelines regarding minimum sample size. Beau suggests that a minimum of 100 cases is generally required to permit generalization in probability sampling, though this rule should be applied cautiously. Berthier argues that quantitative studies should include at least 80 cases, while many social science studies employ samples ranging from 100 to 1,000 respondents. Public opinion surveys often rely on samples of 400 to 2,000 individuals to ensure statistical reliability.

Angers emphasizes that sample size should be determined in relation to the sampling procedure itself. In non-probability sampling, sample size may vary widely depending on the research problem but rarely exceeds a few hundred cases. In probability sampling, mathematical formulas are used to calculate sample size based on population size and acceptable error margins. This relationship is expressed through the sampling rate, which reflects the proportion of the population included in the sample (Saboun, 2012).

In qualitative research, particularly when using interviews, sample size is often significantly smaller. When employing structured or unstructured interviews, data collection typically ceases once theoretical saturation is reached—that is, when additional interviews no longer yield new information. Empirical evidence suggests that saturation often occurs between 20 and 30 interviews (Angers, 2004). In some studies, especially those focusing on life histories or individual trajectories, the sample may consist of a single case.

Ultimately, there is no ideal or universally applicable sample size. Instead, sample size is determined by a combination of methodological, theoretical, and practical considerations (Saboun, 2012).

5. Types of Samples

A. Probability Samples

Probability sampling is characterized by the principle that every unit in the population has a known and non-zero chance of being selected. This approach minimizes selection bias and allows for statistical generalization.

5.1 Simple Random Sampling

A simple random sample is one in which each member of the population has an equal probability of selection. This method ensures neutrality in the selection process and eliminates systematic bias (Badr, 2008). Selection techniques include lottery methods, random number tables, or computerized randomization.

The implementation of simple random sampling requires a complete and accurate sampling frame. Once the population units are listed and numbered from 1 to N, a predetermined number of units is randomly selected to form the sample (Saboun, 2012). While conceptually straightforward, this method may be difficult to apply in large or poorly documented populations.

5.2 Systematic Sampling

Systematic sampling is a probability-based technique commonly used when the population is homogeneous and an ordered sampling frame is available. The method involves selecting units at regular intervals determined by a fixed sampling interval (k), calculated by dividing the population size by the desired sample size (Dweidri, 2002).

After randomly selecting a starting point between 1 and k, subsequent sample units are chosen by repeatedly adding the sampling interval. For example, in a population of 125 students from which a sample of 25 is required, the sampling interval is 5. If the starting point is 3, the sample includes the units numbered 3, 8, 13, 18, and so forth (Abbas et al., 2007). This method combines simplicity with efficiency, provided that the population list does not exhibit periodic patterns that could bias results.

5.3 Stratified Sampling

Stratified sampling involves dividing the population into distinct subgroups, or strata, based on specific characteristics relevant to the research problem—such as gender, age, educational level, or specialization. A random sample is then drawn from each stratum, either proportionally or equally, depending on the research objectives.

This technique enhances representativeness by ensuring that all key subgroups are adequately represented in the sample. Stratified sampling is particularly useful in heterogeneous populations, as it reduces sampling error and improves the precision of estimates (Cochran, 1977; Kish, 1965).

5.3 Stratified Sampling

In stratified sampling, the original research population is divided into distinct subgroups or strata according to a specific criterion that is directly related to the research problem. This criterion represents one of the key variables of the study, such as specialization, gender, age, or educational level. After stratification, a random sample is selected from each stratum, usually in proportion to its size within the total population. This proportional allocation ensures that all subgroups are adequately represented in the final sample.

To illustrate this method, consider a study conducted on students enrolled in the Faculty of Social Sciences at an Algerian university. Suppose the total population consists of 2,500 students distributed across five academic specializations, and the required sample size is 50 students. Because academic specialization is a central variable in the study, stratified sampling is the most appropriate technique to ensure balanced representation.

