
 ISSN 0 2791- 0169 / e-ISSN 2790- 0177 Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems Editor-in-Chief Dr. Rahil Najafov www.imcra-az.org	Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems Issue 4, Vol. 9, 2026	
	RESEARCH ARTICLE 	
<h2>The Principle of Aesthetic Universality in Early Childhood Education: A Theoretical and Pedagogical Framework for the Artistic and Aesthetic Development of Preschool Children</h2>		
Sedashova Natalya Vladimirovna	PHD student University of New England, Faculty of Education, Armidale, New South Wales Australia	
	E-mail: natali1991@mail.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8117-0877	
Keywords	Preschool education; aesthetic development; aesthetic universality; early childhood pedagogy; creative activity; art perception; cultural education; aesthetic experience	
Abstract <p>The aesthetic development of preschool children represents a fundamental dimension of contemporary pedagogical discourse, particularly in the context of rapid technological advancement and the growing need for culturally and emotionally competent individuals. This study explores the principle of aesthetic universality as a core pedagogical framework for fostering artistic and aesthetic development in early childhood education. Drawing upon theoretical foundations in music psychology, aesthetic philosophy, and developmental pedagogy, the article examines how aesthetic experience permeates all domains of human activity and contributes to the holistic formation of the child's personality. The research synthesizes classical and modern perspectives, including the works of Vygotsky, Losev, and Vetlugina, to highlight the role of aesthetic emotions, creative activity, and cultural dialogue in early development. Particular attention is given to the mechanisms through which children engage with artistic forms—visual, musical, and literary—and transform sensory experiences into creative expression. The study argues that the principle of universality enables the integration of aesthetic perception into everyday educational practices, thereby enhancing imagination, emotional intelligence, and value-oriented consciousness. Furthermore, the paper emphasizes the importance of carefully selected artistic materials, environmental aesthetics, and guided pedagogical interaction in cultivating aesthetic taste and creative autonomy among preschool learners. The findings suggest that aesthetic universality is not merely a theoretical construct but a practical educational strategy that supports the development of culturally aware, emotionally responsive, and creatively active individuals.</p>		
Citation <p>Sedashova, N. V. (2026). The Principle of Aesthetic Universality in Early Childhood Education: A Theoretical and Pedagogical Framework for the Artistic and Aesthetic Development of Preschool Children. <i>Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems</i>, 9(4), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/9.4.6</p>		
Licensed <p>© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Science, Education and Innovations in the context of modern problems (SEI) by IMCRA - International Meetings and Journals Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).</p>		
Received: 27.11.2025	Accepted: 11.02..2026	Published: 27.03.2026 (available online)

Introduction

In contemporary educational theory, the aesthetic development of the individual is increasingly recognized as a critical component of holistic human formation. The rapid expansion of scientific and technological progress has intensified the need to preserve and cultivate spiritual, cultural, and aesthetic values, particularly in early childhood education. Preschool age represents a sensitive developmental period during which foundational emotional, cognitive, and creative capacities are formed.

The principle of aesthetic universality offers a conceptual framework through which aesthetic experience can be understood as an integral and pervasive element of human activity. Rather than being confined to artistic domains, aesthetic perception extends into all aspects of interaction with the world, shaping the child's understanding of beauty, meaning, and value.

Literature Review

The theoretical foundations of aesthetic education have been extensively developed in both classical and modern scholarship. Thinkers such as V. G. Belinsky, A. I. Herzen, and N. G. Chernyshevsky emphasized the transformative power of art in shaping human consciousness. Later, scholars including L. S. Vygotsky, A. N. Leontiev, and P. Y. Galperin explored the psychological mechanisms underlying aesthetic perception and creative development.

Vygotsky (1978) argued that aesthetic experience plays a crucial role in cognitive and emotional development, acting as a mediator between the individual and cultural reality. Similarly, Vetlugina (1972) highlighted the importance of artistic creativity in early childhood as a means of self-expression and intellectual growth.

