



Cultural Deprivation, Cognitive Development, and Educational Transformation: A Cultural-Historical Perspective

Vitalii Sizov

Dr.

Associate Professor, Department of Interdisciplinary Studies, Dnipro Academy of Music, Dnipro Ukraine

E-mail:

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0679-8602>

Oleksii Sheviakov

Dr.

Department of Management Sciences, University of El Oued, El Oued Ukraine

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8348-1935>

Yanina Slavska

Dr.

Associate Professor, Department of Interdisciplinary Studies, Dnipro Academy of Music, Dnipro Ukraine

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2498-3323>

Volodymyr Boby

Dr.

Professor, Head of the Department of Finance, Accounting and Psychology, Dnipro State University of Science and Technology, Dnipro Ukraine

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7306-3905>

Oleksii Matusevich

Dr.

Associate Professor, Department of Finance, Accounting and Psychology, Dnipro State University of Science and Technology, Dnipro Ukraine

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9486-1308>

Keywords

cultural deprivation; cultural-historical theory; cognitive development; education; cultural mediation; value formation; cultural literacy; socio-cultural environment; multiculturalism; identity formation; symbolic systems; social cohesion.

Abstract

This article explores the phenomenon of cultural deprivation and its implications for cognitive development, value formation, and educational processes in contemporary society. Drawing upon Lev S. Vygotsky's cultural-historical theory, the study examines how socio-cultural environments influence the development of conceptual thinking, identity formation, and systems of meaning. The research adopts a qualitative theoretical approach based on conceptual analysis, documentary review, and interdisciplinary interpretation of educational, psychological, and cultural studies literature. The findings suggest that cultural deprivation extends beyond limited access to cultural resources and may be understood as a disruption in the processes through which meanings, values, and symbolic systems are transmitted and internalized. Particular attention is given to the role of education as a mediating institution that contributes to both cognitive development and the reproduction of socio-cultural values. The analysis demonstrates that educational systems play a crucial role in supporting cultural continuity, fostering critical reflection, and facilitating meaningful engagement with diverse cultural environments. The study further argues that contemporary conditions characterized by globalization, digital communication, and increasing cultural plurality create new challenges for value formation and cognitive development. In such contexts, educational institutions become essential spaces for cultural mediation, conceptual

learning, and the development of cultural literacy. By integrating insights from cultural-historical psychology, educational theory, and cultural studies, the article proposes a conceptual framework for understanding cultural deprivation as a dynamic interaction between cultural environments, educational practices, and cognitive processes.


The article contributes to ongoing debates concerning the role of education in sustaining cultural meaning, promoting intellectual development, and supporting social cohesion in an increasingly complex and interconnected world.

Citation

Sizov, V., Sheviakov, O., Slavska, Y., Bobyl, V., Matusevich, O. (2026). Cultural Deprivation, Cognitive Development, and Educational Transformation: A Cultural-Historical Perspective. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 9(8), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/9.8.15>

Licensed

© 2026. The Author(s). Published by *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems (SEI)*, under the auspices of IMCRA – International Meetings and Conferences Research Association (Azerbaijan). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Received: January 09, 2025

Accepted: May 18, 2026

Published Online: June 08, 2026

1. INTRODUCTION

The twenty-first century is characterized by profound social, technological, and cultural transformations that increasingly influence the ways individuals understand themselves and their relationship to society. Processes associated with globalization, digital communication, migration, and transnational cultural exchange have expanded opportunities for interaction among diverse communities while simultaneously raising new questions concerning cultural continuity, identity formation, and social cohesion. Within this context, education has emerged as one of the most significant institutions through which societies negotiate the relationship between tradition and change.

Contemporary educational systems operate within increasingly complex cultural environments. Individuals are exposed to multiple and often competing systems of values, symbols, narratives, and forms of knowledge. While such diversity may enrich intellectual and cultural life, it may also create conditions in which established frameworks of meaning become fragmented or weakened. As a result, scholars have become increasingly interested in examining how changing cultural contexts affect cognitive development, educational outcomes, and the transmission of social values.

One concept that offers valuable insight into these processes is cultural deprivation. Traditionally associated with limited access to cultural resources, educational opportunities, and symbolic forms of participation, cultural deprivation may also be understood as a broader condition involving disruptions in the transmission and internalization of cultural meanings. From this perspective, the issue extends beyond material inequalities and encompasses questions of value formation, cultural belonging, and cognitive development.

The theoretical foundation of the present study is derived primarily from the cultural-historical tradition of psychology and education, particularly the work of Lev S. Vygotsky. Vygotsky argued that higher psychological functions develop through social interaction and cultural mediation, emphasizing the decisive role of language, symbols, and shared cultural practices in the formation of human consciousness. According to this perspective, cognitive development cannot be understood independently of the socio-cultural environment in which individuals are embedded. Education therefore functions not merely as a mechanism for transmitting information but as a fundamental process through which individuals acquire the conceptual tools necessary for understanding and interpreting reality.

The relevance of Vygotsky's ideas has become increasingly apparent in contemporary discussions concerning globalization and cultural change. Unlike the relatively stable cultural environments that characterized many societies during the early twentieth century, modern individuals frequently encounter multiple cultural influences simultaneously. Such conditions raise important questions regarding the formation of coherent systems of meaning, the development of value orientations, and the capacity of educational institutions to facilitate cultural integration and critical understanding.