Table 1. Distribution of the Stratified Sample by Specialization

Specialization	Number of Students	Percentage	Sample Size
Sociology of Education	600	24%	12
Sociology of Organization and Work	500	20%	10
Sociology of Communication	450	18%	9
Environmental Sociology	450	18%	9
Sociology of Deviance and Crime	500	20%	10
Total	**2,500**	**100%**	**50**

As shown in Table 1, the sample is proportionally distributed according to the size of each specialization within the population. For example, the Sociology of Education department represents 24% of the total population (600 ÷ 2,500 × 100), which corresponds to 12 students in the sample (600 ÷ 2,500 × 50). The same calculation applies to the remaining specializations. After determining the number of participants required from each stratum, individuals are selected using either simple random sampling or systematic sampling.

Stratified sampling is particularly effective in ensuring representativeness and reducing sampling error, especially in heterogeneous populations. However, it may require additional effort, accurate population data, and increased costs, as it necessitates prior knowledge of the size and characteristics of each stratum within the research population (Obeidat et al., 1999).

5.4 Cluster Sampling (Multi-Stage Sampling)

Cluster sampling is employed when the research population is very large or geographically dispersed, making it impractical to apply simple or stratified sampling techniques. This method is particularly useful when comprehensive lists of population units are unavailable or difficult to obtain.

Cluster sampling relies on a multi-stage selection process. Initially, the population is divided into large, naturally occurring units known as clusters—such as regions, provinces, or municipalities. A random sample of these clusters is then selected. Each selected cluster is subsequently subdivided into smaller units, from which additional samples are drawn. This process continues until the final sampling units are identified.

For example, a national population may first be divided into provinces. A sample of provinces is randomly selected, and each selected province is then divided into cities. From these cities, specific neighborhoods are chosen, followed by streets and households. This hierarchical approach significantly reduces data collection costs and logistical complexity, while still allowing for meaningful analysis (Abrash, 2008).

B. Non-Probability Sampling

Non-probability sampling techniques are used when random selection is not feasible due to practical, ethical, or accessibility constraints. In these methods, the probability of selecting each population unit is unknown, which limits statistical generalization but may be appropriate for exploratory or qualitative research.

5.5 Quota Sampling

Quota sampling is based on replicating the distribution of certain characteristics of the research population within the sample. These characteristics are selected according to the research objectives and hypotheses, such as gender, occupational category, or age group. The researcher must possess prior knowledge of the distribution of these characteristics within the population (Angers, 2004).

For example, if females represent 55% of the research population and males 45%, the sample must reflect the same proportions. Consider a study on career aspirations among employees in an Algerian economic institution employing 1,200 workers. Suppose the sample size is set at 120 participants and the occupational category is a key variable.

The institution's workforce is distributed as follows:

- Senior managers: 50 (4.17%)
- Middle managers: 200 (16.67%)
- Operational workers: 950 (79.16%)

Based on these proportions, the sample should include approximately:

- 5 senior managers,
- 20 middle managers,
- 95 operational workers.

Quota sampling ensures proportional representation of predefined categories; however, it remains vulnerable to selection bias, as participants are not chosen randomly (Saboun, 2012).

5.6 Volunteer (Convenience) Sampling

Volunteer sampling relies on individuals who willingly agree to participate in the study. This method is often used when access to respondents is difficult or when the research topic is sensitive, such as studies involving taboo subjects or high-risk behaviors.

Although convenient, this technique suffers from serious limitations regarding representativeness and generalizability, as volunteers may differ systematically from non-participants. Consequently, results derived from volunteer samples must be interpreted with caution (Alian & Ghoneim, 2000; Saboun, 2012).

5.7 Snowball Sampling

Snowball sampling, also known as network or cumulative sampling, is commonly employed in qualitative research. The method begins with a small number of participants who meet the study criteria. After data collection, these participants are asked to identify other individuals who share similar characteristics and may be willing to participate.

This approach is particularly effective for studying hidden or hard-to-reach populations, such as undocumented migrants or marginalized groups. The sample grows progressively, resembling a snowball in motion, until sufficient data are obtained (Al-Dhamin, 2007).

5.8 Purposive Sampling

Purposive sampling involves the deliberate selection of participants whom the researcher believes are especially knowledgeable or representative of the phenomenon under study. This method is frequently used in public opinion research and political sociology, where access to a comprehensive sampling frame is impractical.

For instance, a researcher examining political attitudes during a public demonstration may focus on its leaders, considering them key informants whose views reflect those of the broader group. Similarly, selecting a single village to represent rural Algeria may be justified if the village embodies common social and economic characteristics (Zerouati, 2012).