Contemporary pedagogical research further supports the integration of aesthetic education into early learning environments, emphasizing the role of sensory engagement, imagination, and cultural context in shaping children's creative abilities.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative theoretical analysis based on interdisciplinary sources in pedagogy, psychology, and aesthetics. The research methodology involves:

- Analytical review of foundational and contemporary literature
- Comparative analysis of pedagogical approaches to aesthetic education
- Conceptual synthesis of aesthetic universality as an educational principle

The approach is interpretative in nature, aiming to construct a coherent theoretical framework rather than generate empirical data.

Discussion

The principle of aesthetic universality suggests that aesthetic activity is not limited to artistic production but is embedded within all forms of human interaction. In the context of preschool education, this principle manifests through children's engagement in creative activities such as drawing, music, storytelling, and play.

Artistic experiences enable children to interpret reality through symbolic forms, fostering emotional sensitivity and imaginative thinking. The selection of appropriate artistic materials plays a critical role in this process. Works of art must be accessible, meaningful, and emotionally engaging to effectively contribute to aesthetic development.

Moreover, the educational environment itself serves as an aesthetic medium. Exposure to natural beauty, artistic spaces, and cultural institutions enhances children's perception and appreciation of aesthetic values. Guided interaction with educators further facilitates the development of reflective and evaluative capacities.

Aesthetic Development as a Foundational Dimension of Early Childhood Education

The problem of aesthetic development has remained a central concern in pedagogical theory across historical epochs; however, its relevance has intensified in contemporary society due to the rapid expansion of scientific and technological progress, which has often been accompanied by a relative decline in spiritual, cultural, and aesthetic values (Dewey, 1934; Eisner, 2002; Gardner, 1990). In this context, the cultivation of aesthetic consciousness is increasingly viewed not merely as an auxiliary educational objective, but as a fundamental component of holistic personality development (Vygotsky, 1978; Read, 1958; Parsons, 1987).

The multidimensional nature of aesthetic development is reflected in its interdisciplinary grounding, encompassing philosophy, psychology, pedagogy, and cultural studies (Bourdieu, 1984; Shusterman, 2000). Classical thinkers such as V. G. Belinsky, A. I. Herzen, and N. G. Chernyshevsky emphasized the transformative role of art in shaping human perception, emotional responsiveness, and moral awareness. These perspectives were further developed in the twentieth century by scholars such as A. F. Losev and L. S. Vygotsky, who conceptualized aesthetic experience as a critical mechanism for cognitive and emotional development (Vygotsky, 1971, 1978).

From a psychological standpoint, aesthetic emotions play a decisive role in the formation of higher mental functions, including imagination, reflection, and symbolic thinking (Leontiev, 1978; Elkonin, 1971). These processes are particularly significant during early childhood, a developmental stage characterized by heightened sensitivity to sensory stimuli and

symbolic representation (Winner, 1982; Matthews, 2003). As Vygotsky (1978) argues, the interaction between the individual and artistic forms facilitates the internalization of cultural meanings, thereby contributing to the emergence of creative potential and intellectual growth.

Modern pedagogy conceptualizes aesthetic development as a process of forming emotional, sensory, and value-oriented consciousness, which is intrinsically linked to the broader cultural development of the individual (Eisner, 2002; Lindström, 2006). In preschool education, this process assumes particular importance, as it establishes the foundations for the child's future engagement with art, culture, and social reality (Goldschmied & Jackson, 2004; Wright, 2012). The growing emphasis on humanistic education and the increasing demand for creative and adaptable individuals further underscore the urgency of integrating aesthetic education into early childhood curricula (Robinson, 2001).

Aesthetic development in preschool children is primarily realized through active engagement in creative activities, including drawing, music, storytelling, and play (Lowenfeld & Brittain, 1987). These activities enable children to translate sensory experiences into symbolic forms, thereby fostering both emotional expression and cognitive structuring (Arnheim, 1974). The development of aesthetic perception is particularly crucial in this process, as it allows children to interpret artistic forms not only in terms of their formal characteristics—such as color, sound, and composition—but also in relation to their symbolic and emotional meanings (Kindler, 1997).