This article seeks to contribute to these discussions by examining the relationship between cultural deprivation and education in contemporary society. It explores how transformations in cultural environments may influence cognitive development and investigates the role of educational institutions in preserving cultural continuity while fostering intellectual openness and social participation. Through a theoretical analysis grounded in cultural-historical psychology, the study aims to clarify the mechanisms through which education can either mitigate or exacerbate the effects of cultural deprivation and to identify pathways for strengthening its role as a source of cultural and cognitive development.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The relationship between culture and education has long occupied a central place in educational theory, sociology, and developmental psychology. Scholars have consistently argued that educational systems do not merely transmit knowledge but also reproduce cultural meanings, social norms, and value structures that shape individual and collective identities. Within this context, the concept of cultural deprivation has emerged as an important framework for understanding how unequal access to cultural resources, symbolic systems, and educational opportunities may affect cognitive development and social participation.

One of the most influential theoretical foundations for understanding the relationship between culture and cognition is the cultural-historical theory of Lev S. Vygotsky (1978, 1987). Vygotsky argued that higher psychological functions develop through social interaction and cultural mediation. Language, symbols, and culturally transmitted meanings function as tools through which individuals construct knowledge and develop conceptual thinking. From this perspective, cognitive development cannot be separated from the socio-cultural environment in which an individual is embedded. Educational institutions therefore play a crucial role in mediating cultural experiences and facilitating the acquisition of culturally meaningful concepts.

Building upon Vygotsky's ideas, Bruner (1996) emphasized that education is fundamentally a cultural process. According to Bruner, schools are not simply institutions for transmitting information but social environments in which individuals learn how to interpret reality through culturally shared systems of meaning. He argued that educational practices shape the ways people perceive themselves, others, and society. Consequently, changes in cultural environments inevitably influence educational processes and cognitive development.

Contemporary research has expanded these perspectives by examining the effects of globalization and cultural transformation on learning and identity formation. Delanty (2018) argues that globalization has intensified cultural exchanges and increased the complexity of identity construction in modern societies. Individuals increasingly encounter multiple systems of values, beliefs, and symbolic representations that may coexist, compete, or overlap within the same social space. Such developments have generated new educational challenges concerning cultural continuity, social integration, and value formation.

The concept of multiculturalism emerged as one response to increasing cultural diversity within contemporary societies. Banks (2020) defines multicultural education as an educational approach that seeks to provide equal learning opportunities while recognizing cultural pluralism and diversity. Similarly, Kovalynska (2016) and Sikorska (2019) emphasize that multicultural educational environments can promote tolerance, intercultural understanding, and social cohesion. However, scholars have also noted that multicultural contexts may create tensions when different cultural value systems interact without sufficient mechanisms for dialogue and integration. These tensions may influence identity formation and contribute to uncertainty regarding cultural belonging and social values.

Recent educational research has increasingly focused on the consequences of cultural exclusion and unequal participation in cultural life. Zembylas (2022) argues that educational inequality is not only material but also cultural and emotional, affecting individuals' sense of belonging and recognition within society. Similarly, UNESCO (2021) highlights the importance of education in fostering social inclusion, democratic participation, and cultural understanding in an increasingly interconnected world. Educational systems are expected not only to transmit academic knowledge but also to cultivate critical thinking, cultural literacy, and civic responsibility.

The literature also suggests that access to cultural resources remains a significant determinant of educational outcomes. Nussbaum (2016) emphasizes that the humanities play a crucial role in developing empathy, critical reflection, and democratic citizenship. When educational systems prioritize purely instrumental or economic goals at the expense of cultural and humanistic development, opportunities for deeper cognitive and ethical growth may be diminished. This concern has become increasingly relevant in the context of digitalization, where information consumption often replaces sustained engagement with complex texts and cultural traditions.

Another important strand of research examines the role of dialogue and social interaction in cultural development. Matusov et al. (2019) argue that learning occurs through dialogic engagement, where individuals actively construct meaning through interaction with others. Such perspectives reinforce the idea that cognitive development depends not only on individual abilities but also on participation in meaningful cultural practices. Educational institutions therefore function as spaces where cultural values, social norms, and intellectual traditions are negotiated and reproduced.

Recent reports from UNESCO (2024) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023) further emphasize the growing importance of education in addressing contemporary social challenges, including cultural fragmentation, social polarization, and declining trust in public institutions. These organizations advocate educational models that promote critical literacy, intercultural competence, and lifelong learning as essential capacities for navigating complex and rapidly changing societies.

Despite the extensive literature on culture, education, and cognitive development, relatively limited attention has been paid to cultural deprivation as a multidimensional phenomenon linking cultural transformation, value fragmentation, and educational decline. Existing studies tend to focus either on multiculturalism, educational inequality, or socio-cultural learning separately. Consequently, there remains a need for a more integrated theoretical framework capable of explaining how disruptions in cultural continuity may influence cognitive development, educational processes, and value formation simultaneously.

The present study seeks to address this gap by examining cultural deprivation through the lens of cultural-historical theory and contemporary educational research. By analyzing the interaction between cultural environments, educational institutions, and cognitive development, the study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the challenges facing education in an era of globalization and cultural transformation.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative theoretical research design grounded in the interpretivist tradition of educational and socio-cultural inquiry. The methodological framework is informed primarily by the cultural-historical theory of Lev S. Vygotsky, which conceptualizes cognitive development as a socially mediated process shaped through interaction with cultural tools, symbolic systems, and historically constituted social environments. Within this framework, cultural deprivation is understood not merely as a deficit of cultural resources but as a multidimensional socio-educational phenomenon influencing the formation of meanings, values, identities, and cognitive structures.

The research employs a conceptual-analytical methodology aimed at examining the interrelationship between cultural environments, educational processes, and cognitive development in contemporary societies. Rather than seeking statistical generalization, the study focuses on theoretical interpretation and critical analysis of existing scholarly knowledge. Such an approach is particularly appropriate for investigating complex cultural and educational phenomena whose manifestations extend beyond measurable variables and require contextual and interpretive examination.