Conclusion

The effective use of sampling in sociological research requires careful attention to several interrelated methodological dimensions, including sampling frames, units of analysis, sample size, sampling techniques, and the degree of representativeness achieved. Sample design is not a purely technical procedure but a strategic decision shaped by the research objectives, the nature of the population under study, and the resources available to the researcher. The choice of sampling method must balance scientific rigor with practical feasibility. While probability sampling enhances generalizability and statistical validity, non-probability sampling remains indispensable in exploratory and qualitative research contexts.

Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted in accordance with internationally accepted ethical standards for research in the social sciences. As the research is theoretical and methodological in nature and does not involve human participants, personal data, or experimental interventions, formal ethical approval was not required. Nevertheless, the authors adhered to principles of academic integrity, transparency, and responsible scholarship. All sources were appropriately cited, and the study was prepared with due respect for intellectual property and scientific rigor.

Author Contributions

Both authors contributed substantially and collaboratively to the development of this study.

- **Dr. Abdessalam Lachheb** contributed to the conceptualization of the study, the development of the theoretical framework, and the overall scientific supervision of the manuscript.
- **Dr. Fethi Boukhari** contributed to the literature review, methodological analysis, organization of the sampling typologies, and the drafting of the manuscript. Both authors reviewed, revised, and approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to express their appreciation to their colleagues at the University of Chahid Hamma Lakhdar El-Oued for their academic support and constructive discussions that contributed to refining the ideas presented in this study.

Funding
This research did not receive any specific funding from public, commercial, or non-profit funding agencies. The study was conducted independently by the authors.

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The research was conducted in the absence of any financial, professional, or personal relationships that could be construed as influencing the research outcomes.

References

1. Angers, M. (2004). *Méthodologie de la recherche scientifique en sciences humaines*. Dar Al-Qasaba Publishing.
2. Babbie, E. (2021). *The practice of social research* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
3. Badr, A. (2008). *Principles and methods of scientific research*. Academic Library.
4. Berthier, N. (2010). *Les techniques d'enquête en sciences sociales*. Armand Colin.
5. Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling techniques* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
6. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
7. Dweidri, R. W. (2002). *Scientific research: Theoretical foundations and practical application*. Dar Al-Fikr.
8. Gobo, G. (2011). *Sampling, representativeness and generalizability*. SAGE Publications.
9. Kish, L. (1965). *Survey sampling*. John Wiley & Sons.
10. Neuman, W. L. (2020). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (8th ed.). Pearson.
11. Obeidat, M., Qasimi, A., & Abu Al-Saoud, R. (1999). *Scientific research methodology: Rules, stages, and applications*. Dar Wael Publishing.
12. Saboun, S. (2012). *Methodological guide to preparing university theses and dissertations in sociology*. Dar Al-Qasaba Publishing.
13. Slatania, B., & Al-Jilani, H. (2017). *Methodology of the social sciences*. Algerian House of Publishing.
14. Saber, F. A., & Khafaja, M. A. (2002). *Foundations and principles of scientific research*. Al-Isha'a Al-Fanniya Library.
15. Taherdoost, H. (2016). Sampling methods in research methodology: How to choose a sampling technique for research. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, 5(2), 18–27. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3205035
16. Trochim, W. M. K., Donnelly, J. P., & Arora, K. (2016). *Research methods: The essential knowledge base* (2nd ed.). Cengage Learning.
17. Zerouati, R. (2012). *Exercises in scientific research methodology in the social and human sciences*. Za'iash Printing and Publishing.
18. Al-Dhamin, M. (2007). *Fundamentals of scientific research*. Dar Al-Masirah for Publishing and Distribution.
19. Alian, R. M., & Ghoneim, O. M. (2000). *Scientific research methods and techniques: Theory and application*. Dar Safaa Publishing.
20. Abbas, M. K., Al-Harbi, A., & Al-Shammari, H. (2007). *An introduction to research methods in education and psychology*. Dar Al-Masirah for Publishing and Distribution.
21. Abrash, I. (2008). *The scientific method and its applications in the social sciences*. Dar Al-Shorouk Publishing.