Within this framework, the principle of aesthetic universality emerges as a key pedagogical concept. This principle posits that aesthetic activity permeates all spheres of human life, extending beyond artistic production to include everyday interactions with the environment, social relations, and cultural practices (Kurenkov, 2004). According to this perspective, aesthetic experience is not confined to specialized artistic domains but constitutes a universal mode of engaging with reality (Dewey, 1934).

Kurenkov (2004) identifies three primary forms of aesthetic activity: universal, generic, and artistic. Universal aesthetic activity encompasses the aesthetic dimension of all forms of human interaction, including labor, communication, and social relations. Generic aesthetic activity is associated with traditional cultural practices, such as crafts and folklore, which embody collective aesthetic values. Artistic activity, in turn, represents the highest form of aesthetic expression, characterized by its focus on the creation of beauty as an autonomous value.

The implementation of the principle of aesthetic universality in preschool education is most effectively realized through productive artistic activities, which enable children to actively engage with materials and express their subjective experiences (Lykova, 2011). Through such activities, children develop a sense of agency and self-awareness, as they transform raw materials into meaningful artistic products. This process not only enhances technical skills but also fosters emotional engagement and reflective thinking (Eisner, 2002).

Artworks play a central role in this process by providing children with opportunities to experience a wide range of emotions, including joy, admiration, curiosity, and empathy (Vetlugina, 1972). The selection of appropriate artistic materials is therefore of critical importance. As research indicates, works of art used in preschool education should be realistic, expressive, and accessible, enabling children to comprehend their content and engage with their aesthetic qualities (Doronova, 2008).

Moreover, the aesthetic development of children is significantly influenced by their interaction with the natural environment. Nature serves as an inexhaustible source of aesthetic experience, offering diverse stimuli that enhance observation, imagination, and emotional sensitivity (Ushinsky, 1988). Exposure to natural landscapes, seasonal changes, and environmental aesthetics contributes to the formation of a holistic perception of beauty and harmony.

However, it is important to note that passive exposure to artistic or aesthetic environments is insufficient for effective aesthetic development. As numerous scholars have emphasized, the presence of a knowledgeable and supportive adult is essential for guiding the child's engagement with art and facilitating the interpretation of aesthetic experiences (Vygotsky, 1978; Malaguzzi, 1998). Through dialogical interaction, educators can help children develop critical and reflective capacities, thereby transforming aesthetic perception into meaningful learning experiences.

In conclusion, the aesthetic development of preschool children represents a complex and multifaceted process that requires the integration of theoretical, pedagogical, and practical approaches. The principle of aesthetic universality provides a valuable framework for understanding this process, emphasizing the pervasive and transformative role of aesthetic experience in human development. By fostering creative activity, aesthetic perception, and cultural engagement, early childhood education can contribute to the formation of well-rounded individuals capable of navigating the complexities of contemporary society.

Aesthetic Perception, Musical Cognition, and the Formation of Creative Consciousness in Early and Continuing Education

Contemporary musicology and pedagogical theory increasingly emphasize the importance of foundational perceptual structures—often referred to as “basic forms” or “proto-intonations”—in shaping musical cognition and aesthetic experience (Kimarskaya, 2004; Medushevsky, 1993). These archetypal forms, deeply rooted in historical and cultural traditions, constitute a universal perceptual vocabulary through which individuals interpret and internalize musical meaning. As Medushevsky (1993) suggests, the most complex and refined aesthetic experiences emerge from these primary structures, demonstrating the continuity between ancient musical forms and contemporary artistic expression.

The study of these foundational elements, particularly those preserved in early liturgical traditions such as Gregorian chant, represents a critical interdisciplinary challenge. It requires an integrated approach that combines musicology, psychology, pedagogy, and philosophy (Taruskin, 2010; Hargreaves, 1986). Understanding how these ancient forms evolve and are reinterpreted in modern compositions enables educators and researchers to uncover the cognitive and emotional mechanisms underlying musical perception and creativity (Meyer, 1956; Lerdahl & Jackendoff, 1983).

In pedagogical practice, especially within instrumental education such as piano instruction, the interpretation of musical meaning extends beyond technical proficiency. It involves the integration of intellectual analysis, emotional responsiveness, and intuitive understanding (Elliott, 1995). This multidimensional engagement with music reflects broader psychological processes associated with perception and cognition. As Vygotsky (1978) argues, artistic perception is mediated by cultural signs and symbols, which function as tools for organizing mental activity and facilitating higher-order thinking.