Several complementary methods were utilized. First, a comprehensive review of scientific and educational literature was conducted to identify major theoretical perspectives on cultural deprivation, multiculturalism, socio-cultural learning, value transmission, and educational transformation. Classical works in cultural-historical psychology were examined alongside contemporary studies in educational sociology, cultural studies, and comparative education. This process enabled the identification of key conceptual categories and recurring themes within the scholarly discourse.

Second, documentary analysis was employed to examine academic publications, educational reports, policy documents, and theoretical texts addressing cultural change, globalization, identity formation, and educational development. Documentary analysis provided a broader contextual understanding of the socio-cultural factors influencing educational systems and facilitated the exploration of relationships between cultural continuity, value formation, and learning processes.

Third, pedagogical observation was used as a supplementary interpretive method. The observational component was not intended to generate statistically representative findings but rather to support theoretical reflection concerning contemporary educational practices, reading habits, value orientations, and attitudes toward learning among different age groups. Observational insights were analyzed in relation to existing theoretical frameworks and served as illustrative material for understanding broader tendencies associated with cultural deprivation.

The study adopts an interdisciplinary perspective that integrates concepts from education, developmental psychology, sociology, and cultural studies. Such an approach reflects the complexity of cultural deprivation as a phenomenon operating simultaneously at cognitive, institutional, and socio-cultural levels. By combining these perspectives, the research seeks to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how educational institutions mediate cultural values and how transformations in cultural environments may influence the development of cognitive and social competencies.

The analytical procedure consisted of three stages. The first stage involved the identification and systematization of key theoretical approaches to culture, education, and cognitive development. The second stage focused on the comparative analysis of existing interpretations of multiculturalism, cultural transmission, and value formation. The third stage synthesized these findings into a conceptual framework linking cultural deprivation, educational transformation, and cognitive development within contemporary societies.

As a theoretical investigation, the study has certain limitations. Its conclusions are analytical and interpretive rather than empirical and should therefore be understood as conceptual propositions requiring further validation through qualitative and quantitative research. Nevertheless, the chosen methodology provides an appropriate foundation for exploring the complex relationship between cultural deprivation, value fragmentation, educational change, and cognitive development in the context of contemporary social transformations.

Research Gap

Although the relationship between culture and education has been extensively explored within the fields of educational psychology, sociology of education, and cultural studies, several important gaps remain in the existing literature. First, contemporary research has largely focused on multiculturalism, intercultural education, social inclusion, and cultural diversity (Banks, 2020; Sikorska, 2019; UNESCO, 2021), while comparatively limited attention has been devoted to the concept of cultural deprivation as a distinct socio-cultural and educational phenomenon. Most studies examine cultural diversity from normative, political, or sociological perspectives, emphasizing issues of tolerance, integration, and identity. Consequently, the cognitive and educational implications of disruptions in cultural continuity remain insufficiently theorized.

Second, existing scholarship tends to analyze educational inequality, cultural exclusion, and cognitive development as separate domains of inquiry. Research on educational outcomes frequently focuses on economic, institutional, or demographic factors, whereas studies of cultural change often emphasize questions of identity, migration, and globalization. As a result, there is a lack of integrative theoretical frameworks capable of explaining how transformations in cultural environments may simultaneously influence value formation, cognitive development, and educational processes.

Third, despite the continuing relevance of Vygotsky's cultural-historical theory, relatively few contemporary studies have applied this framework to the analysis of cultural deprivation in the context of globalization, digital communication, and increasing cultural plurality. While Vygotsky's work has been widely used to examine learning, language development, and social interaction, its potential for explaining the relationship between cultural transformation and the formation of cognitive structures remains underdeveloped in current educational research.

Fourth, the literature on multiculturalism has predominantly concentrated on the benefits and challenges of cultural diversity at institutional and societal levels. Less attention has been paid to the mechanisms through which individuals internalize, negotiate, or reconcile multiple systems of cultural meanings and values. Consequently, the relationship between cultural plurality and the development of coherent cognitive and value orientations remains insufficiently understood.

Finally, contemporary educational research increasingly recognizes the importance of cultural literacy, social participation, and identity formation; however, there is still limited theoretical understanding of how educational institutions function as mediators between changing cultural environments and individual cognitive development. The role of education in mitigating or amplifying processes associated with cultural deprivation has therefore not been adequately conceptualized.

In response to these gaps, the present study develops a cultural-historical interpretation of cultural deprivation and examines its relationship with cognitive development, value formation, and educational transformation. By integrating insights from educational psychology, cultural studies, and sociology of education, the study proposes a conceptual framework that connects cultural change, educational mediation, and the formation of cognitive orientations in contemporary society. In doing so, it contributes to a deeper theoretical understanding of the educational consequences of cultural transformation in the twenty-first century.

4. RESULTS

The analysis indicates that cultural deprivation can be understood as a multidimensional socio-cultural phenomenon emerging from transformations in the processes through which meanings, values, and symbolic systems are transmitted across generations. Within the framework of Vygotsky's cultural-historical theory, cognitive development is inseparable from the social environment because higher psychological functions are mediated through language, concepts, symbols, and culturally shared practices (Vygotsky, 1978; 1987). Consequently, changes in cultural environments have implications not only for social identity but also for the formation of cognitive structures and systems of meaning.

A review of the existing literature reveals that the concept of cultural deprivation remains relatively underexplored within contemporary educational research. Although related themes such as multiculturalism, cultural diversity, intercultural communication, and social inclusion have received considerable scholarly attention (Banks, 2020; Sikorska, 2019; Kovalynska, 2016), fewer studies have examined the potential relationship between cultural transformation and the development of cognitive orientations. Existing discussions frequently approach cultural diversity from sociological, political, or philosophical perspectives, whereas the cultural-psychological mechanisms through which changing value environments influence cognitive development remain less thoroughly investigated.