The process of perceiving music—particularly sacred or spiritually oriented compositions—raises fundamental epistemological questions: What is being perceived? How is it interpreted? And why does it evoke specific emotional and cognitive responses? These questions highlight the complexity of aesthetic experience, which is shaped by an interplay of innate predispositions, socio-cultural contexts, and individual psychological development (Bruner, 1996; Gardner, 1983).

The mid-twentieth-century “cognitive revolution” in psychology initially shifted attention away from affective processes toward rational and computational models of cognition. However, recent scholarship has re-emphasized the central role of emotion in perception and learning, recognizing that cognitive and affective processes are deeply interconnected (Damasio, 1994; Panksepp, 1998). In the context of aesthetic education, this implies that both emotional and rational modes of perception must be cultivated simultaneously.

Freudian theory provides an early framework for understanding this duality through the distinction between primary and secondary process thinking (Freud, 1923). Primary processes, operating at the level of the unconscious, are characterized by associative and affect-driven thinking, whereas secondary processes involve logical reasoning and reality testing. Building on this distinction, Epstein (1994) introduced the concepts of experiential (emotional) and rational (analytical) systems of cognition. In pedagogical contexts, these correspond to what may be described as emotional and intellectual modes of aesthetic perception.

Effective aesthetic education must therefore integrate these modes, enabling learners to engage with artistic works both emotionally and analytically. This is particularly important in early childhood education, where sensory experience and emotional engagement play a foundational role in cognitive development (Vygotsky, 1978; Winner, 1982). However, as numerous scholars have emphasized, mere exposure to artistic environments is insufficient for meaningful development. Without guided interaction and pedagogical mediation, children may fail to internalize the cultural and symbolic dimensions of art (Malaguzzi, 1998; Bruner, 1996).

The role of the educator is thus central in facilitating the child’s entry into the “language of art.” Through dialogical interaction, scaffolding, and guided interpretation, educators help children construct meaning and develop aesthetic awareness (Wood, Bruner, & Ross, 1976). This process aligns with Vygotsky’s concept of the zone of proximal development, where learning occurs through social interaction and the gradual internalization of external cultural tools (Vygotsky, 1978).

The educational environment itself also functions as a critical mediator of aesthetic development. A well-designed artistic and aesthetic environment provides children with opportunities for exploration, creativity, and self-expression, thereby supporting the acquisition of socio-cultural experience (Edwards, Gandini, & Forman, 2012). Such environments encourage active participation and foster a sense of agency, enabling children to transform passive perception into creative production.

The principle of aesthetic universality plays a pivotal role in this context, emphasizing that aesthetic experience is not confined to specialized artistic activities but permeates all aspects of human life (Dewey, 1934). In preschool education,

this principle supports the integration of artistic, emotional, and cognitive development, contributing to the formation of a holistic personality.

Furthermore, aesthetic education has broader social implications, as it promotes values such as empathy, cultural awareness, and ethical sensitivity (Nussbaum, 2010). By engaging with diverse artistic forms and cultural traditions, children develop an appreciation for difference and a capacity for reflective judgment, which are essential for participation in modern, pluralistic societies.

At the same time, it is important to recognize that pedagogical principles are not static. The dynamic nature of contemporary society, characterized by rapid technological and cultural change, necessitates the continuous re-evaluation and adaptation of educational approaches (Robinson, 2001). The principle of aesthetic universality must therefore be understood as an evolving framework, capable of responding to new challenges and opportunities in education.

In conclusion, the integration of musical cognition, aesthetic perception, and pedagogical practice represents a complex but essential task for modern education. By drawing on interdisciplinary research and theoretical insights, educators can develop strategies that support the formation of creative, emotionally intelligent, and culturally competent individuals. The interplay between ancient artistic forms and contemporary pedagogical approaches highlights the enduring relevance of aesthetic education in shaping human development.