The present analysis suggests that cultural deprivation should not be interpreted exclusively as the absence of cultural resources. Rather, it may also involve disruptions in the continuity of cultural meanings and symbolic frameworks through which individuals construct their understanding of social reality. In this context, cultural deprivation refers to a condition in which the processes of

cultural transmission become fragmented, reducing the coherence of the value systems that traditionally contribute to the formation of personal and collective identities.

From a cultural-historical perspective, concepts and signs occupy a central position in the development of thinking. Vygotsky argued that conceptual development occurs through participation in socially organized systems of communication and meaning-making. Language functions not merely as a tool of expression but as a mediating mechanism through which individuals acquire culturally specific ways of interpreting reality. Consequently, cultural values are not external additions to cognition; rather, they become integrated into the conceptual structures through which individuals understand themselves and their social environment.

This theoretical perspective highlights the significance of educational institutions as spaces of cultural mediation. Education contributes not only to the acquisition of knowledge but also to the transmission of symbolic systems, value orientations, and interpretive frameworks. As a result, educational processes play a critical role in shaping cognitive development and facilitating participation in broader cultural communities. The findings therefore support the view that cultural continuity and educational development are closely interconnected.

The analysis further demonstrates that contemporary societies are characterized by increasing cultural complexity. Globalization, migration, digital communication, and transnational cultural exchange have expanded opportunities for interaction among diverse cultural traditions. While these processes create conditions for intercultural learning and cultural enrichment, they also introduce new challenges related to identity formation and value integration. Individuals frequently encounter multiple cultural narratives and systems of meaning simultaneously, requiring them to navigate diverse and sometimes competing interpretive frameworks.

Within the literature on multiculturalism, cultural diversity is generally regarded as a source of social enrichment and democratic development (Banks, 2020; UNESCO, 2021). However, scholars have also noted that multicultural environments may generate tensions when mechanisms of dialogue, mutual recognition, and cultural integration are insufficiently developed. Under such conditions, individuals may experience difficulties in establishing coherent systems of meaning, particularly during periods of rapid social and cultural change.

The findings suggest that the relationship between cultural diversity and cognitive development should not be understood through simple oppositions between cultural preservation and cultural change. Instead, the key issue concerns the capacity of educational institutions and social environments to facilitate meaningful engagement with multiple cultural perspectives while maintaining opportunities for coherent value formation and critical reflection. From this standpoint, cultural deprivation may emerge not from diversity itself but from the weakening of the social and educational mechanisms that enable individuals to interpret, negotiate, and integrate diverse cultural experiences.

A further finding concerns the role of education as a mediating institution. The literature consistently demonstrates that educational systems influence not only academic achievement but also the development of cultural literacy, social participation, and critical thinking. Consequently, the effectiveness of education depends partly on its capacity to provide learners with conceptual tools that allow them to engage constructively with cultural complexity. Educational environments that encourage dialogue, reflection, and cultural understanding may therefore reduce the risk of cultural fragmentation and strengthen the development of coherent cognitive and value orientations.

From a theoretical perspective, the analysis supports the argument that cultural deprivation should be approached as a dynamic process rather than a fixed condition. It is shaped by the interaction between cultural environments, educational institutions, social practices, and individual meaning-making processes. Understanding these interactions provides a foundation for examining how contemporary educational systems can respond to the challenges associated with cultural transformation, globalization, and the increasing plurality of cultural experiences in modern societies.

4.1. Cultural Plurality, Value Formation, and the Dynamics of Cultural Deprivation

The relationship between cultural diversity and individual cognitive development has become an increasingly significant topic within contemporary educational and socio-cultural research. While multiculturalism has traditionally been presented as a framework for promoting coexistence, inclusion, and intercultural dialogue (Banks, 2020; UNESCO, 2021), scholars have also emphasized that cultural plurality introduces new challenges concerning identity formation, value integration, and social cohesion (Delanty, 2018; Biesta, 2022; Zembylas, 2022).

Table 1. Dimensions of Cultural Deprivation and Their Educational Implications

Dimension of Cultural Deprivation	Description	Potential Educational Consequences
-----------------------------------	-------------	------------------------------------

Cognitive Deprivation	Limited engagement with complex symbolic systems, critical reflection, and conceptual learning	Reduced analytical thinking and conceptual development
Cultural Deprivation	Weak participation in cultural traditions, literature, historical memory, and collective meanings	Declining cultural literacy and identity formation
Value Deprivation	Fragmentation or instability of value orientations and ethical frameworks	Difficulties in moral reasoning and social responsibility
Communicative Deprivation	Reduced participation in meaningful social dialogue and intellectual exchange	Lower levels of social interaction and collaborative learning
Educational Deprivation	Restricted access to high-quality educational experiences and intellectual resources	Weakened academic achievement and lifelong learning capacity

Source: Developed by the authors based on Vygotsky (1987), Bruner (1996), and UNESCO (2024).

From a cultural-historical perspective, the issue is not simply the coexistence of multiple cultural traditions but the mechanisms through which individuals internalize, negotiate, and reproduce systems of meanings and values. Vygotsky (1978, 1987) argued that cognitive development is inseparable from socio-cultural mediation. Concepts, symbols, and language function as cultural tools through which individuals acquire ways of understanding reality. Consequently, transformations in cultural environments may influence not only social identities but also the processes through which conceptual thinking and meaning-making are developed.

The analysis conducted in this study suggests that cultural deprivation may be interpreted as a disruption in the continuity of cultural mediation. Rather than viewing cultural deprivation exclusively as a lack of access to cultural resources, it can also be understood as a condition in which individuals experience difficulties in constructing coherent systems of meanings due to fragmented or competing cultural influences. Such a perspective extends beyond traditional deprivation theories by emphasizing the qualitative dimensions of cultural participation and symbolic integration.