In Gilbert Walker's *A Manifest Detection of the Most Vile and Detestable Use of Dice-Play, and Other Practices Like the Same*, the dialogic structure between the interlocutors R and M serves not merely as a moral exposition but as a pedagogical framework for revealing the mechanisms of deception embedded within early modern economic and social practices. Through this catechistic exchange, Walker systematically exposes the techniques by which the cheater manipulates both material objects and symbolic representations, thereby constructing an illusion of legitimacy (Walker, 1552/modern ed.).

M's explanation of counterfeit practices highlights a crucial transition from material manipulation to symbolic control, wherein the cheater's primary tool is no longer physical alteration alone, but the strategic deployment of language, perception, and belief. This anticipates later theoretical formulations regarding symbolic power and social capital, particularly those articulated by Bourdieu (1984), who emphasizes that power is often exercised through invisible structures of meaning rather than overt coercion.

In this context, deception operates as a form of proto-economic creativity, where the manipulation of signs replaces the transformation of material goods. The cheater does not merely falsify objects; rather, he reshapes the cognitive and perceptual frameworks of his audience, exploiting the gap between appearance and reality. This aligns with Marx's (1867/1976) conceptualization of value as a socially constructed phenomenon, mediated through exchange relations rather than inherent material properties.

Furthermore, Walker's text can be interpreted as an early critique of emerging capitalist dynamics, in which the circulation of value becomes increasingly detached from tangible production. The dialogic structure reinforces this critique by revealing how knowledge asymmetry enables exploitation: the informed manipulator (M) gains advantage over the naïve participant (R), thereby reproducing inequalities of power and understanding.

From a cultural and aesthetic perspective, these mechanisms of deception can also be understood as forms of performative practice. The cheater assumes a role, constructs a narrative, and engages in a kind of theatrical staging, thereby transforming economic interaction into a symbolic performance (Goffman, 1959). This performativity underscores the inherently aesthetic dimension of social interaction, where meaning is constructed through presentation, interpretation, and belief.

Thus, Walker's work transcends its immediate moralizing intent and offers a sophisticated account of the interplay between material reality, symbolic representation, and social perception. It provides a valuable framework for understanding the historical roots of contemporary issues related to manipulation, value construction, and the aesthetics of economic behavior.

The present study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by introducing a novel interdisciplinary perspective that integrates aesthetic theory, early modern economic thought, and pedagogical analysis. Unlike traditional approaches that treat aesthetic development and economic discourse as separate domains, this research demonstrates their intrinsic interconnectedness.

The novelty of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. **Interdisciplinary Integration**

The research bridges the gap between aesthetic pedagogy, musicology, and early economic discourse, offering a unified

framework for understanding how symbolic systems shape both artistic perception and economic behavior (Dewey, 1934; Bourdieu, 1984).

2. Reinterpretation of Early Texts

By analyzing Walker’s work through the lens of modern social and cultural theory, the study recontextualizes early modern texts as foundational contributions to the understanding of symbolic manipulation and value construction.

3. Conceptualization of Aesthetic Universality in Socio-Economic Contexts

The study extends the principle of aesthetic universality beyond artistic domains, demonstrating its applicability to social interaction, economic exchange, and cultural production.

4. Pedagogical Innovation

It proposes a model in which aesthetic perception and critical thinking are integrated into educational practices, thereby enhancing learners’ ability to interpret complex symbolic systems.

5. Linking Perception and Power

The research highlights the role of perception as a site of power, where aesthetic and cognitive processes intersect with social structures and economic relations.

Table 1. Conceptual Framework: Intersections of Aesthetic Perception, Symbolic Power, and Pedagogical Development

Dimension	Key Concept	Theoretical Basis	Educational Implication
Aesthetic Perception	Sensory and emotional engagement with artistic forms	Dewey (1934); Vygotsky (1978); Arnheim (1974)	Development of imagination and emotional intelligence
Symbolic Representation	Construction of meaning through signs and language	Bourdieu (1984); Bruner (1996)	Enhancement of interpretative and critical skills
Economic Symbolism	Value as socially constructed and mediated	Marx (1976); Simmel (1900)	Understanding abstract systems of exchange
Performative Interaction	Social behavior as staged and interpretative	Goffman (1959)	Development of communicative competence
Cognitive Duality	Emotional vs rational perception systems	Freud (1923); Epstein (1994)	Balanced development of affective and analytical thinking
Pedagogical Mediation	Role of educator in meaning-making	Vygotsky (1978); Malaguzzi (1998)	Guided learning and scaffolding strategies
Aesthetic Universality	Integration of aesthetics across life domains	Kurenkov (2004); Eisner (2002)	Holistic educational approaches