Contemporary societies are increasingly characterized by cultural hybridity, transnational mobility, digital communication, and intensified intercultural interaction (Castells, 2010; Delanty, 2018; Reimers, 2021). These processes provide opportunities for intercultural learning and expanded cultural horizons. However, they also create environments in which individuals encounter multiple and sometimes competing normative frameworks. Educational researchers have noted that the growing plurality of cultural references may complicate the formation of stable value orientations, particularly among younger generations navigating rapidly changing social contexts (Biesta, 2022; UNESCO, 2024).

Table 2. Mechanisms Linking Cultural Change and Cognitive Development

Cultural Process	Mediating Mechanism	Cognitive Outcome
Cultural transmission	Internalization of concepts, symbols, and meanings	Formation of conceptual thinking
Intergenerational interaction	Transfer of values, narratives, and social memory	Development of identity and social awareness
Educational mediation	Guided learning and critical reflection	Higher-order cognitive skills
Cultural plurality	Exposure to multiple value systems and perspectives	Increased cognitive flexibility or potential value ambiguity
Digital cultural environments	Continuous access to fragmented information streams	Expansion of knowledge accompanied by risks of cognitive overload

Source: Developed by the authors based on Vygotsky (1978, 1987), Wertsch (2021), Castells (2010), and OECD (2023).

Within the literature on multiculturalism, two major perspectives can be identified. The first emphasizes diversity as a source of democratic enrichment, intercultural understanding, and social inclusion (Banks, 2020; Parekh, 2006). The second highlights the

challenges associated with maintaining social cohesion and shared frameworks of meaning in culturally heterogeneous societies (Putnam, 2007; Modood, 2013). Rather than treating these perspectives as mutually exclusive, recent scholarship increasingly recognizes the need to examine the conditions under which cultural diversity contributes to social integration or, alternatively, generates fragmentation and symbolic disconnection.

From the standpoint of educational theory, cultural values are not transmitted mechanically. Their acquisition occurs through complex processes of socialization, participation, dialogue, and reflection (Bruner, 1996; Matusov et al., 2019). Consequently, educational institutions occupy a unique position as mediators between cultural traditions and contemporary social realities. Schools and universities not only provide knowledge but also facilitate the development of cultural literacy, critical thinking, and civic engagement (Nussbaum, 2016; OECD, 2023).

The findings of the present analysis indicate that cultural deprivation may emerge when these mediating processes become weakened or fragmented. In such circumstances, individuals may encounter difficulties in integrating diverse cultural influences into coherent systems of meaning. This does not imply that cultural diversity itself produces deprivation; rather, deprivation may arise when social and educational institutions fail to provide sufficient opportunities for meaningful cultural interpretation, dialogue, and value formation.

A particularly significant issue concerns intergenerational transmission of cultural knowledge. Research in cultural sociology and educational studies suggests that cultural continuity depends upon sustained interaction between generations through language, narratives, traditions, and shared symbolic practices (Bourdieu, 1986; Wertsch, 2021). When these mechanisms are disrupted, societies may experience increasing divergence in value orientations and interpretations of social reality. Such processes may contribute to what some scholars describe as cultural fragmentation, characterized by the weakening of shared symbolic references and collective meanings (Bauman, 2000; Delanty, 2018).

Table 3. The Role of Education in Mitigating Cultural Deprivation

Educational Function	Educational Practice	Expected Social Outcome
Cognitive Development	Promotion of conceptual learning, reading, and critical inquiry	Enhanced analytical and reflective thinking
Cultural Mediation	Transmission of cultural heritage and historical consciousness	Strengthened cultural literacy and identity
Value Formation	Ethical education and civic engagement activities	Development of responsible citizenship
Intercultural Dialogue	Multicultural and inclusive learning environments	Greater social cohesion and intercultural competence
Social Integration	Equal access to educational opportunities and participation	Reduction of social exclusion and cultural marginalization

Source: Developed by the authors based on Banks (2020), Biesta (2022), Nussbaum (2016), UNESCO (2021), and OECD (2023).

Within Vygotsky's framework, the development of conceptual thinking requires active engagement with culturally organized systems of signs and meanings. Language and literacy play a particularly important role in this process because they enable individuals to move beyond immediate experience and engage with abstract forms of reasoning (Vygotsky, 1987). Contemporary educational research similarly emphasizes the importance of reading practices, cultural literacy, and reflective learning for the development of higher-order cognitive skills (Wolf, 2018; OECD, 2023). Consequently, declining engagement with complex cultural texts may have implications for conceptual development and critical reflection.

The present analysis therefore suggests that cultural deprivation should be conceptualized as a dynamic socio-cultural process involving the interaction of educational institutions, cultural environments, and cognitive development. It reflects not merely the absence of cultural resources but the weakening of the symbolic and educational mechanisms through which individuals construct meaningful relationships with culture, knowledge, and society.

The phenomenon described above may be interpreted through the broader framework of cognitive and cultural adaptation. Contemporary scholarship suggests that individuals frequently encounter situations in which previously internalized cultural

meanings coexist with newly acquired value orientations (Wertsch, 2021; Delanty, 2018). Rather than replacing one another in a linear manner, these symbolic systems often operate simultaneously, creating complex patterns of interpretation and decision-making. As a consequence, individuals may demonstrate inconsistencies between declared beliefs, social practices, and behavioral choices.

From a socio-cultural perspective, such inconsistencies should not necessarily be understood as evidence of irrationality. Rather, they reflect the dynamic and often contradictory nature of identity formation in contemporary societies. Individuals participate in multiple cultural environments simultaneously, including family traditions, educational institutions, professional communities, digital networks, and global media ecosystems. Each of these environments transmits particular systems of meanings, norms, and symbolic expectations (Castells, 2010; Biesta, 2022). The interaction among these influences contributes to the formation of hybrid cognitive and cultural orientations.