Aesthetic and Musical Experience as a Developmental Resource in Early Childhood Education

An important argument within contemporary educational and psychological research is that the role of music—particularly classical and structured musical forms—in child development remains insufficiently explored within mainstream developmental psychology. Despite the growing body of literature on creativity and aesthetic education, the specific contribution of music as a developmental resource is often underrepresented due to disciplinary fragmentation and limited interdisciplinary communication among researchers.

This gap raises a fundamental question: to what extent can engagement with music, both as a listening experience and as an active participatory practice, contribute to the cognitive, emotional, and social development of children? Addressing this question is essential for advancing educational practices that aim to foster holistic development in early childhood.

Within the framework of aesthetic universality, music can be understood as a multidimensional resource that supports the formation of emotional intelligence, creative thinking, and cultural awareness. It operates not only as an artistic medium but also as a psychological and pedagogical tool that shapes perception, expression, and interaction with the surrounding world.

Three key dimensions of the developmental role of music can be identified.

First, music functions as a mechanism for positive psychological development. Engagement with musical structures enhances attention, memory, and pattern recognition while simultaneously supporting emotional regulation and self-

expression. These processes are particularly significant during early childhood, when neural plasticity and sensory sensitivity are at their peak.

Second, music serves as a protective factor that contributes to emotional well-being. Exposure to harmonious and structured sound environments has been shown to promote relaxation, reduce anxiety, and foster a sense of stability and security. In this sense, musical experience becomes an important component of mental health support in educational settings.

Third, music can play a supportive role in the prevention of negative emotional and behavioral patterns. Through guided musical engagement, children learn to process emotions, develop empathy, and establish constructive forms of self-expression. This contributes to the development of social competence and resilience.

From a biological perspective, contemporary research in neuroscience indicates that musical processing is distributed across complex neural networks involving both cortical and subcortical regions. Musical stimuli activate neurotransmitter systems associated with pleasure, reward, and emotional regulation, thereby contributing to both psychological and physiological well-being. These findings highlight the role of music as an integrative developmental stimulus that connects sensory, emotional, and cognitive processes.

At the psychological level, music represents a unique form of aesthetic experience characterized by its capacity to evoke and structure emotions. The perception of music involves a coordinated system of cognitive appraisal, emotional response, physiological activation, and expressive behavior. These processes enable children to develop a deeper understanding of their own emotional states and those of others.

Importantly, the effectiveness of musical experience as a developmental resource depends on pedagogical mediation. Children do not automatically internalize the aesthetic and symbolic dimensions of music; rather, they require guidance from educators who can facilitate interpretation, encourage reflection, and support creative engagement. This aligns with socio-cultural theories of learning, which emphasize the role of interaction and scaffolding in cognitive development.

Furthermore, the integration of music into broader artistic and cultural contexts enhances its educational value. Music is closely interconnected with other forms of artistic expression, including movement, visual art, storytelling, and dramatic play. This interdisciplinary integration supports the development of a holistic aesthetic experience, enabling children to explore multiple modes of expression and meaning-making.

It is also essential to consider the role of early childhood experiences in shaping long-term developmental outcomes. Childhood represents a critical period during which foundational emotional and cognitive patterns are established. Positive aesthetic experiences during this stage contribute to the formation of lasting values, memories, and attitudes toward creativity and culture.

In this context, music should not be viewed as a peripheral element of education but as a central component of a comprehensive pedagogical strategy. By integrating musical experience into everyday learning environments, educators can create conditions that support the development of creativity, emotional intelligence, and cultural competence.

In conclusion, music constitutes a powerful and multifaceted developmental resource that deserves greater attention within educational theory and practice. Its ability to integrate biological, psychological, and social dimensions of development makes it particularly valuable in early childhood education. Future research should focus on empirical validation of these theoretical insights and the development of practical models for implementing music-based approaches within diverse educational contexts.

Conclusion

The principle of aesthetic universality provides a comprehensive framework for understanding and enhancing the artistic and aesthetic development of preschool children. By integrating aesthetic experience into all aspects of educational practice, educators can support the formation of emotionally intelligent, creatively active, and culturally aware individuals.

The findings of this study underscore the importance of holistic pedagogical strategies that combine artistic engagement, environmental aesthetics, and guided instruction. Future research may explore empirical applications of this principle in diverse educational settings.

Ethical Considerations

This study is theoretical in nature and does not involve human participants, experimental procedures, or personal data collection. Therefore, formal ethical approval was not required. All referenced materials have been appropriately cited in accordance with academic integrity standards.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use Statement

The author declares that artificial intelligence tools were used solely for language refinement and editing purposes. All intellectual content, analysis, and interpretations presented in this study are the original work of the author.

Acknowledgements

The author expresses sincere gratitude to academic mentors and colleagues for their valuable insights and support during the development of this study.

Funding Statement. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest. The author declares no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

References:

1. Butenko, N. V. (2013). On the implementation of the principle of aesthetic universality in children's artistic and creative activities. In *Theory and practice of education in the modern world (III)* (pp. 50–54). Renome.
2. Doronova, T. N. (2008). *Fine art activity and aesthetic development of preschoolers: A methodological guide for teachers of preschool educational institutions* (2nd ed.). Prosveshchenie.
3. Kurenkov, R. A. (2004). *Aesthetics: Textbook for higher education institutions*. VLADOS Press.
4. Lykova, I. A. (2011). Design objectives and content of aesthetic education of preschool children in cultural education. *Psychology of Art, 2*. <http://www.art-education.ru>
5. Vetlugina, N. A. (1972). *Artistic creativity and the child*. Pedagogy.
6. Arnheim, R. (1974). *Art and visual perception: A psychology of the creative eye*. University of California Press.
7. Bourdieu, P. (1984). *Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste*. Harvard University Press.
8. Dewey, J. (1934). *Art as experience*. Perigee Books.
9. Eisner, E. W. (2002). *The arts and the creation of mind*. Yale University Press.
10. Gardner, H. (1990). *Art education and human development*. Getty Center for Education in the Arts.
11. Goldschmied, E., & Jackson, S. (2004). *People under three: Young children in daycare*. Routledge.
12. Hargreaves, D. J. (1986). *The developmental psychology of music*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Kindler, A. M. (1997). Aesthetic development and learning in art education. *Studies in Art Education, 39*(1), 24–39. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1320452>
14. Lindström, L. (2006). Creativity: What is it? Can you assess it? Can it be taught? *International Journal of Art & Design Education, 25*(1), 53–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-8070.2006.00468.x>
15. Lowenfeld, V., & Brittain, W. L. (1987). *Creative and mental growth* (8th ed.). Macmillan.
16. Malaguzzi, L. (1998). History, ideas, and basic philosophy: An interview with Lella Gandini. In C. Edwards, L. Gandini, & G. Forman (Eds.), *The hundred languages of children* (pp. 49–97). Ablex.
17. Matthews, J. (2003). *Drawing and painting: Children and visual representation* (2nd ed.). Paul Chapman Publishing.
18. Parsons, M. J. (1987). *How we understand art: A cognitive developmental account of aesthetic experience*. Cambridge University Press.
19. Read, H. (1958). *Education through art*. Pantheon Books.
20. Robinson, K. (2001). *Out of our minds: Learning to be creative*. Capstone Publishing.
21. Shusterman, R. (2000). *Performing live: Aesthetic alternatives for the ends of art*. Cornell University Press.
22. Vygotsky, L. S. (1971). *The psychology of art*. MIT Press. (Original work published 1925)
23. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
24. Winner, E. (1982). *Invented worlds: The psychology of the arts*. Harvard University Press.
25. Wright, S. (2012). *Children, meaning-making and the arts* (2nd ed.). Pearson.