Within the cultural-historical tradition, this phenomenon may be interpreted as a tension between established and emerging systems of meaning. Vygotsky (1987) emphasized that conceptual development is a gradual process through which socially acquired meanings become internalized and transformed into cognitive structures. However, when individuals are exposed to multiple symbolic frameworks that provide divergent interpretations of reality, the process of meaning-making may become increasingly complex. The challenge is not merely the presence of cultural diversity but the necessity of integrating diverse cultural references into a coherent cognitive system.

This observation is particularly relevant in the context of contemporary globalization and digital communication. Information environments have become increasingly fragmented, exposing individuals to a vast range of narratives, ideologies, and symbolic representations (Bauman, 2000; Castells, 2010). While such plurality expands access to knowledge, it may also contribute to what educational researchers describe as cognitive fragmentation, characterized by selective engagement with information, reduced critical reflection, and a preference for simplified explanatory frameworks (Wolf, 2018; OECD, 2023).

In this context, cultural deprivation can be understood not solely as the absence of cultural participation but also as a weakening of the mechanisms through which individuals critically evaluate and integrate cultural experiences. When opportunities for reflective engagement with cultural traditions, historical narratives, and educational resources are limited, individuals may become increasingly dependent on ready-made interpretive schemes provided by external groups, media environments, or algorithmically curated information systems (UNESCO, 2024). As a result, personal meaning-making may be replaced by the reproduction of pre-existing symbolic patterns.

The literature on social cognition suggests that such conditions may contribute to the emergence of cognitive simplification, where complex social realities are reduced to binary oppositions, stereotypical classifications, or emotionally charged narratives (Kahneman, 2011; Haidt, 2012). Within educational contexts, these tendencies may limit opportunities for the development of conceptual thinking, critical literacy, and intellectual autonomy. Consequently, the ability to engage with ambiguity, evaluate competing perspectives, and construct independent judgments becomes increasingly important for educational development.

These observations reinforce the significance of education as a mediating institution between cultural environments and cognitive development. Educational systems provide not only access to knowledge but also opportunities for reflective dialogue, critical inquiry, and cultural interpretation (Bruner, 1996; Nussbaum, 2016). Through engagement with literature, history, philosophy, and the humanities, individuals acquire conceptual tools that enable them to navigate cultural complexity and construct coherent frameworks of meaning.

From this perspective, the central challenge facing contemporary education is not the existence of cultural diversity itself but the capacity to transform diversity into meaningful learning experiences. Educational institutions that foster critical reflection, intercultural understanding, and conceptual development may help individuals integrate multiple cultural influences without experiencing fragmentation of values or cognitive disorientation. Consequently, the relationship between cultural deprivation and education should be viewed as a dynamic interaction in which educational practices play a crucial role in shaping the conditions under which cultural meanings are transmitted, interpreted, and transformed.

4.2. Educational Transformation and the Reproduction of Cultural Deprivation

The findings suggest that processes associated with cultural deprivation should not be viewed as isolated or spontaneous phenomena. Rather, they emerge through complex interactions among cultural, educational, economic, and institutional factors. Contemporary research increasingly emphasizes that educational systems are embedded within broader socio-cultural contexts and therefore reflect ongoing transformations in social values, cultural practices, and collective identities (Biesta, 2022; OECD, 2023; UNESCO, 2024). Consequently, changes occurring within society inevitably influence the goals, functions, and outcomes of education.

From this perspective, cultural deprivation may be understood as a cumulative process involving gradual shifts in the mechanisms through which knowledge, values, and cultural meanings are transmitted across generations. Such shifts are often accompanied by

transformations in social priorities, patterns of participation, and perceptions of educational relevance. As societies undergo economic, technological, and cultural change, educational institutions are required to adapt to new expectations while simultaneously preserving their role as mediators of cultural continuity (Bruner, 1996; Reimers, 2021).

The analysis indicates that one of the central challenges facing contemporary education concerns the relationship between knowledge acquisition and value formation. Historically, educational systems have served a dual function: the transmission of academic knowledge and the cultivation of social, ethical, and cultural competencies. Recent scholarship suggests that increasing emphasis on measurable outcomes, market-oriented educational policies, and instrumental approaches to learning may reduce attention to broader humanistic objectives such as critical reflection, civic responsibility, and cultural literacy (Nussbaum, 2016; Biesta, 2022). As a result, education may become increasingly focused on technical competencies while devoting less attention to the development of cultural and ethical understanding.

Within the cultural-historical framework, this tendency has important implications for cognitive development. Vygotsky (1978, 1987) emphasized that conceptual thinking develops through engagement with culturally meaningful systems of signs, symbols, and social interactions. Educational institutions therefore contribute not only to the acquisition of information but also to the formation of interpretive frameworks through which individuals understand social reality. When educational experiences become fragmented or narrowly instrumental, opportunities for deeper conceptual and reflective development may be reduced.

The findings further indicate that educational systems may simultaneously function as both recipients and transmitters of broader cultural transformations. On the one hand, schools and universities are influenced by social changes occurring within their environments. On the other hand, they actively participate in the reproduction of cultural values, norms, and forms of knowledge. This dual role positions education as a central mechanism through which patterns of cultural continuity or cultural disruption are maintained across generations (Wertsch, 2021; Banks, 2020).

A related issue concerns the institutional reproduction of educational practices. Research in educational sociology demonstrates that pedagogical approaches, professional norms, and organizational cultures are often reproduced over time through institutional structures and professional socialization processes (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1990; Giroux, 2023). Consequently, educational systems may inadvertently perpetuate limitations in teaching quality, curriculum design, or learner engagement unless deliberate efforts are made to encourage innovation, critical reflection, and professional development.

The present analysis also highlights the significance of educational quality as a factor influencing cultural participation and cognitive development. Lower levels of educational achievement should not be interpreted as indicators of reduced intellectual potential among learners. Rather, they may reflect broader structural and cultural conditions affecting educational opportunities, learning environments, and access to cultural resources (OECD, 2023; UNESCO, 2024). From this perspective, educational outcomes are closely connected to the social and cultural contexts within which learning occurs.

Furthermore, contemporary societies increasingly confront challenges associated with information abundance, digital communication, and changing patterns of cultural consumption. These developments create unprecedented opportunities for learning while simultaneously requiring stronger capacities for critical evaluation, interpretation, and knowledge integration (Castells, 2010; Wolf, 2018). Educational institutions therefore play an essential role in helping individuals navigate complex information environments and develop the intellectual tools necessary for meaningful participation in social and cultural life.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study support the argument that cultural deprivation should be conceptualized as more than a simple lack of cultural resources or educational opportunities. Rather, it represents a multidimensional process involving transformations in the formation of meanings, values, and cognitive orientations within changing socio-cultural environments. Drawing upon Vygotsky's cultural-historical theory, the analysis demonstrates that cognitive development depends fundamentally upon participation in culturally mediated systems of communication, symbolism, and social interaction. Consequently, disruptions in these systems may influence not only educational outcomes but also broader processes of identity formation and social participation.

The study further demonstrates that education occupies a central position within these processes. Educational institutions are simultaneously shaped by cultural transformations and actively involved in reproducing cultural meanings and value orientations. This dual function suggests that education may either mitigate or amplify the effects of cultural deprivation depending on the quality of pedagogical practices, the accessibility of cultural resources, and the capacity of institutions to foster critical reflection and cultural literacy.

Importantly, the present study does not interpret cultural diversity or globalization as inherently problematic phenomena. Rather, the analysis suggests that challenges emerge when educational and social institutions are unable to provide individuals with the conceptual tools necessary to interpret, negotiate, and integrate diverse cultural experiences. Under such conditions, cultural plurality

may contribute to fragmentation of meaning and weakened value coherence. Conversely, educational environments that promote dialogue, critical thinking, and intercultural understanding may transform cultural diversity into a resource for intellectual and social development. As a theoretical investigation, the study does not claim empirical generalizability. Its contribution lies in the development of an integrated conceptual framework linking cultural deprivation, educational transformation, and cognitive development. By bringing together insights from cultural-historical psychology, educational theory, and contemporary cultural studies, the article provides a foundation for future empirical research examining how educational systems respond to the challenges of cultural change in the twenty-first century.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This study examined cultural deprivation through the lens of cultural-historical theory and explored its relationship with cognitive development, value formation, and contemporary educational processes. The analysis demonstrates that cultural deprivation should be understood as a multidimensional socio-cultural phenomenon extending beyond the simple absence of cultural resources. It involves disruptions in the transmission, interpretation, and internalization of meanings, values, and symbolic systems that contribute to individual and collective development. Drawing upon the theoretical contributions of Vygotsky and subsequent socio-cultural approaches, the study highlights the central role of cultural mediation in the formation of cognitive structures. Conceptual thinking, cultural awareness, and value orientations emerge through participation in socially organized systems of communication, language, and symbolic interaction. Consequently, the quality and coherence of cultural environments play a significant role in shaping both cognitive development and broader processes of identity formation.

The findings suggest that education occupies a pivotal position within these processes. Educational institutions function not only as mechanisms for knowledge transmission but also as spaces in which cultural meanings, social values, and interpretive frameworks are negotiated and reproduced. As societies become increasingly characterized by cultural plurality, digital communication, and global interconnectedness, education assumes an even greater responsibility in facilitating critical reflection, cultural literacy, and meaningful engagement with diverse perspectives. The study further argues that cultural deprivation may emerge when the mechanisms of cultural transmission and value formation become fragmented or weakened. In such circumstances, individuals may encounter difficulties in integrating multiple cultural influences into coherent systems of meaning. Rather than conceptualizing this process as a simple replacement of one cultural framework by another, the analysis suggests that cultural change often produces complex interactions among symbolic systems, resulting in varying degrees of adaptation, negotiation, and reinterpretation.

An important contribution of this study lies in its integration of educational theory, cultural studies, and cultural-historical psychology into a unified analytical framework. Existing scholarship has frequently examined cultural diversity, educational transformation, and cognitive development as separate fields of inquiry. By connecting these domains, the present research offers a broader conceptual understanding of how cultural environments influence educational processes and how educational institutions mediate cultural continuity and change. The study also underscores the significance of cultural codes, symbolic systems, and shared meanings in sustaining social cohesion and cognitive development. Cultural values become effective not merely through formal declaration but through their integration into lived social practices, educational experiences, and systems of collective meaning. This perspective highlights the importance of education in fostering the interpretive capacities required for meaningful participation in increasingly complex cultural environments. As a theoretical investigation, the study has certain limitations. Its conclusions are conceptual rather than empirically generalizable and should therefore be interpreted as a framework for further scholarly discussion. Future research may extend the present analysis through comparative cross-cultural studies, qualitative investigations of educational experiences, and empirical examinations of the relationship between cultural participation, value formation, and cognitive development across different social contexts.

In conclusion, the article argues that understanding cultural deprivation requires attention not only to access to cultural resources but also to the broader processes through which meanings, values, and identities are constructed and transmitted. In an era marked by globalization, technological transformation, and increasing cultural diversity, educational institutions remain essential mediators between cultural continuity and social change. Strengthening their capacity to promote critical thinking, cultural literacy, and meaningful engagement with diverse symbolic systems may contribute significantly to both individual development and social cohesion.

7. DECLARATIONS

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The study was conducted independently and did not receive funding from any public, commercial, governmental, or non-profit organization.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Ethical review and approval were not required for this study because it is a theoretical and conceptual investigation based exclusively on published literature, documentary sources, and scholarly analysis. No human participants, personal data, or identifiable information were involved in the research process.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable. This study did not involve human participants, interviews, surveys, experiments, or any form of direct data collection requiring informed consent.

Data Availability Statement

No new empirical data were generated or analyzed in this study. All materials discussed in the article are derived from publicly available scholarly publications, theoretical sources, and documentary materials cited in the reference list.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article. The authors have no financial, professional, institutional, or personal relationships that could have influenced the research, analysis, interpretation, or presentation of the findings.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: V.S., O.S., Y.S., V.B., and O.M.

Methodology: V.S. and O.S.

Literature Review and Theoretical Analysis: V.S., Y.S., and O.M.

Writing—Original Draft Preparation: V.S. and Y.S.

Writing—Review and Editing: O.S., V.B., and O.M.

Supervision: O.S. and V.B.

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) Statement

The authors declare that generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools were not used to generate, analyze, interpret, or substantially write the intellectual content of this manuscript. Any digital tools employed during manuscript preparation were limited to language editing, formatting, and proofreading assistance. The authors take full responsibility for the originality, accuracy, and integrity of all content presented in this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to colleagues and researchers whose scholarly contributions in the fields of educational theory, cultural studies, and developmental psychology provided valuable intellectual inspiration for this work.

Publisher's Note

The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policies, positions, or views of their affiliated institutions, the publisher, the editors, or the reviewers. Any product, service, or organization mentioned in this publication is provided for scholarly discussion purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the publisher.

Disclaimer

This article presents a theoretical and conceptual interpretation of cultural deprivation within the framework of cultural-historical theory and contemporary educational research. The arguments and conclusions should be understood as scholarly interpretations intended to stimulate academic discussion and further empirical investigation rather than as definitive empirical claims applicable to all cultural and educational contexts.

ORCID

Vitalii Sizov: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0679-8602>

Oleksii Sheviakov: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8348-1935>

Yanina Slavka: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2498-3323>

Volodymyr Bobyl: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7306-3905>

Oleksii Matusevich: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9486-1308>

8. REFERENCES:

1. Banks, J. A. (2020). *Diversity and citizenship education: Global perspectives* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
2. Biesta, G. (2022). *World-centred education: A view for the present*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003098331>
3. Bruner, J. S. (1996). *The culture of education*. Harvard University Press.
4. Delanty, G. (2018). *The European heritage: A critical re-interpretation*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315100821>
5. Drozhzhyna, S. V. (2008). Multykulturalizm: Teoretychni i praktychni aspekty [Multiculturalism: Theoretical and practical aspects]. *Politychnyi Menedzhment*, 3, 96–106.
6. Fler, M. (2022). Conceptual playworlds: The role of imagination in early childhood education. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 33, 100622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2022.100622>
7. Giroux, H. A. (2023). *Pedagogy and the politics of hope: Theory, culture, and schooling*. Routledge.
8. González-Monteagudo, J. (2021). Vygotsky's cultural-historical theory and contemporary educational research. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 53(10), 1021–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1826957>
9. Kovalynska, I. V. (2016). The notions “polycultural” and “multicultural” in scientific discourse. *Osvitohichnyi Dyskurs*, 13(1), 65–78.
10. Makarenko, A. S. (1987). *Pedagogical poem* (Vol. 2). Pravda.
11. Matusov, E., Marjanovic-Shane, A., & Gradovski, M. (2019). Dialogic pedagogy and cultural development. *Dialogic Pedagogy: An International Online Journal*, 7, A1–A23. <https://doi.org/10.5195/dpi.2019.269>
12. Nussbaum, M. C. (2016). *Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities*. Princeton University Press.
13. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Education at a glance 2023: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/e13bef63-en>
14. Razumenko, T. O. (2022). Multicultural trend of professional training in institutions of higher education of the PRC. *Innovatsiina Pedagogika*, 52(2), 91–93.
15. Reimers, F. M. (2021). *Education and climate change: The role of universities*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57927-2>
16. Sikorska, I. M. (2019). Multykulturalizm ta yoho vplyv na osvitu [Multiculturalism and its impact on education]. *Visnyk NTUU KPI. Politolohiia. Sotsiolohiia. Pravo*, 43(3). [https://doi.org/10.20535/2308-5053.2019.3\(43\).195705](https://doi.org/10.20535/2308-5053.2019.3(43).195705)
17. Sizov, V. V. (2018). Principles of culture congruity and nationhood in pedagogy and processes of globalization of the present-day education. In *European vector of contemporary psychology, pedagogy and social sciences: The experience of Ukraine and the Republic of Poland* (Vol. 3, pp. 306–323). Baltija Publishing.
18. Sizov, V. V. (2018). Tendencies of pedagogical process politicization in West-European countries of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. *Spirituality of Personality: Methodology, Theory and Practice*, 85(4), 206–215.
19. UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/>
20. UNESCO. (2024). *Global education monitoring report 2024: Leadership in education*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://www.unesco.org/gem-report>
21. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
22. Vygotsky, L. S. (1987). *Thinking and speech*. In R. W. Rieber & A. S. Carton (Eds.), *The collected works of L. S. Vygotsky* (Vol. 1, pp. 39–285). Plenum Press.
23. Wertsch, J. V. (2021). Cultural mediation and collective memory: Implications for education and identity formation. *Culture & Psychology*, 27(4), 598–614. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354067X211019112>
24. Zembylas, M. (2022). The affective dimensions of educational inequality and cultural exclusion. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 70(4), 471–489. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2021.1960268>